



University of Bradford eThesis

This thesis is hosted in [Bradford Scholars](#) – The University of Bradford Open Access repository. Visit the repository for full metadata or to contact the repository team.

Reader R (2012) Over the ditch and far away: Investigating Broxmouth and the landscape of South-East Scotland during the later prehistoric period. PhD Thesis. University of Bradford.

© University of Bradford. This work is licenced for reuse under a [Creative Commons Licence](#).

Table of Contents

Abstract	i
Acknowledgments	ii
Table of Contents	iv
List of Figures.....	xii
List of Tables.....	xxvi
Chapter 1. Introduction	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 The Broxmouth Project and the Research Framework in East Lothian.....	2
1.2.1 Origins	2
1.2.2 Excavation.....	5
1.2.3 Post-Excavation and Broader Developments	6
1.2.4 Breathing New Life: the context of the Broxmouth Project.....	8
1.2.5 The Broxmouth Project (2008-2012).....	9
1.3 The changing focus of the thesis.....	10
1.4 Thesis Structure	11
Chapter 2. Previous Research.....	14
2.1 Introduction	14
2.2 Hillfort studies to the 1960s: Culture history, classification and typologies	14
2.3 1960 - 1977: Increasing Complexity	20
2.4 The 'Classification' era (1970s)	22
2.5 Integrating the Information: Rescue Excavations and Aerial Survey (1977 onwards)	24
2.6 Perspectives on hillforts and enclosures.....	27
2.7 Hillforts within the landscape: from passive to active	29
2.8 The Indivisibility of Landscape	31
2.9 Conclusion	37

Chapter 3. Methods.....	39
3.1 Introduction	39
3.2 Broxmouth: investigating site-specific data	39
3.3 Study Area Data: characterising the later prehistoric settlement pattern in south-east Scotland	40
3.3.1 Compiling the Database.....	40
3.3.2 Enclosed sites.....	41
3.3.3 Unenclosed sites	42
3.4 Examining the visual impact	43
3.4.1 Field Visits.....	43
3.4.2 Viewshed Analysis.....	44
3.5 Considering Landscape Continuity	45
3.6 Conclusion	46
Chapter 4. The Biography of Broxmouth.....	47
4.1 Introduction	47
4.2 Defining Broxmouth’s Biography	47
4.3 Considering the taskscape	48
4.4 Stratigraphic Analysis of Broxmouth	49
4.4.1 Methods.....	50
4.4.2 Chronological Sequence.....	51
4.4.3 Relative Sequence.....	60
4.5 Environmental Evidence	89
4.5.1 Extent of Woodland.....	90
4.5.2 Animal Husbandry Practices	91
4.5.3 Using the sea.....	94
4.6 Discussion.....	96
Chapter 5. The Development of the Landscape of South-East Scotland	101
5.1 Introduction	101

5.2	Characteristics of the East Lothian Landscape	102
5.2.1	Geology	103
5.2.2	Soils.....	108
5.2.3	Topography.....	110
5.2.4	Hydrography.....	111
5.2.5	The Coast.....	113
5.2.6	Modern Climate.....	116
5.3	The early prehistory of south-east Scotland	117
5.3.1	Pre-fourth millennium BC.....	117
5.3.2	Fourth millennium to 3500BC.....	118
5.3.3	3500BC – <i>c.</i> 1300BC.....	119
5.3.4	The late Bronze Age change (<i>c.</i> 1300-800BC).....	124
5.4	Historic to present day land use in south-east Scotland.....	125
5.4.1	Moving through the landscape	127
5.4.2	Documented routeways.....	128
5.4.3	Use of Trackways.....	129
5.4.4	Dating routeways.....	130
5.5	Archaeological Evidence: the Early Historic and Medieval Periods (<i>c.</i> 410- <i>c.</i> 1000AD)	132
5.6	Modern Impact of the Archaeological Record (1700-present).....	136
5.6.1	The Improvements.....	136
5.7	Discussion.....	138
Chapter 6.	Later Prehistoric Settlement of South-East Scotland	141
6.1	Introduction	141
6.2	Compiling the Database	141
6.2.1	Morphology	145
6.2.2	Area Sizes	146
6.2.3	Entrance Locations.....	147

