

bradscholars

An update on genomic-guided therapies for pediatric solid tumors

Item Type	Article
Authors	Tsui, P.C.;Lee, Stephanie;Liu, Z.W.Y.;Ip, L.R.H.;Piao, W.;Chiang, A.K.S.;Lui, V.W.Y.
Citation	Tsui PC, Lee Y-F, Liu ZWY et al (2017) An update on genomic-guided therapies for pediatric solid tumors. Future Oncology. 13(15): 1345-1358.
DOI	https://doi.org/10.2217/fon-2017-0003
Rights	© 2017 Future Medicine Ltd. Reproduced in accordance with the publisher's self-archiving policy.
Download date	2026-05-14 04:12:36
Link to Item	http://hdl.handle.net/10454/14823



The University of Bradford Institutional Repository

<http://bradscholars.brad.ac.uk>

This work is made available online in accordance with publisher policies. Please refer to the repository record for this item and our Policy Document available from the repository home page for further information.

To see the final version of this work please visit the publisher's website. Access to the published online version may require a subscription.

Link to publisher's version: <https://doi.org/10.2217/fon-2017-0003>

Citation: Tsui PC, Lee Y-F, Liu ZWY et al (2017) An update on genomic-guided therapies for pediatric solid tumors. *Future Oncology*. 13(15): 1345-1358.

Copyright statement: © 2017 Future Medicine Ltd. Reproduced in accordance with the publisher's self-archiving policy.

An Update on Genomic-guided Therapies for Pediatric Solid Tumors

Pui Chi Tsui¹, Yin-Fai Lee², Zoey Wing Yee Liu³, Laura Ren Huey Ip⁴, Wenying Piao⁴, Alan Kwok Shing Chiang^{5,*}, Vivian Wai Yan Lui^{4,*}

¹Li Ka Shing Faculty of Medicine, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR

² School of Pharmacy and Medical Sciences, University of Bradford, Bradford BD7 1DP, UK

³Department of Anatomical and Cellular Pathology, Faculty of Medicine, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR

⁴School of Biomedical Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR

⁵Department of Pediatrics and Adolescent Medicine, Li Ka Shing Faculty of Medicine, The University of Hong Kong, Queen Mary Hospital, Hong Kong SAR

*denotes Co-corresponding authors

Corresponding Authors:

Dr. Alan Kwok Shing Chiang:

Department of Pediatrics and Adolescent Medicine, Li Ka Shing Faculty of Medicine, The University of Hong Kong, Queen Mary Hospital, Hong Kong SAR

Phone: (852) 22554091

Fax: (852) 28551523

Email: chiangak@hku.hk

Dr. Vivian Wai Yan Lui:

School of Biomedical Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR

Phone: (852) 3943-5388

Fax: (852) 2603-5123

Email: vlui002@cuhk.edu.hk

Financial Disclosure: VWY served as a scientific consultant for Novartis, Hong Kong.

Acknowledgements: We are grateful for the clerical assistance from Mr. Hoi Lam Ngan, Mr. Chin Weng Wu and Mr. Samuel Yan Jin Fang. This study is supported by the Seed Grant of Strategic Research Theme for Cancer, The University of Hong Kong of AKSC. VWYL is funded by the Research Grant Council, Hong Kong (#17114814, #17121616, General Research Fund; T12-401/13-R, Theme-based Research Scheme), and the Start-up Fund, School of Biomedical Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, the Chinese University of Hong Kong. WP is funded by the Faculty Postdoctoral Fellowship Scheme, Faculty of Medicine, the Chinese University of Hong Kong.

An Update on Genomic-guided Therapies for Pediatric Solid Tumors

Keywords: Pediatric solid tumors; whole-exome sequencing, clinical trials on targeted therapies

Abbreviations: whole-exome sequencing (WES), Pediatric Cancer Genome Project (PCGP); Therapeutically Applicable Research To Generate Effective Treatments (TARGET)

Abstract:

Currently, out of the 82 FDA approved targeted therapies for adult cancer treatments, only 3 are approved for use in children irrespective of their genomic status. Apart from leukemia, only a handful of genomic-based trials involving children with solid tumors are ongoing. Emerging genomic data for pediatric solid tumors may facilitate the development of precision medicine in pediatric patients. Here, we provide an up-to-date review of all reported genomic aberrations in the 8 most common pediatric solid tumors with whole-exome or whole-genome sequencing data (from cBioPortal database, Pediatric Cancer Genome Project (PCGP), Therapeutically Applicable Research To Generate Effective Treatments (TARGET)) and additional non-WES studies. Potential druggable events are highlighted and discussed so as to facilitate preclinical and clinical research in this area.

Introduction

The global incidence of pediatric cancers in 2012 is ~13.5 per 100,000 population in patients aged 0-19, with a mortality rate of about 12% [1]. To date, cancer is still the leading cause of death in young adults and children apart from accidents. Among all pediatric cancers, solid tumors account for two-third of all cases, while leukemias account for the remaining one-third of cases. The most common pediatric solid tumors include cancers of the brain and the central nervous system (CNS), neuroblastoma, rhabdomyosarcoma, bone cancer, Wilms' tumor as well as germ cell tumors, etc.

There are currently 82 FDA approved targeted therapies for the treatment of adult cancers [2]. The clinical implementation of genomic-guided precision medicine (the use of the right drug for the right patient) based on specific tumor genetic aberrations has unprecedentedly extended the survival of many adult cancer patients, including those with advanced or metastatic diseases, as well as leukemias. Yet, major advances in improving the survival of various pediatric solid tumors are, by far, lacking. The scarcity of genomic data, especially on actionable or druggable gene mutational events presents a major roadblock for the development of precision medicine for pediatric solid tumors. Currently, the main treatment modalities for pediatric solid tumors are still surgery, chemotherapy and radiotherapy. Personalized treatment options are limited.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 Here, we aim to provide the most up-to-date overview of genomic aberrations found in
10 pediatric solid tumors from the public domain (cBioportal.org [3, 4]; USA, Pediatric
11 Cancer Genome Project (PCGP) [5], Therapeutically Applicable Research To Generate
12 Effective Treatments (TARGET) [6]) as well as additional published whole-genome
13 sequencing (WGS) studies as well as other published non-WES studies for the most
14 common pediatric solid tumors (all summarized in Supplementary Table 1 with original
15 references). Recent findings from several major multi-cancer pediatric clinical studies
16 are also included in this review. We found that WES data have only been reported in a
17 relatively small number of cases and cancer types. Among 11 most common pediatric
18 solid tumors, including medulloblastoma, glioblastoma multiforme, low grade glioma,
19 neuroblastoma, Wilms' tumor, osteosarcoma, Ewing's sarcoma, rhabdomyosarcoma,
20 retinoblastoma, hepatoblastoma, and germ cell tumors, only 9
21 (the underlined ones) have been whole-exome or whole-genome sequenced as of today.
22 We highlighted some potential druggable targets based on finding in adult tumors.
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31

32 Further, we also comprehensively summarized all current genomic-related clinical trials
33 involving children with these cancers. This review should highlight potential druggable
34 targets and provide insights for future development in precision medicine in pediatric
35 solid tumors.
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45

46 ***Exceptional responders in pediatric solid tumors shed hope for precision***
47 ***medicine development***
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 The success of precision medicine requires a good understanding of the genomic
10 aberrations in tumors that will correlate with a good clinical response to a drug therapy.
11
12 To date, the understanding of pediatric tumor genomics and how these genetic
13 aberrations correlate with clinical outcome is lacking. Yet, scattered reports on pediatric
14 tumor patients showing exceptional responses to some targeted therapies [7-9]. The
15 first exceptional response was reported in a *BRAF(V600E)*-mutated pediatric
16 glioblastoma multiforme patient with BRAF inhibitor vemurafenib, whose complete
17 response lasted for 6 months [7], as well as *BRAF(V600E)*-mutated metastatic rhabdoid
18 meningioma treated with a BRAF inhibitor, dabrafenib, whose response was reported
19 to last for 7 months with partial resolution of her tumor mass [8]. Other than *BRAF*-
20 mutated tumors, Zapletalova et al reported a 16 months of complete response from a 9
21 year old tuberous sclerosis complex (TSC) patient with malignant perivascular
22 epithelioid cell tumor (PEComa) carrying germline mutation of the *PDGFR-alpha* [9].
23 These emerging reports of exceptional responders in pediatric patients whose treatment
24 was decided based on their tumor genomic profile do implicate the potential promise of
25 precision medicine for pediatric solid tumors.
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41

WES studies in pediatric solid tumors reveal several potential druggable targets

42
43
44
45 As illustrated in adult cancers, whole-exome sequencing (WES) of tumor tissues reveals
46 important druggable targets for treatment and future drug development. The mutational
47 profiles of adult cancer provide a genomic roadmap, prompting both preclinical and
48 clinical development of precision medicine in adult cancers. As for pediatric solid tumors,
49
50
51
52

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 due to the rarity of the diseases, WES studies are challenging to be conducted with a
10 number of samples. Yet, as of today, out of the 11 most common pediatric solid tumors,
11 there are published genomic data of eight of these tumor types, including
12
13 medulloblastoma, glioblastoma multiforme, low grade glioma, neuroblastoma, Wilms'
14 tumor, osteosarcoma, Ewing's sarcoma and rhabdomyosarcoma (Supplementary Table
15 1) [10-44]. As for the remaining 3 solid tumor types (retinoblastoma, hepatoblastoma,
16 germ cell tumors), though no large scale WES has been
17
18 performed, we have included genomic events from other non-WES studies in order to
19 provide a better profile of all 12 pediatric tumor types concerned.
20
21

22
23
24
25
26 Based on these WES data of pediatric tumors and the existing published drug-response
27 reports from adult patients, several currently druggable targets are highlighted in
28
29 Supplementary Table 1. Mutational events of >3% rate of occurrences were
30 summarized (original data are available in the original references). In medulloblastoma,
31
32 among the 254 whole-exome sequenced cases, there are no immediate actionable or
33
34 druggable events with >3% rate. Whilst for glioblastoma multiforme (GBM; 606 cases
35
36 sequenced total, representing the largest tumor cases sequenced among the 11 most
37
38 common pediatric solid tumors), several prominent drug targets with mutational events
39
40 have been identified. Due to the fact that only 6 of the 606 GBM tumors were from
41
42 children (age 0-18), there are little implications for pediatric GBM treatments until the
43
44 genomic information of a large enough pediatric GBM cohort is available. Yet, as of
45
46 today, based on this tumor type, there could be several druggable targets, including
47
48 *EGFR*, *PIK3CA*, *NF1*, *IDH1* and *IDH2* mutations. However, among the 95 *EGFR*
49
50 mutations reported in GBM patients, only one mutation has been previously reported to
51
52