6.2.4	Landscape Setting.....	148
6.3	Limitations	152
6.4	Results	154
6.4.1	Landscape Setting, Geology and Soils	154
6.4.2	Topography, Soils and Geology Discussion	161
6.4.3	Landscape Setting.....	163
6.4.4	Morphology	166
6.4.5	Location of different morphologies.....	168
6.4.6	Morphology vs. Landscape Setting.....	170
6.4.7	Constructional Style.....	171
6.4.8	Vallation: Single vs. Double vs. Multiple	176
6.4.9	Vallation vs. Landscape setting.....	177
6.4.10	Area Sizes	179
6.4.11	Area size vs. Morphology	183
6.4.12	Area Size vs. Altitude.....	185
6.4.13	Larger sites in the landscape.....	186
6.4.14	Broxmouth and other multivallate sites in the landscape.....	188
6.4.15	Number of Entrances and Orientation	190
6.4.16	Number of entrances vs. vallation.....	196
6.4.17	Number of Entrances vs. Altitude	198
6.4.18	Entrances and the relationship to local topography	198
6.4.19	Opposing Entrances	201
6.4.20	Entrance Discussion	203
6.4.21	Site Biographies	204
6.5	Comparing Either Side of the 'Gap'	207
6.5.1	Morphology	208
6.5.2	Vallation.....	209
6.5.3	Area Size.....	210

6.5.4	Discussion	211
6.6	'Forts' versus 'enclosures'	212
6.6.1	Vallation.....	213
6.6.2	Morphology	214
6.6.3	Landscape Setting.....	215
6.6.4	Area Size.....	216
6.6.5	Discussion	216
6.7	'Unusual' sites	217
6.7.1	Doon Hill 1 and 2 – sites 47 and 48	217
6.7.2	Blackcastle Cottage – site 14.....	219
6.7.3	Traprain Law A and B – sites 211 and 212	220
6.8	Other evidence for the later prehistoric period	221
6.8.1	The unenclosed settlement pattern.....	221
6.8.2	Stray Finds	224
6.8.3	Isolated Burials.....	225
6.8.4	Caves/Middens	226
6.8.5	Pit Alignments.....	226
6.9	Discussion.....	232
6.9.1	A county of contrast	232
6.9.2	The nature of later prehistoric enclosed sites.....	232
Chapter 7.	Biography of Enclosure.....	235
7.1	Introduction	235
7.2	Creation (or continuity) of Enclosure	237
7.2.1	Palisades.....	239
7.2.2	Enclosure Creation	243
7.3	Enclosure Maintenance and Re-creation.....	245
7.4	Entrances and Monumentality.....	248
7.5	The 'End' of Enclosure.....	251

7.6	Environment and Economy	254
7.7	Cave Sites.....	259
7.8	Large-scale enclosure	261
7.9	Discussion.....	264
7.9.1	Enclosure Creation, Re-creation and 'Abandonment'	264
7.9.2	The Wider Landscape	269
Chapter 8.	The Visual Impact of Enclosure	273
8.1	Introduction	273
8.2	The concept of 'affordances'	273
8.3	Why record the visual aspect?	275
8.4	Methods	276
8.4.1	Field Visits and Phenomenology	276
8.4.2	Viewshed Analysis.....	279
8.5	Site selection.....	283
8.6	Recording the views from the site	285
8.7	Pilot Study: Chesters Drem.....	287
8.7.1	Introduction	287
8.7.2	Methods.....	288
8.7.3	Topographical Affordances.....	289
8.7.4	Views afforded from different locations.....	291
8.7.5	Viewshed Analysis.....	295
8.7.6	Discussion	297
8.8	Taking the Study Forward	298
8.9	Black Castle	300
8.9.1	Introduction	300
8.9.2	Methods.....	301
8.9.3	Regional Visibility	302
8.9.4	Views afforded from different locations.....	304

8.9.5	Viewshed Analysis.....	306
8.10	Green Castle	309
8.10.1	Introduction	309
8.10.2	Methods.....	309
8.10.3	Regional Visibility	310
8.10.4	Views afforded from different locations.....	312
8.10.5	Viewshed Analysis.....	313
8.11	Garvald Mains	314
8.11.1	Introduction	314
8.11.2	Methods.....	316
8.11.3	Regional Visibility	316
8.11.4	Views afforded from different locations.....	318
8.11.5	Viewshed Analysis.....	319
8.12	White Castle.....	321
8.12.1	Introduction	321
8.12.2	Methods.....	323
8.12.3	Regional Visibility	323
8.12.4	Views afforded from different locations.....	326
8.12.5	Viewshed Analysis.....	327
8.13	Traprain Law.....	329
8.13.1	Introduction	329
8.13.2	Method	330
8.13.3	Regional Visibility	330
8.13.4	Views afforded from different locations.....	332
8.13.5	Viewshed Analysis.....	334
8.14	Broxmouth.....	336
8.14.1	Viewshed Analysis.....	336
8.15	Discussion.....	338

Chapter 9. Conclusion.....	341
9.1 Introduction	341
9.2 The current state of research.....	341
9.3 Current Approach.....	342
9.4 Results of the Research.....	343
9.4.1 Creating and Maintaining Enclosures.....	343
9.4.2 Upland/Lowland Divide.....	346
9.4.3 The Traprain Gap.....	347
9.4.4 Land-Use and Animals.....	347
9.5 Avenues for Further Research.....	348
Bibliography	350