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 be associated with gefitinib sensitivity in lung cancer patients [45]. This finding indicates
10 the presence of drug-sensitive mutant of *EGFR*, though in a very small number of GBM
11 patients. Further, hotspot and activating mutations of *PIK3CA* (including E542K, E545K,
12 and H1047R) are also present in 9 patient tumors, implicating potential sensitivity to
13 PI3K pathway inhibitors. It remains to be determined if *NF1* mutations, which will drive
14 tumorigenesis via the Ras pathway, can be targetable with MAPK pathway inhibitors in
15 pediatric cancers or not, given the conflicting data in several tumor types. In melanoma,
16 though *NF1* mutations are common, recent studies suggest that *NF1* mutations may not
17 predict for MEK inhibitor sensitivity [46]. However, a recent report demonstrated marked
18 clinical responses of a *NF1*-mutated neurofibromatosis-associated glioblastoma case to
19 tremetinib, a MEK inhibitor [47]. A recent clinical trial on Neurofibromatosis Type 1-
20 Related Plexiform Neurofibromas also showed high rates of clinical responses (70%
21 cases) to another MEK inhibitor, selumetinib, among pediatric patients [48].
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31

32
33
34
35
36 Lastly, there are 15 GBM patient tumors (5.2%; 15/290 cases) harboring *IDH1* hotspot
37 mutation (R132H/G), which may confer sensitivity to *IDH1*-mutant specific inhibitor, AG-
38 120, under development in clinical settings. The *IDH1* and *IDH2* genes encode the
39 enzymes isocitrate dehydrogenase 1 and 2, respectively. Normal wildtype IDH enzymes
40 are responsible to generate energy for cells by breaking down the cell nutrient, α -
41 ketoglutarate. Recent studies in multiple cancer types reveal that *IDH1/2* mutations can
42 serve as new therapeutic targets since *IDH1/2* mutations can switch the cancer cell
43 energy programming and produce the oncogenic metabolite, 2-hydroxyglutarate (2-HG),
44 as well as dysregulating cell differentiation. An important glioma study by Rohle *et al*
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57

showed that a mutant specific inhibitor of *IDH1* (R132H), namely AGI-5198, which have been identified through a large-scale drug screen, was able to effectively inhibit the mutant *IDH1* activity, resulting in marked inhibition of *IDH1*-mutant glioma cell growth and promoted glioma cell differentiation [49, 50]. Currently, there are several ongoing clinical trials investigating the safety profile and potential clinical efficacies of *IDH1*-mutant specific inhibitors (e.g. AG-120, an oral selective inhibitor that inhibits mutated *IDH1* protein) in glioma and other cancers. Results show early promises in glioma patients (however, age of patients have not been disclosed) with some cases of stable disease beyond six months [51]. Similar to *IDH1* mutation, clinical trials are ongoing to determine the safety profile and potential efficacy of *IDH2* mutant inhibitor (AG-221) in patients with blood cancer (acute myeloid leukemia).

For low grade glioma, mutant *IDH1*, *IDH2*, *PIK3CA*, *NF1*, *BRAF*, and *FGFR1* are potential drug targets with a >3% rate (Supplementary Table 1). Similar to glioblastoma multiforme, *IDH1*, *IDH2*, *PIK3CA*, *NF1* are potentially druggable with *IDH1/2*-mutant specific inhibitors, PI3K pathway inhibitor and MAPK pathway inhibitors, respectively. It is noticeable that 221/289 cases of low grade glioma tumors harbored *IDH1*(R132X) hotspot mutations AG-221, which can be druggable with an *IDH1*-mutant specific inhibitors AG-120. Also, there are 4.2% (12/286 cases) of patients with *IDH2* hotspot mutations (R172X), which can be potentially druggable. Notably, as high as 21.3% cases of low grade glioma harbor *FGFR1* gene duplication or activating gene fusion (*FGFR1-TACC3* fusion) or mutation, implicating this subset of *FGFR1*-altered patients can be potentially sensitive to FGFR inhibition [52]. Further, *BRAF*(V600E) activating

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 mutation occurs in low grade glioma patient at a rate of 0.35% (TCGA, Provisional)
10 which confers sensitivity to vemurafenib or BRAF inhibitors. Lastly, there are 6 cases
11 with hotspot activating mutations of *PIK3CA* (E542K, E545K/A, and H1947R/L) which
12
13 can also be potentially druggable with PI3K pathway inhibitors, while no drug-sensitive
14
15 *EGFR* activating mutations have been identified in low grade glioma patients thus far.
16
17 There are quite a number of druggable mutations to be potentially tested in both
18
19 preclinical and clinical settings for this tumor type.
20
21
22
23
24

25 In neuroblastoma, *ALK* genetic aberrations (amplification, gain, deletion, point mutations,
26
27 etc.) have been reported in 6-9% cases by WES conducted in the US and Europe
28
29 (Supplementary Table 1) [53, 54]. However, an Egyptian study report an exceptional
30
31 high rate of *ALK* aberrations in 50% of patients [55]. Yet, most of these neuroblastoma-
32
33 associated *ALK* aberrations are not related to sensitivity to *ALK* inhibitors as *ALK*
34
35 inhibitor sensitivity is known to be contributed mainly by *ALK* gene rearrangements as
36
37 largely reported in lung cancer patients. Rather, a subset of neuroblastoma patients
38
39 whose tumor harbor the resistant mutation, *ALK*(F1174V) are likely to be resistant to
40
41 *ALK* inhibitors.
42
43
44

45 For retinoblastoma, *RB1* and *RBL2* mutations are the only mutated genes, which are
46
47 currently undruggable. However, amplification of *MYCN* been reported in some cases of
48
49 retinoblastoma and may serve as drug targets for *MYCN*-Aurora A dual inhibitor, CD532
50
51
52

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57

[56]. WES of Wilm's tumor, thus far, do not reveal any noticeable drug targets, while

MYCN amplification may serve as a potential druggable event.

No WES have been conducted for hepatoblastoma, however, other non-WES studies revealed that *PIK3CA* mutations (2.1%; 1/47 cases) can potentially be druggable with PI3K pathway inhibitors (e.g. BYL719, BKM 120, everolimus, etc.), which are in later phases of clinical trials in adult cancers. For osteosarcoma, WES did not reveal any apparent drug targets. Yet, non-WES studies indicate that *MYC*, *MDM2* and *VEGFA* amplifications can potentially be targeted with *MYC* inhibitors, *MDM2* inhibitors, and VEGF or VEGFR inhibitors, respectively. As *MYCN* amplification appears to be a noticeable target for several pediatric solid tumors, the potential benefit of metronomic topotecan may also be investigated as previous studies demonstrated high topotecan sensitivity in *MYCN*-amplified cell models (neuroblastoma [57]), and this agent has been shown to be effective for childhood cancer with safe clinical profile [58].

Two large scale Ewing's sarcoma WES studies reveal a lack of druggable mutations with a >3% occurrence rate [32, 33]. Note that there are ~2% of *PIK3CA* mutations (V344G, K733G), however, it is unclear if these mutations can confer sensitivity for PI3K targeting or not. For rhabdomyosarcoma, though genomically aberrations of *NF1*, *PIK3CA* and *FGFR4* genes are potential druggable targets, detailed analysis of the *FGFR4* events (V550L/M mutations in 3 tumors (out of 43 cases sequenced), preclinical prediction suggest that this mutation is likely a gatekeeper mutation that may not confer

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 sensitivity to a FGFR4 inhibitor, BLU9931 [59]. However, new FGFR inhibitors may be
10 developed to overcome such a resistance mechanism in the future.
11
12
13
14
15

16 WES data are available for germ cell tumors (TCGA Provisional, via cbiportal). A
17 prominent drug target is *KIT*, which is mutated in 18.8% of germ cell tumors. Mutations
18 in exon 11 of *KIT* (juxtamembrane domain of KIT spanning amino acids 550-591) are
19 known to confer sensitivity for imatinib in GIST and melanoma [60]. In this TCGA cohort
20 of germ cell tumors, a total of 8 exon 11 *KIT* mutations have been identified, including
21 W557G/C/R (4 patients), and G565_T574delinsA, V560G, L576P, Y578C and K642E (1
22 patient each). Notably, L576P and K642E have been reported to be associated with
23 durable partial or complete responses to imatinib in melanoma [60], while 18 *KIT*
24 mutations are associated with imatinib-resistance (D816X), which may be sensitive to
25 other tyrosine kinase inhibitor, such as PKC412 [61] as shown *in vitro* settings. From
26 this provisional genomic data of germ cell tumors, it appears than other than *KIT*, there
27 is a paucity of druggable mutations. Though driver gene mutations such as *KRAS* and
28 *NRAS* hotspot mutations (G12S/D, Q61X) are common in germ cell tumors, but they are
29 not readily druggable yet.
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46

47 These WES data from specific tumor types show that some genetic subsets of these
48 pediatric patients may be responsive to some targeted therapies already approved for
49 adult cancers or to agents currently undergoing clinical trials for adult patients. In fact,
50
51
52

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 the two exceptional responder cases [7, 8] demonstrated potential clinical responses in
10 pediatric patients for precision medicine based on their tumor mutational profiles. Thus,
11 it becomes increasingly important to conduct more pediatric clinical trials based on
12 patients' tumor genetics. Recently, three important clinical studies investigating practical
13 clinical implementation of sequencing into clinical management of pediatric cancers
14 from the University of Michigan [62], from Texas Children's Cancer Center [63], as well
15 as from Dana-Farber (the Individualized Therapy (iCat) study, [64]) showed that a
16 substantial percentage of pediatric solid tumor patients (~40%) have potentially
17 actionable genomic aberrations.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Anticipating more WES data for more pediatric solid tumors

29
30
31 It is important to note that several WES projects on pediatric cancers are in progress,
32 which will further inform us the druggable genetic profiles of pediatric solid tumors.
33
34 These include the Pediatric Cancer Genome Project by St. Jude Children's Research
35 Hospital and Washington University (sequencing 13 types of solid tumors including
36 brain tumors, neuroblastoma, retinoblastoma and Wilms' tumor) [5]. Some of these
37 WES data, including those of medulloblastoma [12], retinoblastoma [20], osteosarcoma
38 [30], adrenocortical tumors [65], low grade neuroepithelial tumor [66], high grade glioma
39 [67] and low grade glioma [16] had been published. Another ongoing effort is that of the
40 TARGET program by the Office of Cancer Genomics of the National Cancer Institute,
41 which is currently sequencing several tumor types (including neuroblastoma,
42 osteosarcoma and kidney tumors including Wilms' tumor, clear cell sarcoma of the
43 kidney, congenital mesoblastic nephromas and rhabdoid tumor) [6]. The program had
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 published WES data on neuroblastoma [19], Wilms' tumor [24], clear cell sarcoma of the
10 kidney [68] and rhabdoid tumor [69]. It is worth noting that most of these WES studies
11 were performed as single studies, primarily involving Caucasian subjects. It is important
12 that additional WES or even whole-genome sequencing (which can effectively identify
13 large gene fusion events potentially missed by WES) studies on pediatric solid tumors
14 derived from other patients of diverse ethnic backgrounds are performed to enhance our
15 understanding of the genomic aberrations associated with these pediatric cancers.
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24 In addition to these above-mentioned large-scale genomic characterization studies for
25 specific pediatric tumor types which can inform us both the underlying cancer biology
26 involved as well as potential treatment directions, several large scale clinical studies are
27 ongoing to actively investigate various practical aspects and clinical outcomes of clinical
28 implementation of genomics-guided precision medicine for pediatric solid tumors. These
29 include: 1) the Baylor Advancing Sequencing into Childhood Cancer Care (BASIC3)
30 study for children with newly diagnosed solid tumors and brain tumors [70], 2) the
31 University of Michigan Pediatric Michigan Oncology Sequencing study (PEDS-
32 MIONCOSEQ; [71], which includes an integrative sequencing approach to examine all
33 genetic variants, fusions, gene copy changes into precision medicine decision, 3) the
34 iCat follow-up study, called the [Genomic Assessment Informs Novel therapy \(GAIN\)](#)
35 [consortium study](#), which will perform specialized tumor profiling for newly diagnosed,
36 recurrent, as well as refractory solid tumors (NCT02520713) together with iCat clinical
37 recommendations for clinical management, and 4) the multi-institutional **IND**ividualized
38 **Therapy FO**r **R**elapsed **M**alignancies in Childhood (INFORM) study, which is a German
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 program coordinated through the German Cancer Research Center (German Clinical
10 Trials Register, Study ID: DRKS00007623) for precision treatment of high-risk refractory
11 or relapsed pediatric cancers including solid tumors [72]. Molecular profiling includes
12
13 WES, WGS, RNA sequencing, methylation and expression array profiling. 5) Lastly, the
14
15 Children's Oncology Group (COG)-National Cancer Institute (NCI) are launching a
16
17 collaborative trial called the COG-NCI Pediatric Molecular Analysis for Therapeutics
18
19 Choice (Pediatric MATCH) in 2017 [73]. This trial employs an umbrella design with
20
21 multiple single-arm trials for patients with matched molecular profiles to be put on 7
22
23 classes of selected molecular targeting agents at the initial phase. Importantly, the
24
25 efficacy and safety of these agents have been carefully reviewed by the Pediatric
26
27 MATCH Target and Agent Prioritization (TAP committee). These 7 classes of molecular
28
29 targeting agents include inhibitors for mTOR/PI3K, MEK, PDGFR-alpha, BRAF, ALK,
30
31 TRK and FGFR [73]. The results of these major ongoing clinical studies are highly
32
33 anticipated as it will start teaching us about pediatric responder genomics as in adult
34
35 trials, and probably also inform us on related longer-term efficacy and toxicity issues for
36
37 young cancer patients. Some early results from these several studies have been
38
39 recently published and we have summarized those major findings in the "towards
40
41 precision treatment for pediatric solid tumors" section below.
42
43
44
45

Current Targeted Therapies for Pediatric Solid Tumors

46 Although there are 82 targeted therapies approved by the US FDA for the treatment of
47
48 adult cancers, only 3 of these drugs have been approved for use in children (everolimus,
49
50 dinutuximab and denosumab) irrespective of the genomic status of the tumors. For the
51
52

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 11 pediatric solid tumors shown in Supplementary Table 1, only everolimus has been
10 approved for the treatment of subependymal giant cell tumor for both children and
11 adults, dinutuximab for neuroblastoma for both children and adults, and denosumab for
12 giant cell tumor in skeletally mature adolescents and adults (Table 1). Besides children
13 with neuroblastoma and giant cell tumor, pediatric patients with the remaining 10 tumor
14 types listed have no new treatment options other than ~~the conventional therapies~~. Two
15 additional drugs have been approved for adults with glioblastoma multiforme
16 (bevacizumab) and rhabdomyosarcoma (pazopanib) and ~~Hodgkin's lymphoma~~
17 (~~brentuximab~~) but not for children with the same cancer types.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

29 Everolimus is a kinase inhibitor approved for the treatment of subependymal giant cell
30 astrocytoma (SEGA) associated with tuberous sclerosis in children [74]. A phase 3
31 randomized, double-blind, placebo controlled trial (EXIST-1) in pediatric and adult
32 patients (N=117; median age 9.5 years) showed 27 out of 78 (35%) patients receiving
33 everolimus had at least 50% reduction in tumor size at 6 months in the absence of new
34 or worsening non-target SEGA lesions, or new or worsening hydrocephalus[75]. A
35 recent long-term follow-up study showed that with 60 months of everolimus' use, 52-
36 60% of patients demonstrated SEGA volume reduction of >30-50% [75].
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46

47 Dinutuximab, also called Ch14.18, is a GD2-binding monoclonal antibody, which has
48 been recently approved by the FDA as part of the first-line therapy for patients with
49 high-risk neuroblastoma. It has been approved to be used in combination with
50
51
52

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57

granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF), interleukin-2 (IL-2) and 13-cis-retinoic acid (RA) for the treatment of pediatric patients with neuroblastoma [76]. Its efficacy is demonstrated in a phase 3 randomized, open-label, multicenter trial (N=226; median age 3.8 years). In patients receiving the dinutuximab regimen (six cycles of isotretinoin and five concomitant cycles of dinutuximab in combination with alternating GM-CSF and interleukin-2) vs isotretinoin treatment alone, the event-free survival and overall survival after 2 years was 66% and 86% (vs. 46% and 75%, respectively) [77].

Denosumab is a monoclonal antibody against RANKL, which is aberrantly overexpressed in giant cell tumor of bone (GCTB) in skeletally mature adolescents[78]. It has been approved by the FDA (under the priority review program) as the first and the only approved drug for GCTB in 2013. The approval was based on the clinical effectiveness and safety revealed from two clinical trials on 305 patients of which 10 were skeletally mature adolescents with GCTB. It showed an overall objective response rate in 2 out of 6 patients (33%) using modified Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST 1.1) [79].

These 3 FDA approved targeted therapies have proven to be of use in solid tumors unresponsive to standard treatment in children, leading to a significant improvement in survival.

Towards precision treatment for pediatric solid tumors

Gene-based clinical trials in pediatric solid tumor patients are challenging to conduct, mainly due to the very small number of childhood cancer patients in any single center.

Further, the efficacy and clinical details for precision medicine implementation in pediatric oncology have not been well-established yet. Recently, several large scale studies have started to investigate various clinical aspects and issues related to implementation of precision medicine for pediatric solid tumors Major findings include: 1) with genomic profiling including WES, WGS, or targeted sequencing, up to 32-56% of pediatric patients with solid tumors had potentially druggable/actionable genomic aberrations [62-64, 72, 73, 80-82]. 2) Such actionable findings have impacted cancer management in several noticeable ways, including changes in drug therapies based on somatic or germline mutations identified (even for refractory cases with no more treatment options), changes in diagnosis, consideration or provision of genetic counseling, and genetic testing of at-risk siblings. 3) Among some of the “precision-treated patients”, very promising clinical responses, including complete or partial durable responses were observed in some very rare pediatric solid tumors with ALK inhibitors (for *ALK* or *MET* rearrangements), BRAF or MEK inhibitors (for *BRAF* mutation or rearrangement), with pazopanib (for *TFE3* rearrangements), and sirolimus (for *PIK3CA* mutation), etc (details summarized in Table 2). 4) Potentially limited by the lack of previous evidence of gene-drug sensitivity data in these rare cancers and scarcity of drugs with previous toxicity data in children, some patients were not treated with new drug options even with known genomic profiles. Therefore, it becomes clear that increasing the availability of targeted therapies for young patients with more

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 extensive toxicity profile information may provide clinical benefit for them. It is
10 anticipated that these ongoing multi-center, multi-cancer type trials in young patients,
11 including the PEDS-MIONCOSEQ, BASIC3, iCat follow-up study, INFORM, Pediatric-
12 MATCH, will offer further practical insights and provide strong evidence-based clinical
13 rationale for implementation of precision medicine in the near future, potentially with
14 improved clinical outcomes for these young patients. Among those, clinical outcomes
15 from umbrella trials are highly anticipated.

16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25 In addition to these large scale clinical studies dedicated to pediatric solid tumor
26 patients, there are some pediatric-inclusive trials investigating the clinical efficacies of
27 drugs or drug combinations targeting five genetic alterations, namely *BRAF*, *EGFR*,
28 *ALK*, *ROS1* and *MET* ~~in various tumor types (Table 343a)~~. Some of these ongoing
29 clinical trials include young adults aged 16 or above. Most of these clinical trials have
30 not reached phase 3, except for vemurafenib, which is tested in adolescents aged 16 or
31 above. Especially for *EGFR* alterations, it is known in adult non-small cell lung cancer
32 (NSCLS) that only *EGFR*-activating mutations will confer sensitivity to *EGFR* tyrosine
33 kinase inhibitors (TKIs). It remains to be examined in these pediatric drug trials if *EGFR*
34 gene amplification or *EGFR* overexpression may identify pediatric responders to *EGFR*
35 inhibitors. Similarly, whilst *ALK* targeting has been shown to be effective in NSCLC
36 patients with *ALK*-gene rearrangements, it remains to be examined in pediatric drug
37 trials if *ALK* inhibitors would be effective in *ALK*-altered pediatric tumors. The results of
38 these gene-based clinical trials are highly anticipated as new options for pediatric
39 patients may be identified.

Ongoing clinical trials for targeted therapies for pediatric solid tumors

Besides genomic-guided clinical trials, trials addressing the efficacy of specific targeting of the EGFR, IGF1R and PI3K pathways with no specified gene analysis in the trial designs are also underway (Table 4). Most trials are in early stages, except for a phase 3 clinical trial of nimotuzumab (a humanized monoclonal antibody against EGFR; NCT00561691) in diffuse pontine glioma. In neuroblastoma, a phase I study (NCT02337309) is testing the use of SF1126, a PI3-kinase inhibitor, in pediatric patients with neuroblastoma. Only after the initial phase I study, the subsequent phase II design will test for the use of SF1126 in patients with tumors such as retinoblastoma with *MYCN* amplification, *MYCN* expression or *Myc* expression. Besides, a number of early clinical trials are testing IGF1R targeting in pediatric patients. The results of these targeting approaches will reveal the efficacies and related long-term toxicities of targeting these pathways in pediatric patients. It is important to note that these trial results of targeted therapies in pediatric patients may, in the near future, further guide the identification of related genetic biomarkers of response among potential pediatric responders.

There are documented cases of exceptional responders to targeted therapies. An example is a 12-year-old Caucasian male with *BRAF* V600E mutant glioblastoma multiforme [7] who achieved complete regression of tumor in response to a BRAF

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57

inhibitor (vemurafenib). It is anticipated that some of these pathway inhibitors can be clinically effective in pediatric solid tumors with tolerable toxicity profile.