On Disc

Appendix A	Broxmouth Enclosure Circuit Data Structure Reports
Appendix B	Study Area Database
Appendix C	List of Radiocarbon Dates from Excavated Sites in East Lothian

List of Figures

Figure 1.1 Location map of Broxmouth (courtesy of the Broxmouth Project)	2
Figure 1.2 The cropmark of Broxmouth, prior to excavation looking north. The remains of the ditches and entranceways are clear, with partial survival of the interior features (courtesy of the Broxmouth project).....	3
Figure 1.3 Oblique aerial photograph of the site under excavation, taken in 1978, looking east (courtesy of the Broxmouth project)	5
Figure 1.4 Vertical aerial photography showing the area excavation. Most of the interior was excavated, as well as the entrances and a cemetery to the north, which was discovered by accident (courtesy of the Broxmouth project)	6
Figure 2.1 Hawkes' ABC scheme. The stippled areas represent the maximum extent of 'invading' cultures in successive time periods (after Hawkes 1931, 63; 78; 91). Note that this scheme did not extend to Scotland	16
Figure 2.2 Stuart Piggott's Scottish provinces with Broxmouth marked in red. TF = Tyne-Forth (the Hownam culture), SC = Solway-Clyde, NE = North-East (the Abernethy culture) and A = Atlantic Scotland (after Piggott 1966, 4).....	20
Figure 2.3 MacKie's revised scheme for Scotland (1969, 20). This exemplifies the increasing complexity of these kinds of cultural historical schemes as the quantity of data increased.....	21
Figure 3.1 A disused sheepfold, converted into a fox covert - one of the sites ruled out as a later prehistoric site (Contains OS survey data. © Crown copyright 2012. An ordnance survey/EDINA suppliedservice)	42
Figure 4.1 Simplified plan of Broxmouth hillfort, showing the excavated areas	52
Figure 4.2 Phase 1 of the Broxmouth sequence, showing the palisade and remains of the early structure (image courtesy of the Broxmouth Project)	53
Figure 4.3 Principle features of phase 2, including the bivallate fort, the western entrance and the remains of a possible palisade rampart fronting in the south-west area (courtesy of the Broxmouth Project)	55
Figure 4.4 Phase 3 activity, including the early and late palisade slots at the south-west entrance. See Figure 4.19 for close-up of south-west entrance features (courtesy of the Broxmouth Project).....	56
Figure 4.5 Phase 4 showing the structures sunk into the inner ditch. There is evidence for possible platforms and structures sunk into other ditches, see below and appendix A (courtesy of the Broxmouth Project)	57

Figure 4.6 Phase 5 of the hillfort. The principal evidence comes from redeposited middens over the phase 4 structures (courtesy of the Broxmouth Project).....	58
Figure 4.7 Phase 6 activity, consisting primarily of the late-Roman Iron Age settlement. The midden and roadway may be contemporary or slightly earlier (courtesy of the Broxmouth project).....	59
Figure 4.8 Phase 7 activity is restricted to an isolated early medieval burial, placed here long after the site was abandoned as a settlement (courtesy of the Broxmouth Project).....	60
Figure 4.9 Section through the palisade showing the different phases of construction (courtesy of the Broxmouth Project)	62
Figure 4.10 Profiles of the excavated ditches during phases 2 and 3. From left to right: inner, middle, outer and outermost (west entrance only) (courtesy of the Broxmouth Project).....	64
Figure 4.11 Inner ditch north, east entrance. Note the possible palisade slot (LAI), which would have fronted the ditch during phase 2. The evidence suggests also that the first ditch cut [23] naturally silted to a significant degree before the ditch was recut.....	65
Figure 4.12 Example of how the profiles of the ditches during each phase were calculated. The actual profiles of the two ditch cuts are shown in grey and the estimated profile of the phase 2 inner ditch (LAE - see above) is shown as a dashed line.....	66
Figure 4.13 The progression of the Overton Down earthwork experiment (the dashed line indicates the original profile) showing that even after 32 years of silting, the bank and ditch were still relatively stable (after Bell 1996, 235), although the ditch profile appears to have slumped significantly	70
Figure 4.14 Plan of west entrance structure. Although the phase 3 inner ditch has obliterated the remains between the parallel slots, it appears that the two would not have joined originally (courtesy of the Broxmouth Project)	72
Figure 4.15 A carved entrance post at a Maori pā site at Rotarua, New Zealand (http://www.nzetc.org/tm/scholarly/tei-Bes02Maor-t1-body-d7.html Accessed 25.01.2012)	73
Figure 4.16 If Chesters Drem's ditches and banks can still survive after c.2500 years then it is likely that when the Broxmouth inhabitants came to recreate the boundaries, the banks and ditches would still have been visible (image: http://canmore.rcahms.gov.uk)	75
Figure 4.17 East entrance, outer ditch south side (LAG). This ditch appears to have been recut at least twice and cleaned out occasionally also, evidenced by the thin silty layers at the base	76

Figure 4.18 The slots and postholes forming the earliest monumental gateway. The ditches shown are later cuts of the terminals; the earlier phases were almost obliterated and only survive in section (courtesy of the Broxmouth Project).....	79
Figure 4.19 Revetment walling along the edge of the outer ditch east terminal (looking north – courtesy of the Broxmouth Project).....	80
Figure 4.20 Partial section showing large revetment material collapsed into the outer ditch west terminal of the south-west entrance.....	81
Figure 4.21 South-West entrance palisade lines (courtesy of the Broxmouth Project)	82
Figure 4.22 Inner ditch east terminal (top) and middle ditch west terminal (bottom) at the south-west entrance, showing the different midden deposits	84
Figure 4.23 Middle ditch north, east entrance area, showing the possible walling and paving of a structure on the section drawing (top) and photograph (bottom) .	86
Figure 4.24 Phase 5/6 south-west entrance features. Despite the ditches having silted, this was still a formal entrance. There is evidence for re-paving and repair, suggesting longevity of use (courtesy of the Broxmouth Project)	89
Figure 4.25 Percentages of the dominant animal species (according to MNI – Minimum Number of Individuals) present at Broxmouth over time (Cussans forth.)	92
Figure 4.26 Pilot whale found stranded on Ravensheugh beach in early 2012. Bass Rock can be seen in the distance (http://www.trekearth.com/gallery/Europe/United_Kingdom/Scotland/East_Lothian/Tynninghame/photo1340660.htm . Accessed 30.01.2012).....	95
Figure 4.27 Limpet scarred stones incorporated into the phase 5/6 south-west entrance roadway revetment at Broxmouth	96
Figure 5.1 The landscape of East Lothian today. Clockwise from top left: the hills of the Lammermuirs on the border between Berwickshire and East Lothian; the bleak valley overlooked by White Castle through the Lammermuirs; the gentler, rolling hills of East Lothian; the flat coastal plain looking north; the view across the coastal plain, the sea and over the Forth to Fife; the gentle rolling hills now dominated by sheep grazing. Photos: author	102
Figure 5.2 The dominant geologies and fault lines of East Lothian and adjacent areas. (All maps with geology data © British Geological Society and East Lothian council).....	103
Figure 5.3 The major volcanic plugs in East Lothian. Top: Traprain Law (from NE). Bottom: North Berwick Law (from SW). Interestingly, both sites have a wealth of archaeological evidence on them (courtesy of Lindsey Büster).....	104

- Figure 5.4 Location map of quarries with identifiable geology (Contains first edition OS survey data. © Crown copyright 2012. An Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service). Note the lack of quarrying in the uplands and the dominance of coal exploitation to the west..... 105
- Figure 5.5 Old red sandstone eroding from the cliff face, immediately north of Witches Knowe fort. Notice the striking pinkish hue which this stone has and which would have been a dominant colour during the later prehistory (photo: author).. 107
- Figure 5.6 Looking south from Garvald Mains. Notice the pinkish colour of the soil, derived from the sandstone geology (photo: author) 107
- Figure 5.7 The drainage characteristics of East Lothian soils (All maps with soil data based on Haddington, Eyemouth and North Berwick sheet 66, © Macaulay Institute 1966) 108
- Figure 5.8 Land Capability of Agriculture (LCA) map of East Lothian. The contrast between the coastal plain and the Lammermuirs is apparent in the land-use today (<http://www.macaulay.ac.uk/explorescotland/lca.html>: Accessed 24.03.2012) 109
- Figure 5.9 The topography of East Lothian and surrounding areas (All maps with topography data © Crown copyright 2008. An Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service)..... 110
- Figure 5.10 The view across the Forth. The hazy landscape in the background is the county of Fife with the two sharp peaks, Largo Law and Kellie Law (looking north from Chesters Drem – photo: author) 111
- Figure 5.11 Watercourses running through East Lothian (based on Ordnance Survey data, ©Crown copyright 2012. An Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service) 112
- Figure 5.12 Map showing extent of marine transgression (light shaded areas) during the early Holocene (after Ballantyne and Dawson 1997, 40; Lelong and MacGregor 2008, 4)..... 114
- Figure 5.13 Admiralty chart (1815) of the Firth of Forth. Lines emanate from the dominant land features of East Lothian (Bass Rock, North Berwick Law and Traprain Law from N-S) and Arthur's Seat to the west. Note the lack of detail on the land, where the knowledge of the sea takes precedence for sailors (<http://maps.nls.uk>) 115
- Figure 5.14 Excavated sites with Mesolithic activity. Lithic scatters are the primary evidence on sites with later activity 118
- Figure 5.15 Distribution map of known early prehistoric sites from cropmark data (Approx. 3500 – 1000BC) in East Lothian. NB: Sites provided with a four-figure grid reference have been omitted 120