Future Perspectives:

As of today, there are only 8 pediatric solid tumor types with whole-exome sequencing data available. Among those, some of the studies have only very limited number of cases being sequenced. It is anticipated that with additional 3 large scale sequencing projects ongoing, some new druggable genetic events may be uncovered for these often aggressive tumors, which often lack treatment options. Efforts thus far, have revealed a limited number of potential druggable mutations such as *EGFR*, *ALK*, *PIK3CA*, *FGFR1*, *NF1*, *IDH1* and *IDH2* mutations. These findings may help define new clinical trial design, or pediatric basket-type of trials for these patients. Multi-center or international efforts are often required for clinical trials to be conducted with reasonable patient number for the testing of new agents for these rare tumors. Lastly, it is noted that most of these published WES represent the genomic profiles of mostly Western pediatric patients, therefore, additional sequencing efforts in more pediatric cancers from a more diverse ethnicity can be encouraged, which may facilitate a more global development of precision medicine for pediatric solid tumors worldwide. In conclusion, current FDA-approved targeted therapies available for pediatric solid tumors are grossly insufficient. New pediatric gene-based clinical trials are urgently needed to provide the impetus for the development of precision medicine for pediatric solid tumors.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
Executive Summary:

24
25
26 ***Exceptional responders in pediatric solid tumors shed hope for precision***
27 ***medicine development***

- 28
29
30
- 31 • *BRAF*-mutated and *ALK*-mutated pediatric solid tumors have good clinical
32 responses in case reports.
 - 33 • Gives hopes for precision medicine for pediatric cancers with genomic profiling.
34
35
36

37
38 ***WES studies in pediatric solid tumors***

- 39
- 40 • 8 out of 11 most common pediatric solid tumors have potential druggable
41 genomic aberrations.
 - 42 • Main targets include: *BRAF*, *EGFR*, *PIK3CA*, *NF1*, *IDH1*, *IDH2*, *MYCN*, *ALK*,
43 *FGFR1*, *FGFR4*, and *KIT*.
44
45
46

47 ***Anticipating more WES data for more pediatric solid tumors***

- 48
49
- 50 • Many ongoing tumor-specific large scale WES studies
51
52

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 • Many ongoing clinical multi-tumor type sequencing studies coupled with clinical
10 investigations of drug efficacy based on molecular profile and toxicity in children.
11
12
13

14 ***Current Targeted Therapies for Pediatric Solid Tumors***

- 15 • Currently with only 3 approved targeted therapies for pediatric solid tumors.
16
17 • everolimus for subependymal giant cell tumor for both children and adults
18
19 • dinutuximab for neuroblastoma for both children and adults
20
21 • denosumab for giant cell tumor in skeletally mature adolescents and adults.
22
23

24 ***Towards precision treatment for pediatric solid tumors***

- 25 • major clinical findings investigating the feasibility and practical issues for
26 implementing molecular profiling for potential precision treatment of pediatric
27 cancers.
28
29 • ~40% pediatric solid tumors have potential druggable targets
30
31 • Some clinical responders have been reported together with genomic profiles
32
33 • Several ongoing major trials for precision medicine in the US and Germany
34
35
36
37
38

39 ***Ongoing clinical trials for targeted therapies for pediatric solid tumors***

- 40
41
42 • Ongoing clinical trials targeting *BRAF*, *EGFR*, *ALK*, *ROS* and *MET* have included
43 genomics for children
44
45 • Also ongoing clinical trials for EGFR, IGF1R, and PI3K pathway inhibitors do not
46 include genomic profiling.
47
48
49
50
51
52

References:

1. Ward E, Desantis C, Robbins A, Kohler B, Jemal A. Childhood and adolescent cancer statistics, 2014. *CA Cancer J Clin* 64(2), 83-103 (2014).
2. Targeted Cancer Therapies. National Cancer Institute. <https://www.cancer.gov/about-cancer/treatment/types/targeted-therapies/targeted-therapies-fact-sheet#q8> Accessed Feb 2017.
3. Cerami E, Gao J, Dogrusoz U *et al.* The cBio cancer genomics portal: an open platform for exploring multidimensional cancer genomics data. *Cancer Discov* 2(5), 401-404 (2012).
4. Gao J, Aksoy BA, Dogrusoz U *et al.* Integrative analysis of complex cancer genomics and clinical profiles using the cBioPortal. *Sci Signal* 6(269), pl1 (2013).
5. St. Jude-Washington University Pediatric Cancer Genome Project. <https://www.stjude.org/pcgp>. Accessed Sept 2016.
6. TARGET: Therapeutically Applicable Research To Generate Effective Treatments. National Cancer Institute. <https://ocg.cancer.gov/programs/target>. Published July 13 2015. Accessed Sept 2016.
7. Robinson GW, Orr BA, Gajjar A. Complete clinical regression of a BRAF V600E-mutant pediatric glioblastoma multiforme after BRAF inhibitor therapy. *BMC Cancer* 14 258 (2014).
**-Exceptional pediatric responder with BRAF V600F mutation
8. Mordechai O, Postovsky S, Vlodavsky E *et al.* Metastatic rhabdoid meningioma with BRAFV600E mutation and good response to personalized therapy: case report and review of the literature. *Pediatr. Hematol. Oncol.* 32(3), 207-211 (2015).
**-Exceptional pediatric responder with BRAF V600F mutation
9. Zapletalová D, Melichárková K, Štěřba J. Germinal mutation of PDGFRalpha in patient with tuberous sclerosis complex. Presented at: *CAC2 Pediatric Cancer Research Conference*. 2016.
**-Exceptional pediatric responder with PDGFR-alpha germline mutation
10. Ostrom QT, Gittleman H, Fulop J *et al.* CBTRUS Statistical Report: Primary Brain and Central Nervous System Tumors Diagnosed in the United States in 2008-2012. *Neuro Oncol* 17 Suppl 4 iv1-iv62 (2015).
11. United States Cancer Statistics: 1999–2013 Incidence and Mortality Web-based Report. (2016).
12. Pugh TJ, Weeraratne SD, Archer TC *et al.* Medulloblastoma exome sequencing uncovers subtype-specific somatic mutations. *Nature* 488(7409), 106-110 (2012).
13. Jones DT, Jager N, Kool M *et al.* Dissecting the genomic complexity underlying medulloblastoma. *Nature* 488(7409), 100-105 (2012).
14. Robinson G, Parker M, Kranenburg TA *et al.* Novel mutations target distinct subgroups of medulloblastoma. *Nature* 488(7409), 43-48 (2012).
15. Johnson BE, Mazar T, Hong C *et al.* Mutational analysis reveals the origin and therapy-driven evolution of recurrent glioma. *Science* 343(6167), 189-193 (2014).
16. Zhang J, Wu G, Miller CP *et al.* Whole-genome sequencing identifies genetic alterations in pediatric low-grade gliomas. *Nat. Genet.* 45(6), 602-612 (2013).
17. Molenaar JJ, Koster J, Zwijnenburg DA *et al.* Sequencing of neuroblastoma identifies chromothripsis and defects in neuritogenesis genes. *Nature* 483(7391), 589-593 (2012).
18. Peifer M, Hertwig F, Roels F *et al.* Telomerase activation by genomic rearrangements in high-risk neuroblastoma. *Nature* 526(7575), 700-704 (2015).

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57

19. Pugh TJ, Morozova O, Attiyeh EF *et al.* The genetic landscape of high-risk neuroblastoma. *Nat. Genet.* 45(3), 279-284 (2013).
20. Zhang J, Benavente CA, Mcevoy J *et al.* A novel retinoblastoma therapy from genomic and epigenetic analyses. *Nature* 481(7381), 329-334 (2012).
21. Chintagumpala M, Chevez-Barrios P, Paysse EA, Plon SE, Hurwitz R. Retinoblastoma: review of current management. *Oncologist* 12(10), 1237-1246 (2007).
22. Doz F, Peter M, Schleiermacher G *et al.* N-MYC amplification, loss of heterozygosity on the short arm of chromosome 1 and DNA ploidy in retinoblastoma. *Eur. J. Cancer* 32A(4), 645-649 (1996).
23. Theriault BL, Dimaras H, Gallie BL, Corson TW. The genomic landscape of retinoblastoma: a review. *Clin Exp Ophthalmol* 42(1), 33-52 (2014).
24. Walz AL, Ooms A, Gadd S *et al.* Recurrent DGCR8, DROSHA, and SIX homeodomain mutations in favorable histology Wilms tumors. *Cancer Cell* 27(2), 286-297 (2015).
25. Scott RH, Murray A, Baskcomb L *et al.* Stratification of Wilms tumor by genetic and epigenetic analysis. *Oncotarget* 3(3), 327-335 (2012).
26. Maw MA, Grundy PE, Millow LJ *et al.* A third Wilms' tumor locus on chromosome 16q. *Cancer Res.* 52(11), 3094-3098 (1992).
27. Dome JS, Coppes MJ. Recent advances in Wilms tumor genetics. *Curr Opin Pediatr* 14(1), 5-11 (2002).
28. Tomlinson GE, Kappler R. Genetics and epigenetics of hepatoblastoma. *Pediatr Blood Cancer* 59(5), 785-792 (2012).
29. Cairo S, Armengol C, De Reynies A *et al.* Hepatic stem-like phenotype and interplay of Wnt/beta-catenin and Myc signaling in aggressive childhood liver cancer. *Cancer Cell* 14(6), 471-484 (2008).
30. Chen X, Bahrami A, Pappo A *et al.* Recurrent somatic structural variations contribute to tumorigenesis in pediatric osteosarcoma. *Cell Rep* 7(1), 104-112 (2014).
31. Martin JW, Squire JA, Zielenska M. The genetics of osteosarcoma. *Sarcoma* 2012 627254 (2012).
32. Crompton BD, Stewart C, Taylor-Weiner A *et al.* The genomic landscape of pediatric Ewing sarcoma. *Cancer Discov* 4(11), 1326-1341 (2014).
33. Tirode F, Surdez D, Ma X *et al.* Genomic landscape of Ewing sarcoma defines an aggressive subtype with co-association of STAG2 and TP53 mutations. *Cancer Discov* 4(11), 1342-1353 (2014).
34. Shern JF, Chen L, Chmielecki J *et al.* Comprehensive genomic analysis of rhabdomyosarcoma reveals a landscape of alterations affecting a common genetic axis in fusion-positive and fusion-negative tumors. *Cancer Discov* 4(2), 216-231 (2014).
35. Terashima K, Yu A, Chow WY *et al.* Genome-wide analysis of DNA copy number alterations and loss of heterozygosity in intracranial germ cell tumors. *Pediatr Blood Cancer* 61(4), 593-600 (2014).
36. Wang L, Yamaguchi S, Burstein MD *et al.* Novel somatic and germline mutations in intracranial germ cell tumours. *Nature* 511(7508), 241-245 (2014).
37. Weniger MA, Melzner I, Menz CK *et al.* Mutations of the tumor suppressor gene SOCS-1 in classical Hodgkin lymphoma are frequent and associated with nuclear phospho-STAT5 accumulation. *Oncogene* 25(18), 2679-2684 (2006).
38. Leventaki V, Drakos E, Karanikou M *et al.* c-JUN N-terminal kinase (JNK) is activated and contributes to tumor cell proliferation in classical Hodgkin lymphoma. *Human pathology* 45(3), 565-572 (2014).
39. Schmitz R, Hansmann ML, Bohle V *et al.* TNFAIP3 (A20) is a tumor suppressor gene in Hodgkin lymphoma and primary mediastinal B cell lymphoma. *J. Exp. Med.* 206(5), 981-989 (2009).