Figure 5.16 Map of standing, or once documented as standing, early prehistoric structures in East Lothian	120
Figure 5.17 Map of early prehistoric, excavated sites and stray finds.....	121
Figure 5.18 Excavated sites with Neolithic, Bronze Age and later prehistoric activity documented	123
Figure 5.19 A potential middle Bronze Age unenclosed settlement? Hedderwick Mains (NT67NW 20) lies approximately 1km south of Hedderwick, where hundreds of pottery sherds from the Neolithic and Bronze Age periods have been discovered (Callander 1929). The enclosure on the left is assumed to be later prehistoric in date (taken from cropmark data, courtesy of RCAHMS).....	124
Figure 5.20 Kirklands 1 (site 101) located to the north of earlier 'ritual' structures, including round barrows, a long barrow and a possible pit-defined avenue	125
Figure 5.21 Traces of medieval rig and furrow still survive in the Lammermuirs. This example (looking SW) is located on the fringes of the hills approximately 1.5km south of Longyester and the wide rig can be seen on the lower slopes. However narrower rigs survive near the top of the hill and this could be later prehistoric in date. Two later prehistoric sites are also highlighted: Soonhope Burn to the left and Harelaw to the right (© Colin Martin, www.scran.ac.uk)	126
Figure 5.22 Map showing early routeways documented by Roy (1755), transcribed from Graham (1951; 1962) and Inglis (1916).....	127
Figure 5.23 Multiple trackway remains on Lammer Law, part of the Haddington to Carfraemill route. These are believed to date from the medieval period through to the 18th century; however they may have older origins (© Colin Martin, www.scran.ac.uk).....	128
Figure 5.24 Map showing the principal early routes out of Berwick to Edinburgh. The road via Duns and Lauder met with Dere Street, the Roman road, although it has been postulated that this would have been placed on an earlier route (based on Inglis 1916; Aliaga-Kelly 1986; Thomson's Atlas of Scotland 1832).....	129
Figure 5.25 South-East of East Lothian showing the relationship between prehistoric standing structures and Graham's routeways (based on Graham 1951; 1962).....	131
Figure 5.26 A hint at past land use? Sheep were gathered and sheared in early summer and fleeces were collected by specialist workers who travelled around the upland parishes where this practice has dominated since the Medieval period (c.1930; © Grier Collection, East Lothian Library Service, www.scran.ac.uk).....	131
Figure 5.27 Map showing the location of potential early historic sites	133

Figure 5.28 Sunken floored buildings in East Lothian. From left: Blacklaw Wood, Parkend and Preston Mill. The greyed out cropmarks are of later prehistoric enclosed sites.....	134
Figure 5.29 Early Historic timber buildings. Halls (on the left) was within a potential later prehistoric enclosed site, however the buildings from Whitekirk on the right have been interpreted as possible timber halls and may be of higher status (Brown 1983). This complex predates medieval farm plots and rig and furrow	135
Figure 5.30 Map of urban centres (population over 1500, census data 2001)	136
Figure 5.31 South-Eastern East Lothian has numerous place names which derive from medieval (if not earlier) use of this landscape for grazing. Along with 'shiel' place names, there is also Herd's Hill and Summer Hill, referring to the use of this landscape for summer pasturing (© Crown copyright 2011. An Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service)	139
Figure 6.1 Map showing the study area and the principal excavated sites in the area	142
Figure 6.2 OS Map (1:10,000) showing a disused sheepfold and modern fox covert, one of the sites ruled out as later prehistoric in date (© Crown copyright 2011. An Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service).	144
Figure 6.3 Morphology categories used to classify cropmark enclosures and forts with some examples shown from the study area (in green – see Appendix B) (After Whimster 1989, 29)	146
Figure 6.4 Compass points assigned to entrance orientations based on north = 0° (after Nottage 2010, 26)	148
Figure 6.5 Screenshot showing the recorded orientation of site 223 - Whitelaw Hill 2 using the angular dimension tool	148
Figure 6.6 Example of a hilltop location (site shown in black, other enclosed sites in grey (Whitelaw Hill - site 222) (All maps with contour data © Crown Copyright 2010. An Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service)	150
Figure 6.7 Example of a promontory location (Branxton Cottage - site 21).....	150
Figure 6.8 Example of a scarp location (Carfrae - site 27).....	151
Figure 6.9 Example of slope locations (From left clockwise: Pinkerton Hill 2A and 2B - sites 148 and 149; Pinkerton Hill 1 - site 147; Easter Pinkerton 1 and 2 - sites 64 and 65).....	151
Figure 6.10 Example of a riverine location (East Bearford 2 - site 59).....	152
Figure 6.11 Map showing the location of enclosed sites in relation to the topography; the nine upstanding sites in the study area are shown in yellow.....	154

Figure 6.12 Graph showing representation of the average nearest neighbour results, showing the z-value of -9.5 (produced in ArcGIS).....	155
Figure 6.13 The enclosed sites of the study area in relation to the geology.....	156
Figure 6.14 Map of enclosed sites in relation to the soils of the area (soil data from Macauley Institute 1966).....	158
Figure 6.15 Study area showing location of farmsteads (lime) and sheepfolds (blue) in relation to soils, routeways and urban areas (black). The gap in later prehistoric settlement is also highlighted. This may be the early modern reflections of the later prehistoric predominance of pasture on the higher ground. See Figure 6.14 for soil key (contains First Edition Ordnance Survey data © Crown Copyright 2011).....	161
Figure 6.16 Pie chart showing the landscape settings of enclosed sites.....	163
Figure 6.17 Chart showing the location of enclosed sites according to the facing slope	164
Figure 6.18 Chart showing the height ranges of enclosed sites	165
Figure 6.19 Simplified graph of the altitudes of enclosed sites	165
Figure 6.20 Pie chart showing the general morphology of the sites (226) in the study area.....	166
Figure 6.21 Pie chart showing the number of ditches/ramparts at the sites (226) in the study area.....	166
Figure 6.22 Pie chart showing the specific morphology of single ditched enclosed sites (104) in the study area	167
Figure 6.23 Distribution maps of (clockwise from top left) curvilinear, rectilinear and D-shaped enclosed sites.....	168
Figure 6.24 Map showing the differences in location of curvilinear enclosures (red) to rectilinear enclosures (blue) in the environs of Broxmouth.....	170
Figure 6.25 Graph showing the percentage of different morphologies to each landscape setting.....	170
Figure 6.26 Location of enclosed sites (m OD) according to morphology.....	171
Figure 6.27 Distribution of palisaded enclosures and sites with a palisade phase, in the study area and the excavated examples.....	172
Figure 6.28 Formula for calculating number of trees and woodland area (T = trees; PL = palisade length; WA = woodland area; UT = usable timber, which is 3 posts) based on Piggott 1951; 1960; Reynolds 1982.....	174

Figure 6.29 Maps showing the distribution of single, double and multiple ditched sites	176
Figure 6.30 Chart showing relationship between landscape setting and number of ditches.....	177
Figure 6.31 Height of enclosed sites according to number of ditches	178
Figure 6.32 Distribution map of enclosed sites with area size measurements (red dots)	179
Figure 6.33 An example of an enclosed site where two separate measurements had to be taken. This site is interpreted as having two separate phases (Northrig A and B - sites 130 and 131).....	180
Figure 6.34 Scatter graph of the area sizes of all enclosed sites in the study area (including the two outliers which represent the two separate phases of Traprain Law: Cruden Wall (7.11ha) and outer rampart (16.73ha)).....	180
Figure 6.35 Scatter graph showing a detailed breakdown of area sizes (omitting Traprain Law). Broxmouth measures 0.46ha	181
Figure 6.36 Chart showing area sizes according to the number of enclosing circuits	181
Figure 6.37 Area chart showing the different morphologies and their area sizes ..	183
Figure 6.38 Halls 1 (87) which may be part of a medieval field system, rather than being later prehistoric in date	184
Figure 6.39 Spott Farm showing the two smallest D-shaped enclosed sites in the study area as components of a bigger, curvilinear enclosure	184
Figure 6.40 Altitude of enclosed sites according to their area size (omitting Traprain Law which is located at 210m OD)	185
Figure 6.41 Maps showing the sites divided into less than (above, showing the sites without area size data) and greater than 0.5ha (below)	186
Figure 6.42 Map showing the large sites (> 0.5ha in size) and Broxmouth in relation to the early routeways (based on Graham 1951; 1962; Aliaga-Kelly 1986).....	187
Figure 6.43 Sites comparable to Broxmouth. 22 – Broxmouth; 40 – Clifton Hill; 47 – Doon Hill 1; 48 – Doon Hill 2; 65 – Easter Pinkerton 2; 70 Friar’s Nose (upstanding); 157 – Sheriffside 1; 166 – Snawdon 2; 167 – Snawdon 3; 174 – Spott Dod; 197 – The Chesters, Spott; 219 – White Castle (upstanding)	188
Figure 6.44 Map showing the location of the Broxmouth-like sites.....	189
Figure 6.45 Spott Dod (site 174). Some of the numerous breaks in the various circuits may be a product of plough truncation rather than original entrances	192