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 40. Nagel S, Schneider B, Rosenwald A *et al.* t(4;8)(q27;q24) in Hodgkin lymphoma cells targets phosphodiesterase PDE5A and homeobox gene ZHX2. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer* 50(12), 996-1009 (2011).
- 10
11
12 41. Lake A, Shield LA, Cordano P *et al.* Mutations of NFKBIA, encoding I kappa B alpha, are a recurrent finding in classical Hodgkin lymphoma but are not a unifying feature of non-EBV-associated cases. *Int. J. Cancer* 125(6), 1334-1342 (2009).
- 13
14 42. Gunawardana J, Chan FC, Telenius A *et al.* Recurrent somatic mutations of PTPN1 in primary mediastinal B cell lymphoma and Hodgkin lymphoma. *Nat. Genet.* 46(4), 329-335 (2014).
- 15
16 43. Martin-Subero JI, Gesk S, Harder L *et al.* Recurrent involvement of the REL and BCL11A loci in classical Hodgkin lymphoma. *Blood* 99(4), 1474-1477 (2002).
- 17
18 44. Murphy D, Parker J, Zhou M *et al.* Constitutively overexpressed 21 kDa protein in Hodgkin lymphoma and aggressive non-Hodgkin lymphomas identified as cytochrome B5b (CYB5B). *Mol Cancer* 9 14(2010).
- 19
20
21 45. Yeh P, Chen H, Andrews J, Naser R, Pao W, Horn L. DNA-Mutation Inventory to Refine and Enhance Cancer Treatment (DIRECT): a catalog of clinically relevant cancer mutations to enable genome-directed anticancer therapy. *Clin. Cancer. Res.* 19(7), 1894-1901 (2013).
- 22
23 46. Krauthammer M, Kong Y, Bacchicocchi A *et al.* Exome sequencing identifies recurrent mutations in NF1 and RASopathy genes in sun-exposed melanomas. *Nat. Genet.* 47(9), 996-1002 (2015).
- 24
25 47. Ameratunga M, McArthur G, Gan H, Cher L. Prolonged disease control with MEK inhibitor in neurofibromatosis type I-associated glioblastoma. *J Clin Pharm Ther* 41(3), 357-359 (2016).
- 26
27 48. Dombi E, Baldwin A, Marcus LJ *et al.* Activity of Selumetinib in Neurofibromatosis Type 1-Related Plexiform Neurofibromas. *N Engl J Med* 375(26), 2550-2560 (2016).
- 28
29 49. NF1 Mutations Prevalent but Not Clinically Relevant. Aug 2015. Available at
30 <http://cancerdiscovery.aacrjournals.org/content/early/2015/08/17/2159-8290.CD-NB2015-119.full>. Accessed Sept 2016.
- 31
32 50. Rohle D, Popovici-Muller J, Palaskas N *et al.* An inhibitor of mutant IDH1 delays growth and promotes differentiation of glioma cells. *Science* 340(6132), 626-630(2013).
- 33
34 51. Mutant-IDH1 Inhibitor AG-120 Shows Early Promise Against Solid Tumors With IDH1 Mutations. Aug 2015. Available at <http://www.aacr.org/Newsroom/Pages/News-Release-Detail.aspx?ItemID=789#.WDPB19V94dV>. Accessed Sept 2016.
- 35
36 52. Wu YM, Su F, Kalyana-Sundaram S *et al.* Identification of targetable FGFR gene fusions in diverse cancers. *Cancer discovery* 3(6), 636-647 (2013).
- 37
38 53. Bresler SC, Weiser DA, Huwe PJ *et al.* ALK mutations confer differential oncogenic activation and sensitivity to ALK inhibition therapy in neuroblastoma. *Cancer Cell* 26(5), 682-694 (2014).
- 39
40 54. Chen Y, Takita J, Choi YL *et al.* Oncogenic mutations of ALK kinase in neuroblastoma. *Nature* 455(7215), 971-974 (2008).
- 41
42 55. Khalaf MR, Zaki EM, Darwish AM, El-Naggar MM. Frequency of the ALK gene and its prognostic value in neuroblastoma by FISH. *Egyptian Journal of Haematology* 40(2), 66-73 (2015).
- 43
44 56. Ferrarelli LK. Targeting the "Undruggable" MYCN. *Science signaling* 7(344), ec260 (2014).
- 45
46 57. Sottile F, Gnemmi I, Cantilena S, D'acunto WC, Sala A. A chemical screen identifies the chemotherapeutic drug topotecan as a specific inhibitor of the B-MYB/MYCN axis in neuroblastoma. *Oncotarget* 3(5), 535-545 (2012).
- 47
48 58. Minturn JE, Janss AJ, Fisher PG *et al.* A phase II study of metronomic oral topotecan for recurrent childhood brain tumors. *Pediatr Blood Cancer* 56(1), 39-44 (2011).
- 49
50 59. Packer LM, Pollock PM. Paralog-Specific Kinase Inhibition of FGFR4: Adding to the Arsenal of Anti-FGFR Agents. *Cancer discovery* 5(4), 355-357 (2015).
- 51
52

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58

60. Carvajal RD, Antonescu CR, Wolchok JD *et al.* KIT as a therapeutic target in metastatic melanoma. *Jama* 305(22), 2327-2334 (2011).
61. Growney JD, Clark JJ, Adelsperger J *et al.* Activation mutations of human c-KIT resistant to imatinib mesylate are sensitive to the tyrosine kinase inhibitor PKC412. *Blood* 106(2), 721-724 (2005).
62. Mody RJ, Wu YM, Lonigro RJ *et al.* Integrative Clinical Sequencing in the Management of Refractory or Relapsed Cancer in Youth. *JAMA* 314(9), 913-925 (2015).
63. **-important study with clinical responder genomic information for individual patients.
Parsons DW, Roy A, Yang Y *et al.* Diagnostic Yield of Clinical Tumor and Germline Whole-Exome Sequencing for Children With Solid Tumors. *JAMA Oncol* doi:10.1001/jamaoncol.2015.5699 (2016).
64. Harris MH, Dubois SG, Glade Bender JL *et al.* Multicenter Feasibility Study of Tumor Molecular Profiling to Inform Therapeutic Decisions in Advanced Pediatric Solid Tumors: The Individualized Cancer Therapy (iCat) Study. *JAMA Oncol* doi:10.1001/jamaoncol.2015.5689 (2016).
65. Pinto EM, Chen X, Easton J *et al.* Genomic landscape of paediatric adrenocortical tumours. *Nature communications* 6 6302 (2015).
66. Qaddoumi I, Orisme W, Wen J *et al.* Genetic alterations in uncommon low-grade neuroepithelial tumors: BRAF, FGFR1, and MYB mutations occur at high frequency and align with morphology. *Acta neuropathologica* 131(6), 833-845 (2016).
67. Wu G, Diaz AK, Paugh BS *et al.* The genomic landscape of diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma and pediatric non-brainstem high-grade glioma. *Nature genetics* 46(5), 444-450 (2014).
68. Gooskens SL, Gadd S, Guidry Auvil JM *et al.* TCF21 hypermethylation in genetically quiescent clear cell sarcoma of the kidney. *Oncotarget* 6(18), 15828-15841 (2015).
69. Chun HJ, Lim EL, Heravi-Moussavi A *et al.* Genome-Wide Profiles of Extra-cranial Malignant Rhabdoid Tumors Reveal Heterogeneity and Dysregulated Developmental Pathways. *Cancer cell* 29(3), 394-406 (2016).
70. Baylor Advancing Sequencing into Childhood Cancer Care (BASIC3). Clinical Sequencing Exploratory Research. <https://cser-consortium.org/projects/27>. Accessed Feb 2017.
71. MI-ONCOSEQ Study. Michigan Center for Translational Pathology. <http://mctp.med.umich.edu/physicians/mi-oncoseq-study>. Accessed Feb 2017.
72. Worst BC, Van Tilburg CM, Balasubramanian GP *et al.* Next-generation personalised medicine for high-risk paediatric cancer patients - The INFORM pilot study. *Eur. J. Cancer* 65 91-101 (2016).
73. **-important study with clinical responder genomic information for individual patients.
Allen CE, Laetsch TW, Mody R, Irwin MS, Lim MS, Adamson PC. Target and Agent Prioritization for the Children's Oncology Group—National Cancer Institute Pediatric MATCH Trial. *J. Natl. Cancer Inst.* 109(5), (2017).
74. **- gives important mutation-drug matching details for the the Pediatric MATCH trial
U.S. Food and Drug Administration, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research. Full prescribing information: AFINITOR. Jul 2012. Available at http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2012/203985s000lbl.pdf. Accessed Sept 2016.
75. Franz DN, Agricola K, Mays M *et al.* Everolimus for subependymal giant cell astrocytoma: 5-year final analysis. *Annals of neurology* 78(6), 929-938 (2015).
76. U.S. Food and Drug Administration, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research. Full prescribing information: UNITUXIN. Mar 2015. Available at

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58

http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2015/125516s000lbl.pdf. Accessed Sept 2016.

77. Yu AL, Gilman AL, Ozkaynak MF *et al*. Anti-GD2 antibody with GM-CSF, interleukin-2, and isotretinoin for neuroblastoma. *The New England journal of medicine* 363(14), 1324-1334 (2010).
78. U.S. Food and Drug Administration, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research. Full prescribing information: Xgeva. Jun 2013. Available at http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2013/125320s094lbl.pdf. Accessed Sept 2016.
79. Martin-Broto J, Cleeland CS, Glare PA *et al*. Effects of denosumab on pain and analgesic use in giant cell tumor of bone: interim results from a phase II study. *Acta oncologica* 53(9), 1173-1179 (2014).
80. Chang W, Brohl AS, Patidar R *et al*. MultiDimensional ClinOmics for Precision Therapy of Children and Adolescent Young Adults with Relapsed and Refractory Cancer: A Report from the Center for Cancer Research. *Clin. Cancer. Res.* 22(15), 3810-3820 (2016).
- **-important study with clinical responder genomic information for individual patients.
81. Oberg JA, Glade Bender JL, Sulis ML *et al*. Implementation of next generation sequencing into pediatric hematology-oncology practice: moving beyond actionable alterations. *Genome Med* 8(1), 133 (2016).
82. Ortiz MV, Kobos R, Walsh M *et al*. Integrating Genomics Into Clinical Pediatric Oncology Using the Molecular Tumor Board at the Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center. *Pediatr Blood Cancer* 63(8), 1368-1374 (2016).