Figure 6.46 Chart showing the number of entrances present at enclosed sites	192
Figure 6.47 Entrance orientations of enclosed sites in the study area	193
Figure 6.48 Chart showing entrance orientations of sites with only one entrance present.....	193
Figure 6.49 Charts showing all entrance orientations at different sites according to number of ditches.....	195
Figure 6.50 Chart showing entrance orientation in relation to morphology	196
Figure 6.51 Bar chart showing the entrance data for sites with complete circuits.	196
Figure 6.52 Bar chart showing the entrance data for sites where only a minimum number of entrances could be ascertained.....	197
Figure 6.53 Old Belton 1 (site 133) with three entrances, each interpreted as original. This morphology is rare in the study area.....	197
Figure 6.54 Scatter graph showing relationship between the altitude and number of entrances at enclosed sites.....	198
Figure 6.55 Example of enclosed site with the entrance orientated on the easiest approach, which in this case follows the contour line (Bara Wood - site 4).....	200
Figure 6.56 Example of enclosed site with the entrance orientated on a water course (East Bearford 2 - site 59).....	200
Figure 6.57 The entrance to this enclosed site is orientated down the steepest side of the hill, however an easier approach would be from the east or the south	200
Figure 6.58 Pie chart showing the relationship of entrances to the local topography	201
Figure 6.59 Example of enclosed site with directly opposing entrances (Branxton 1 - site 19).....	202
Figure 6.60 Example of enclosed site with non-directly opposing entrances but located on different sides of the circuit (Chesters 2 - site 37).....	202
Figure 6.61 Pie chart showing the relationship of opposing entrances to the landscape	203
Figure 6.62 Sites that display distinctive phases of enclosure and differing morphologies. From left: Northrig; Sled Hill 1; South Belton 1	205
Figure 6.63 Map showing location of sites < 0.2ha in size in relation to potentially early routeways. Open circles indicate the rest of the enclosed sites within the study area.....	206

Figure 6.64 Map showing the divide between the east and west of the study area. Sites circled in purple have been omitted for reasons explained above	208
Figure 6.65 Chart showing the comparable morphologies of both areas.....	208
Figure 6.66 Chart showing the difference between univallate, bivallate and multivallate sites either side of the gap.....	209
Figure 6.67 Chart showing the differing area sizes of sites either side of the gap.	210
Figure 6.68 Bar chart showing the area sizes of enclosed sites; however this masks more subtle patterning	211
Figure 6.69 Map showing the location of the forts (left) and enclosures (right) in the study area.....	212
Figure 6.70 Forts versus enclosures: vallation	213
Figure 6.71 Forts versus enclosures: morphology	214
Figure 6.72 Forts versus enclosures: landscape settings.....	215
Figure 6.73 Forts versus enclosures: area sizes	216
Figure 6.74 The two 'forts' of Doon Hill lying next to each other	217
Figure 6.75 The two forts of Earn's Heugh, which are comparable to Doon Hill (http://canmore.rcahms.gov.uk)	218
Figure 6.76 The enclosed site of Blackcastle Cottage with its unusual in-turned entrance	219
Figure 6.77 Traprain Law (after Feachem 1958; Armit <i>et al</i> 2006).....	220
Figure 6.78 Unenclosed sites and isolated roundhouses in the study area.....	222
Figure 6.79 Sites with houses possibly later than enclosure creation. From left to right: 19 - Branxton 1; 43 - Colstoun Mains; 98 Innerwick 1	223
Figure 6.80 Map showing the distribution of stray finds in the study area. Gilmerton House is circled	224
Figure 6.81 Map showing location of isolated later prehistoric burials	225
Figure 6.82 The extensive pit alignments that survive near Chesters Drem and Barney Mains	226
Figure 6.83 Distribution of pit alignments across the study area. (shown in blue). Boxes highlight the densest areas of pit alignments within the study area – see Figure 6.84; Figure 6.85.....	228

Figure 6.84 Details of the pit alignments (turquoise) in the east of the study area, in relation to watercourses, possible routeways (pink) and enclosed sites (red dots)	229
Figure 6.85 Details of pit alignments in the west of the study area, in relation to watercourses, routeways (pink) and enclosed sites (red dots)	230
Figure 6.86 Enclosures in the study area with partitions potentially defining areas for stock (124; 175-177; 179) and sites with possible outer compounds (93-94; 121-122; 162-163).....	231
Figure 7.1 The enclosure at St Germain's. Note the ring ditch near the bottom, which appears to be respected by the external ditch (Alexander and Watkins 1998, 207)	238
Figure 7.2 Plan of Dryburn Bridge during the first enclosed phase, showing the alignment of the earliest houses on the entrances (Dunwell 2007, 99)	240
Figure 7.3 Simplified plan of Fishers Road West, highlighting the two separate early palisade enclosures and possible timber breastwork footings (after McCullagh and Mills 2000, 19).....	241
Figure 7.4 Both ditch 2 and 4 vary in profile and depth at Fishers Road West, suggesting that different groups would have been responsible for digging out the circuit (McCullagh and Mills, 13).....	248
Figure 7.5 Possible entrance structure at St Germain's, as well as an external ditch possibly related to the control of access to the enclosure (Alexander and Watkins 1998, 218).....	250
Figure 7.6 Archerfield Cave. If it was not for the build up of beach material, one would have to scramble up the rocks to access it. The wall visible here is thought to be Iron Age although it was rebuilt after the 1908 excavation (www.scran.ac.uk Accessed 30.01.2012)	260
Figure 7.7 Possible field system associated with Knowes enclosure. The boundaries were only revealed on geophysical survey (after Haselgrove et al 2009c, 69; Hamilton 2010, 212)	263
Figure 8.1 A 360° view of the landscape from the chambered cairn Reineval on South Uist. A problem with this is that there is no sense of distance (Cummings 2000)	277
Figure 8.2 Example of recording the landscape from the Tavoliere-Gargano Prehistory Project incorporating a sense of distance (Hamilton and Whitehouse 2006, 42).....	278
Figure 8.3 The top example shows the differing observer and target offsets which prevent reciprocity of intervisibility. The bottom example shows theoretically how	