Cancer Type	US Incidence rate (per 100,000)	Cases in US (2009-13)	WES/ WGS/ Others	Country (Cohort)	Frequency of common mutations	Other known genetic events	Reference
Medulloblastoma	4.1*	1690* (2008-2012)	WES (N=92)	U.S., Canada (Children)	<i>KMT2D</i> (8.7%); <i>DDX3X</i> (7.6%); <i>PTCH1</i> (6.5%); <i>CTNNB1</i> (6.5%); <i>SMARCA4</i> (4.4%); <i>KMT2C</i> (4.4%); <i>ABCA13</i> (4.4%); <i>TP53</i> (3.3%); <i>BCOR</i> (3.3%); <i>EPPK1</i> (3.3%); <i>KDM6A</i> (3.3%); <i>MAN2C1</i> (3.3%); <i>PLXNA2</i> (3.3%); <i>TTN</i> (3.3%); <i>GPS2</i> (3.3%); <i>SPTB</i> (3.3%); <i>LAMA5</i> (3.3%)	-	[12]
			WES (N=125)	Germany (Children)	<i>CTNNB1</i> (12%); <i>DDX3X</i> (8%); <i>PTCH1</i> (6.4%); <i>KMT2D</i> (4.8%); <i>SMARCA4</i> (4.8%); <i>KDM6A</i> (4%); <i>TP53</i> (4%); <i>CTDNEP1</i> (3.2%)	-	[13]
			WES (N=37)	U.S. (Children)	<i>CTNNB1</i> (10.8%); <i>DDX3X</i> (10.8%); <i>TTN</i> (8.1%); <i>KDM6A</i> (8.1%); <i>CHD7</i> (8.1%); <i>DEPDC5</i> (5.4%); <i>ZMYM3</i> (5.4%); <i>SF3B1</i> (5.4%); <i>DYNCH1H1</i> (5.4%); <i>FAP</i> (5.4%); <i>FCRL2</i> (5.4%); <i>GPAM</i> (5.4%); <i>IFIT3</i> (5.4%); <i>DNAH14</i> (5.4%); <i>PKFK</i> (5.4%); <i>WDFY3</i> (5.4%); <i>WDFY4</i> (5.4%); <i>CACNA1D</i> (5.4%)	-	[14]
Glioblastoma multiforme	1.6*	659* (2008-2012)	WES (N=290)	U.S. (N.A.)	<i>PTEN</i> (31.4%); <i>TP53</i> (29.3%); <i>EGFR</i> (26.8%); <i>FLG</i> (11.5%); <i>PIK3R1</i> (11.5%); <i>NF1</i> (11.2%); <i>PIK3CA</i> (11.2%); <i>RYR2</i> (10.1%); <i>PLO</i> (9.8%); <i>SPTA1</i> (9.4%); <i>RBI</i> (8.7%); <i>MUC17</i> (8%); <i>AHNAK2</i> (6.6%); <i>ATRX</i> (5.9%); <i>FRG1BP</i> (5.9%); <i>TCHH</i> (5.6%); <i>OBSCN</i> (5.6%); <i>IDH1</i> (5.2%); <i>KEL</i> (5.2%); <i>CNTNAP2</i> (4.9%); <i>SYNE1</i> (4.9%); <i>KRTAP4-11</i> (4.5%); <i>RELN</i> (4.5%); <i>NLRP5</i> (4.2%); <i>CFAP47</i> (4.2%); <i>STAG2</i> (4.2%); <i>FLG2</i> (4.2%); <i>COL1A2</i> (4.2%); <i>HCN1</i> (4.2%); <i>MROH2B</i> (4.2%); <i>POTEC</i> (3.8%); <i>SCN9A</i> (3.8%); <i>GABRA6</i> (3.8%); <i>KMT2C</i> (3.8%); <i>CDH18</i> (3.8%); <i>SEMA3C</i> (3.8%); <i>PDGFRA</i> (3.8%); <i>DMD</i> (3.8%); <i>PRDM9</i> (3.5%); <i>ABCB1</i> (3.5%); <i>ABCC9</i> (3.5%); <i>SEMG1</i> (3.1%); <i>RPSAP58</i> (3.1%); <i>F5</i> (3.1%); <i>TAF1L</i> (3.1%); <i>ADAM29</i> (3.1%); <i>LZTR1</i> (3.1%); <i>THSD7B</i> (3.1%); <i>GRIN2A</i> (3.1%); <i>PCDH11X</i> (3.1%); <i>PIK3C2G</i> (3.1%); <i>KDR</i> (3.1%); <i>ADAMTS16</i> (3.1%); <i>DSG3</i> (3.1%)	-	cbiportal
Low grade glioma	17.1*	7066* (2008-2012)	WES (N=286)	U.S. (N.A.)	<i>IDH1</i> (77.3%); <i>TP53</i> (51.1%); <i>ATRX</i> (41.3%); <i>CIC</i> (19.6%); <i>NOTCH1</i> (10.8%); <i>FUBP1</i> (8.7%); <i>PIK3CA</i> (8.4%); <i>NF1</i> (5.9%); <i>EGFR</i> (5.2%); <i>PIK3R1</i> (4.9%); <i>SMARCA4</i> (4.6%); <i>PTEN</i> (4.6%); <i>ARID1A</i> (4.2%); <i>IDH2</i> (4.2%); <i>ZBTB20</i> (3.5%); <i>APOB</i> (3.2%); <i>FLG</i> (3.2%); <i>RYR2</i> (3.2%); <i>BCOR</i> (3.2%)	-	cbiportal
			WES (N=30) (N >2) (Primary)	U.S., Japan (N.A.)	<i>IDH1</i> (100%); <i>TP53</i> (86.7%); <i>ATRX</i> (83.3%); <i>CCDC91</i> (16.7%); <i>TMPRSS15</i> (16.7%); <i>SMARCA4</i> (13.3%); <i>RPL21</i> (13.3%); <i>OR5D14</i> (13.3%); <i>DCHS2</i> (13.3%); <i>ZNF280D</i> (13.3%); <i>HOXC12</i> (13.3%); <i>DYTN</i> (13.3%); <i>TRIM52</i> (13.3%); <i>PLO</i> (13.3%); <i>TP3</i> (13.3%); <i>ZNF628</i> (10%); <i>OR6C70</i> (10%); <i>SOWAHCH</i> (10%); <i>CD3EAP</i> (10%); <i>TAAR8</i> (10%); <i>MUC6</i> (10%); <i>APOB</i> (10%); <i>FLG</i> (10%); <i>RYR1</i> (10%); <i>CCTBL2</i> (10%); <i>CDKAL1</i> (10%); <i>RFX7</i> (10%); <i>OR5B3</i> (10%); <i>WDR1</i> (10%); <i>ADGRG7</i> (10%); <i>GMNC</i> (10%); <i>SUGCT</i> (10%); <i>FAM189A2</i> (10%); <i>NUP188</i> (10%); <i>LRRIC16B</i> (10%); <i>AIM2</i> (10%); <i>AATK</i> (10%); <i>ABHD6</i> (10%)	-	[15]
			WES (N=31) (N >2) (Recurrent)		<i>IDH1</i> (100%); <i>TP53</i> (93.6%); <i>ATRX</i> (80.7%); <i>FAT1</i> (25.8%); <i>KMT2C</i> (22.6%); <i>CDHR3</i> (22.6%); <i>SMARCA4</i> (19.4%); <i>ARNT</i> (19.4%); <i>MAP10</i> (19.4%); <i>ATP2B4</i> (19.4%); <i>MYO7B</i> (19.4%); <i>BCL11B</i> (19.4%); <i>HEFH</i> (19.4%); <i>SPHKAP</i> (16.1%); <i>MUC6</i> (16.1%); <i>MARS</i> (16.1%); <i>FLG</i> (16.1%); <i>RAD54B</i> (16.1%); <i>STXBPS</i> (16.1%); <i>NOTCH2</i> (16.1%); <i>CDKN2A</i> (16.1%); <i>TMEM63B</i> (16.1%); <i>ABCB4</i> (16.1%); <i>COL12A1</i> (16.1%); <i>PIK3CA</i> (16.1%); <i>BRIP1</i> (16.1%); <i>OBSCN</i> (16.1%); <i>TEX11</i> (16.1%); <i>FBN3</i> (16.1%); <i>COL28A1</i> (12.9%); <i>MYO11</i> (12.9%); <i>SIGLEC1</i> (12.9%); <i>ACSF2</i> (12.9%); <i>TIMELESS</i> (12.9%); <i>CPNE3</i> (12.9%); <i>AHNAK2</i> (12.9%); <i>TAF1L</i> (12.9%); <i>OGFR</i> (12.9%); <i>TRRAP</i> (12.9%); <i>CRTPA</i> (12.9%); <i>DCHS2</i> (12.9%); <i>MYH10</i> (12.9%); <i>DDR1</i> (12.9%); <i>ZNF211</i> (12.9%); <i>STAT5A</i> (12.9%); <i>SETD1A</i> (12.9%); <i>ASPM</i> (12.9%); <i>SPEN</i> (12.9%); <i>HLA-B</i> (12.9%); <i>NUP133</i> (12.9%); <i>ZNF107</i> (12.9%); <i>KMT2D</i> (12.9%); <i>RNF213</i> (12.9%); <i>BRD4</i> (12.9%); <i>KAT6B</i> (12.9%); <i>PREX1</i> (12.9%); <i>SLC22A25</i> (12.9%); <i>RELN</i> (12.9%); <i>TMPRSS15</i> (12.9%); <i>LAMB1</i> (12.9%); <i>PTPN13</i> (12.9%); <i>KRT12</i> (12.9%); <i>ABLI</i> (9.7%); <i>ACHE</i> (9.7%); <i>SLC9A5</i> (9.7%); <i>SNRPB</i> (9.7%); <i>CTNNA1</i> (9.7%); <i>SNAPC4</i> (9.7%); <i>RNGTT</i> (9.7%); <i>ERBB4</i> (9.7%); <i>C10ORF12</i> (9.7%); <i>EPHB3</i> (9.7%); <i>EPC2</i> (9.7%); <i>EYA2</i> (9.7%); <i>FBXL5</i> (9.7%); <i>CDC16</i> (9.7%); <i>ZPR1</i> (9.7%); <i>NES</i> (9.7%); <i>PTGDR2</i> (9.7%); <i>PSTPIP1</i> (9.7%); <i>WFDIC2</i> (9.7%); <i>APOB</i> (9.7%); <i>MAP3K1</i> (9.7%); <i>APC</i> (9.7%); <i>TRIOBP</i> (9.7%); <i>DENND2D</i> (9.7%); <i>RYR1</i> (9.7%); <i>ATM</i> (9.7%); <i>ZFXH3</i> (9.7%); <i>KMT2A</i> (9.7%); <i>SART1</i> (9.7%); <i>RBM14</i> (9.7%); <i>ARID1A</i> (9.7%); <i>INTS5</i> (9.7%); <i>EPHA10</i> (9.7%); <i>SEC24B</i> (9.7%); <i>GIGYF1</i> (9.7%); <i>KDM5C</i> (9.7%); <i>CAPN12</i> (9.7%); <i>TCEB3</i> (9.7%); <i>TMEM214</i> (9.7%); <i>TOPAZ1</i> (9.7%); <i>BPGM</i> (9.7%); <i>TET2</i> (9.7%); <i>MDH1B</i> (9.7%); <i>TEAD3</i> (9.7%); <i>SLC9A4</i> (9.7%); <i>C5</i> (9.7%); <i>PROL1</i> (9.7%); <i>MYH1</i> (9.7%); <i>POLQ</i> (9.7%); <i>UPF2</i> (9.7%); <i>IRS4</i> (9.7%); <i>CBL</i> (9.7%); <i>ATRN</i> (9.7%); <i>NF1</i> (9.7%); <i>AKR1D1</i> (9.7%); <i>RANBP17</i> (9.7%); <i>GRIN2A</i> (9.7%); <i>STYK1</i> (9.7%); <i>KMT2B</i> (9.7%); <i>HSPA5</i> (9.7%); <i>TAS2R30</i> (9.7%); <i>POLE</i> (9.7%); <i>CFTR</i> (9.7%); <i>MYO18A</i> (9.7%); <i>ADGRE3</i> (9.7%); <i>MAGI2</i> (9.7%); <i>COL1A1</i> (9.7%); <i>WHSC1</i> (9.7%); <i>MED12</i> (9.7%); <i>WNT2B</i> (9.7%); <i>MAST3</i> (9.7%); <i>SGK223</i> (9.7%); <i>VILI</i> (9.7%); <i>ARHGAP9</i> (9.7%); <i>TYW1B</i> (9.7%); <i>DYTN</i> (9.7%); <i>PRSS48</i> (9.7%); <i>CPA2</i> (9.7%); <i>PEX6</i> (9.7%); <i>CREBBP</i> (9.7%); <i>CR2</i> (9.7%); <i>COL11A1</i> (9.7%); <i>COL7A1</i> (9.7%); <i>PLO</i> (9.7%); <i>COL3A1</i> (9.7%); <i>CDAN1</i> (9.7%); <i>PPP1R21</i> (9.7%); <i>OR10AG1</i> (9.7%); <i>PLS3</i> (9.7%); <i>AKAP9</i> (9.7%); <i>GAGE2D</i> (9.7%); <i>CTSV</i> (9.7%); <i>PLEC</i> (9.7%); <i>YTHDF2</i> (9.7%); <i>PHF2</i> (9.7%); <i>SCARA3</i> (9.7%); <i>GPRC6A</i> (9.7%); <i>LRRK2</i> (9.7%); <i>FAT4</i> (9.7%); <i>SYNE1</i> (9.7%); <i>IL23R</i> (9.7%); <i>UNC45A</i> (9.7%); <i>UBQLNL</i> (9.7%); <i>FSCB</i> (9.7%); <i>ITGAD</i> (9.7%); <i>NUP214</i> (9.7%); <i>INPPL1</i> (9.7%); <i>TSZH3</i> (9.7%); <i>NOB1</i> (9.7%); <i>USP35</i> (9.7%); <i>DEFB126</i> (9.7%); <i>LPA</i> (9.7%); <i>DSCAM</i> (9.7%); <i>SLC26A3</i> (9.7%); <i>EPHA6</i> (9.7%); <i>NCOR2</i> (9.7%); <i>PRDM2</i> (9.7%); <i>LAMA2</i> (9.7%); <i>KIAA1217</i> (9.7%); <i>LCK</i> (9.7%); <i>EPS8L3</i> (9.7%); <i>PTPRD</i> (9.7%); <i>ARC</i> (9.7%)	-	[16]
			WGS + Other	U.S. (Children)	<i>BRAF</i> (12.0%); <i>H3F3A</i> (4%); <i>FGFR1</i> (duplication/mutation/rearrangement; 21.3%)	-	[16]
Neuroblastoma	8.4**	3438**	WES (N=87)	Amsterdam (Children)	<i>ZNF17</i> (6.9%); <i>ALK</i> (5.7%); <i>TIAM1</i> (3.4%)	-	[17]
			WES (N=56)	Germany (Children)	<i>ALK</i> (8.9%); <i>MUC16</i> (5.4%); <i>WWP1</i> (3.6%); <i>AHNAK2</i> (3.6%); <i>MYH1</i> (3.6%); <i>TTN</i> (3.6%); <i>ITGAE</i> (3.6%); <i>COL5A3</i> (3.6%); <i>BALAP2L2</i> (3.6%); <i>LATS2</i> (3.6%); <i>GJA3</i> (3.6%); <i>PCDH12</i> (3.6%); <i>XIRP2</i> (3.6%); <i>MUC17</i> (3.6%); <i>GIGYF2</i> (3.6%); <i>DSC2</i> (3.6%); <i>NEB</i> (3.6%); <i>KRT10</i> (3.6%); <i>LHCR</i> (3.6%); <i>HGSNAT</i> (3.6%); <i>TNXB</i> (3.6%); <i>TBP</i> (3.6%); <i>PDE6A</i> (3.6%); <i>SNX21</i> (3.6%); <i>CASR</i> (3.6%)	-	[18]
			WES + WGS + Other	U.S. (Children)	<i>ALK</i> (9.2%)	-	[19]
Retinoblastoma	3.3**	1336**	WGS (N = 4)	U.S. (Children)	<i>RBI</i> (100%)	-	[20]
			Others		-	Mutation: <i>RBI</i> (95%); <i>RBL2</i> Amplification: <i>MDM4</i> ; <i>E2F3</i> ; <i>DEK</i> ; <i>MYCN</i>	[21-23]
Wilms'tumor	6.4**	2604**	WES + WGS	U.S. (Children)	<i>DROSHA</i> (10.4%); <i>CTNNB1</i> (6.5%); <i>SIX1</i> (5.2%); <i>WT1</i> (3.9%); <i>WTX</i> (3.9%); <i>DGCR8</i> (3.9%)	-	[24]

			Others	-	-				Mutation: <i>WT1</i> ; <i>WTX</i> ; <i>WT2 region</i> (possible genes <i>IGF2</i> , <i>CDKN1C</i> , <i>H19</i>) <i>CTNNB1</i> ; <i>TP53</i> ; <i>FWT1</i> ; <i>FWT2</i> ; <i>FBXW7</i> (4%) Deletion: <i>MEOX2</i> ; <i>SOSTDC1</i> ; <i>SKCG-1</i> Amplification: <i>MYCN</i> ; <i>CACNA1E</i>	[25-27]	
Hepatoblastoma	1.8**	758**	Others	-	-				Mutation: <i>APC</i> ; <i>CTNNB1</i> ; <i>AXIN1</i> ; <i>AXIN2</i> ; <i>PIK3CA</i> ; <i>GPC3</i> ; <i>NSD1</i> ; <i>TP53</i> Deletion: <i>SMARCB1</i> Amplification: <i>PIK3C2B</i> ; <i>PLAG1</i>	[28,29]	
Osteosarcoma	5.0**	2056**	WGS (N=34) Others	U.S. (Children) -	<i>TP53</i> (82.4%); <i>DLG2</i> (52.9%); <i>RBI</i> (29.4%); <i>ATRX</i> (29.4%)			N.A.		[30]	
									Mutation: <i>TP53</i> ; <i>RB1</i> Amplification: <i>RUNX2</i> (87%); <i>COPS3</i> ; <i>PMP22</i> ; <i>MAPK7</i> (20-78%); <i>MYC</i> (14-67%); <i>E2F3</i> (60%); <i>MDM2</i> (3-25%); <i>VEGFA</i> (25%)	[31]	
Ewing's sarcoma	2.9**	1203**	WES (N=105)	U.S. (Children)	<i>EWSR1</i> (36.2%); <i>TP53</i> (12.4%); <i>MUC6</i> (11.4%); <i>STAG2</i> (11.4%); <i>KMT2D</i> (11.4%); <i>EPPK1</i> (9.5%); <i>AHNAK2</i> (8.6%); <i>DNAH1</i> (8.6%); <i>ZFX3</i> (7.6%); <i>THBS4</i> (7.6%); <i>NPHP4</i> (7.6%); <i>OBSCN</i> (7.6%); <i>ATP7B</i> (6.7%); <i>HRNR</i> (6.7%); <i>RPTN</i> (6.7%); <i>SPTA1</i> (6.7%); <i>SPEN</i> (6.7%); <i>CRI1</i> (6.7%); <i>SYNE1</i> (6.7%); <i>DSP</i> (6.7%); <i>COL18A1</i> (5.7%); <i>PRAMEF12</i> (5.7%); <i>VWF</i> (5.7%); <i>LLGL2</i> (5.7%); <i>LAMA2</i> (5.7%); <i>FAT1</i> (4.8%); <i>PREX2</i> (4.8%); <i>CIITA</i> (4.8%); <i>ATM</i> (4.8%); <i>RRBP1</i> (4.8%); <i>TCHH</i> (4.8%); <i>CLTCL1</i> (4.8%); <i>TNNI3K</i> (4.8%); <i>ABCC4</i> (4.8%); <i>AVIL</i> (4.8%); <i>TNKS1BP1</i> (4.8%); <i>RP111</i> (4.8%); <i>ATP8B3</i> (4.8%); <i>KIAA1755</i> (4.8%); <i>PRB2</i> (4.8%); <i>EXOSC10</i> (4.8%); <i>PRKDC</i> (4.8%); <i>MYO16</i> (4.8%); <i>GPR179</i> (4.8%); <i>PCDHGA5</i> (4.8%); <i>COL6A6</i> (4.8%); <i>RELN</i> (4.8%); <i>SLC27A3</i> (3.8%); <i>SLC13A1</i> (3.8%); <i>ADAM21</i> (3.8%); <i>MAPK15</i> (3.8%); <i>PEAR1</i> (3.8%); <i>ADCY3</i> (3.8%); <i>FHL1</i> (3.8%); <i>ERC2</i> (3.8%); <i>EPRS</i> (3.8%); <i>CFAP53</i> (3.8%); <i>TCEB3C</i> (3.8%); <i>FBXO33</i> (3.8%); <i>MAP3K4</i> (3.8%); <i>FLT4</i> (3.8%); <i>MYOM2</i> (3.8%); <i>BTNL8</i> (3.8%); <i>ZNF672</i> (3.8%); <i>LILRA2</i> (3.8%); <i>NLRP5</i> (3.8%); <i>USP6</i> (3.8%); <i>TAS1R1</i> (3.8%); <i>TRRAP</i> (3.8%); <i>TLR2</i> (3.8%); <i>LAP3</i> (3.8%); <i>CTAGE1</i> (3.8%); <i>C4BPA</i> (3.8%); <i>LRR1</i> (3.8%); <i>CACNB2</i> (3.8%); <i>HOOK2</i> (3.8%); <i>BBS9</i> (3.8%); <i>OR10A7</i> (3.8%); <i>LRP1B</i> (3.8%); <i>MAGEC1</i> (3.8%); <i>PLXNA3</i> (3.8%); <i>PCLC</i> (3.8%); <i>TRAP1</i> (3.8%); <i>AKAP9</i> (3.8%); <i>XIRP1</i> (3.8%); <i>PLEC</i> (3.8%); <i>TRPM2</i> (3.8%); <i>PIK3C2G</i> (3.8%); <i>LRRK2</i> (3.8%); <i>RHBDF2</i> (3.8%); <i>TMPS56</i> (3.8%); <i>DYNC1J2</i> (3.8%); <i>DMD</i> (3.8%); <i>B4GALNT3</i> (3.8%); <i>ZNF471</i> (3.8%); <i>TEX14</i> (3.8%); <i>MAST2</i> (3.8%); <i>SMC5</i> (3.8%); <i>ZNF208</i> (3.8%); <i>ZNF142</i> (3.8%); <i>PTPN7</i> (3.8%); <i>CFHR5</i> (3.8%)						[32]
			WES (N = 112)	U.S. (Children, Adults)	<i>STAG2</i> (16.1%); <i>TP53</i> (7.1%); <i>CSMD1</i> (4.5%); <i>TTN</i> (4.5%)					[33]	
Rhabdomyosarcoma	4.7**	1928**	WES (N=43)	US (Children; Adults)	<i>NRAS</i> (9.3%); <i>NPHS1</i> (7.0%); <i>NF1</i> (7.0%); <i>FBXW7</i> (7.0%); <i>BCOR</i> (7.0%); <i>FGFR4</i> (7.0%); <i>PIK3CA</i> (7.0%); <i>SLC6A17</i> (7.0%); <i>OR52N1</i> (7.0%); <i>KRAS</i> (7.0%)					[34]	

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 1. Current FDA-approved targeted therapies for pediatric solid tumors

Cancer Type	Subtypes	FDA approved targeted therapy drugs	
		For children	For adults
CNS tumors	<i>Medulloblastoma</i>	-	-
	<i>Glioblastoma multiforme</i>	-	Bevacizumab
	<i>Low grade glioma</i>	-	-
	<i>Others</i>	Everolimus (Subependymal giant cell tumor, age > 3)	Everolimus (Subependymal giant cell tumor)
Neuroblastoma	-	Dinutuximab	Dinutuximab (FDA approval based on clinical trial involving pediatric patients)
Retinoblastoma	-	-	-
Wilms' tumor	-	-	-
Hepatic tumors	<i>Hepatoblastoma</i>	-	-
Bone tumors	<i>Osteosarcoma</i>	-	-
	<i>Ewing's sarcoma</i>	-	-
	<i>Others</i>	Denosumab (Giant cell tumor, skeletally mature adolescents)	Denosumab (Giant cell tumor)
Soft tissue sarcomas	<i>Rhabdomyosarcoma</i>	-	Pazopanib hydrochloride
Germ cell tumors	-	-	-

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 2: Clinical responders reported in early clinical trials in pediatric solid tumors.

Cancer type	Genomic aberration(s) reported	Response	Duration of response	Drug	Ref
Perivascular epithelioid cell tumor	<i>SFPQ-TFE3</i> fusion	90% tumour reduction	16 months	pazopanib	[62]
Wilms tumor	<i>AMER1</i> deletion, <i>MYC</i> p.P44L, <i>MAX</i> p.R60Q	Partial response	> 15 months	VEGF2 inhibitor (XL-184)	[62]
Infantile fibrosarcoma	Chr3q copy loss, chr16 copy gain; <i>STAG2</i> (p.Y355F) mutation, IL-3 indel, Homozygous deletion <i>CDK2NA</i> , <i>CDKN2B</i> , <i>LMNA-NTRK1</i> fusion, <i>NTRK1</i> , <i>LMNA</i> overexpression	Partial remission	N/A	ALK inhibitor (crizotinib)	[62]
Renal cell carcinoma	<i>CDKN2A/2B</i> copy loss, <i>PPM1D</i> frame-shift insertion (9p.T506fs), <i>ASPSCR1-TFE3</i> fusion	Stable disease	10 months	pazopanib	[62]
Nasopharyngeal carcinoma	<i>KRAS</i> p.G12D, <i>BRAF</i> p.G469E	No evaluable disease	6 months	Raf inhibitor	[62]
Epithelioid inflammatory myofibroblastic sarcoma	<i>RANBP2-ALK</i> fusion	complete metabolic and anatomic response at 8 months later after initial treatment	N/A	ALK inhibitor (crizotinib)	[80]
Myofibroblastic sarcoma	<i>CARS-ALK</i> fusion	Complete remission for 9 months after end of therapy. Then relapse, again response to ALK-inhibitor.	9 months	ALK inhibitor (ceritinib)	[72]
Diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma	<i>MET</i> Amp, <i>PDGFRA</i> Amp, <i>TSC2</i> p.V1312fs, <i>PTEN</i> del, <i>H3F3A</i> p.K27M, <i>TP53</i> p.R273C	Partial response	9 months	Everolimus, imatinib and crizotinib	[72]
Undifferentiated sarcoma	<i>PIK3CA</i> p.E545K, <i>TP53</i> p.R306X	Complete remission	CR (till end of follow-up)	Sirolimus	[72]
Medulloblastoma	<i>PTPRZ1-MET</i> fusion, <i>TP53</i> p.T125R	Mixed response	N/A	ALK inhibitor (crizotinib)	[72]
Anaplastic pilocyticastrocytoma	<i>FAM131B-BRAF</i> fusion	Stable disease	N/A	MEK inhibitor (trametinib)	[72]

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Genes involved in Trial design		NCT			Pediatric clinical trials				
Drug	target	NCT	Phase	Drugs	Condition	Eligibility	Specifications		
BRAF	BRAF	NCT01677741	1	Dabrafenib	Neoplasm, Brain	12 mo - 17 yrs	BRAF V600 mutation		
		NCT01619774	2	Dabrafenib + Trametinib	Melanoma	≥ 16 yrs	BRAF mutation		
		NCT02285439	1, 2	MEK162	Low grade gliomas Malignant neoplasms, Brain Soft tissue neoplasms	1 - 18 yrs	Ras-Raf pathway activation		
		NCT01089101	1, 2	Selumetinib	Glioma Neurofibromatosis type 1 Recurrent childhood pilocytic astrocytoma Recurrent childhood visual pathway glioma	3 - 21 yrs	Stratum 1: BRAF V600E mutation BRAF KIAA1549 fusion		
		NCT01386450	1, 2	Selumetinib	Optic glioma Pilocytic astrocytoma Low grade glioma Fibrillary astrocytoma	3 - 21 yrs	Stratum 1: BRAF V600E mutation BRAF KIAA1549 fusion		
		NCT01636622	1	Vemurafenib + Carboplatin + Paclitaxel	Advanced cancers	≥ 12 yrs	BRAF mutation		
		NCT01307397	3	Vemurafenib	Metastatic melanoma	≥ 16 yrs	BRAF V600 mutation		
		NCT00079066	3	Cetuximab	Colorectal cancer	≥ 16 yrs	EGFR positive		
		NCT01182350	2	Erlotinib + Bevacizumab + Temozolomide	Diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma	3 - 18 yrs	Arm #4: EGFR over-expression		
		NCT02447419	2	Gefitinib	Solid tumors	≤ 20 yrs	EGFR amplification		
EGFR	EGFR	NCT00198159	2	Gefitinib	Germ cell tumors	≥ 15 yrs	EGFR expression		
		ALK	ALK	NCT00939770	1, 2	Crizotinib	Brain & CNS tumors Lymphoma Neuroblastoma childhood solid tumor, protocol specific	1 - 21 yrs	ALK fusion proteins ALK mutations ALK amplification
				NCT02465528	2	Ceritinib	Neoplasms (except NSCLC)	≥ 1 yr	ALK mutation
NCT01742286	1	Ceritinib	Neoplasms	12 mo - 17 yrs	ALK activation				
ALK, ROS1, MET	ALK, MET, ROS1	NCT02473497	Expanded access	Crizotinib	Neoplasm	≥ 12 mo	Chromosomal translocation or activating mutation involving the ALK or ROS1 gene Activating genetic alteration of MET gene (case by case basis)		
		NCT02034981	2	Crizotinib	Hematologic cancers Solid tumors Metastatic cancers	≥ 1 yr	ALK mutation MET mutation RON mutation ROS1 mutation		

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 4. Ongoing clinical trials for targeted therapies with no inclusion of genetic analysis in trial design.

Genes involved in Trial design	Pediatric clinical trials							
	Drug target	Drug	NCT	Phase	Condition	Eligibility	Specifications	
None	EGFR	Cetuximab	NCT00148109	2	Sarcoma	≥ 16 yrs	Arm 1: EGFR positive Arm 2: EGFR negative	
		Erlotinib	NCT00124657	1, 2	Brain & CNS tumors	3 - 21 yrs	-	
		Erlotinib	NCT00418327	1	Malignant brain tumor	1 - 21 yrs	-	
		Erlotinib	NCT00360854	1	Brain stem glioma Brain & CNS tumors	1 - 21 yrs	-	
		Erlotinib + Gefitinib	NCT01962896	2	Germ cell tumors (except pure mature teratoma)	12 mo - 50 yrs	-	
		Sirolimus Gefitinib	NCT00040781	1	Unspecified childhood tumor, protocol specific metastases to the CNS	≤ 21 yrs	No primary CNS tumors or known	
		Gefitinib	NCT00042991	1, 2	Gliomas	3 - 21 yrs	In combination with radiation therapy	
		Nimotuzumab	NCT00600054	2	Diffuse pontine glioma	3 - 18 yrs	-	
		Nimotuzumab	NCT00561691	3	Diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma	3 - 20 yrs	-	
		Vandetanib + Dasatinib	NCT00996723	1	Diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma	18 mo - 21 yrs	Administered during and after radiation therapy	
	IGF1R	Cixutumumab	NCT00609141	1	Ewing's sarcoma Peripheral primitive neuroectodermal tumor Unspecified childhood solid tumor, protocol specific	1 - 21 yrs	No CNS tumor or lymphoma	
		Cixutumumab	NCT00831844	2	Solid tumors	7 mo - 30 yrs	No known CNS metastases	
		Cixutumumab + Temsirolimus	NCT00880282	1	Unspecified childhood tumor, protocol specific	1 - 21 yrs	-	
		Cixutumumab + Temsirolimus	NCT01614795	2	Sarcomas	1 - 30 yrs	No known CNS metastases	
		Figitumumab	NCT00474760	1	Ewing's sarcoma	≥ 9 yrs	-	
		Ganitumab	NCT00563680	2	Ewing's family tumors Desmoplastic small round cell tumors	≥ 16 yrs	No known brain metastases	
		RG1507	NCT00560144	1	Neoplasms	2 - 17 yrs	-	
		SCH717454	NCT00617890	2	Osteosarcoma Ewing's sarcoma Peripheral neuroectodermal tumor	≥ 4 yrs	No leptomeningeal or CNS metastases	
		PI3 kinase	SF1126	NCT02337309	1	Neuroblastoma	1 - 30 yrs	SF1126, a novel inhibitor of PI3 kinase and mTOR. After a recommended pediatric dose is identified, phase 2 follows with treatment of patients with MYCN amplification or expression.