the offset in the landscape will work thus solving the reciprocity issue (X = target cell) (after Conolly and Lake 2006, 230)	281
Figure 8.4 Location map of upstanding sites selected for further study	284
Figure 8.5 Chesters Drem and its immediate environs. The red denotes later prehistoric settlements, the brown denotes pit alignments and later trackways and the blue indicates rig and furrow	287
Figure 8.6 Plan of Chesters Drem, showing the location of the different view recordings. The entrance to the extreme east of the site does not exist on plan and reflects the location of view 1 (based on RCAHMS 1924, 9)	289
Figure 8.7 (Looking east) The flat land that separates the fort (on the left) and the ridge (on the right). The landscape is invisible until one 'emerges' at the east side (photo: author's own)	290
Figure 8.8 The views afforded from locations 1 (top) and 2 (bottom) (east entrance) trees	291
Figure 8.9 The views from the east entrance in particular were blocked by the line of trees (to the east), as well as the farmhouse and coppice to the west. Kilduff Hill forms a natural barrier to the south-east; a feature which also prevented views to the site (© Crown Copyright 2011. An Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service)	292
Figure 8.10 The approach from the east to the east entrance of Chesters Drem along the gently rising spur. Trying to approach this spur from either the left or right was more difficult due to the steepness on these sides	293
Figure 8.11 Photograph taken from viewpoint 2, looking north east. North Berwick Law can be seen on the horizon	293
Figure 8.12 View afforded from location 3 (north-west entrance)	294
Figure 8.13 View looking north-north-east from viewpoint 3. The 'hazy' horizon is the landscape across the Forth (photo: author's own)	295
Figure 8.14 Viewshed Analysis of Chesters Drem. The blue indicates areas from which the site is visible. Box indicates close up of Figure 8.15.	295
Figure 8.15 Close-up of Chesters Drem viewshed, showing the principle areas where the site can be seen from	296
Figure 8.16 The pit alignments noted in the vicinity of Black Castle fort, shown in pink. Green Castle can be seen to the south-east	300
Figure 8.17 The environs of Black Castle, as depicted by Roy in his military survey. The B6355 can clearly be seen (labelled "road to Duns"). The red dot marks the approximate position of Black Castle. It also shows the edge of cultivation as the	

'strips' denoting arable farming peter out (courtesy of National Library of Scotland http://geo.nls.uk/roy-lowlands/)	301
Figure 8.18 Plan of Black Castle, showing the locations of the view recordings (after RCAHMS 1924, 34)	302
Figure 8.19 Views afforded from locations 1 (top) and 2 (bottom). Only the top of Green Castle could be seen	304
Figure 8.20 The environs of Black Castle; note the higher ground to the south-east (Newlands Hill) and the south west (Dod Law) which restricted views in these directions, as well as the modern plantation running from the site in a NW direction (© Crown copyright 2011. An Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service)	305
Figure 8.21 Landscape to the south of Black Castle. Note the hills reducing visibility to c.2km (Photo: author).....	306
Figure 8.22 Black Castle viewshed.....	306
Figure 8.23 Close up of the Black Castle viewshed.....	307
Figure 8.24 Green Castle (looking E). The inner rampart takes advantage of the natural cleugh and rises high above the vestigial traces of a second rampart lower down (where the arrow points to). The change in colour of the grass also highlights this (photo: author's own)	309
Figure 8.25 Plan of Green Castle (RCAHMS 1924, 32)	310
Figure 8.26 Green Castle. The car and the people fishing along the banks of the pond give a sense of scale (photo: author's own).....	310
Figure 8.27 View afforded from the entrance at Green Castle	312
Figure 8.28 Green Castle viewshed. See Figure 8.29 for close-up.....	313
Figure 8.29 Close-up of Green Castle viewshed	313
Figure 8.30 The immediate environs of Garvald Mains Fort (seen in the centre) ..	315
Figure 8.31 Plan of Garvald Mains fort (RCAHMS 1924, 35), showing the location of the original entrance and its stone revetment. Most of this still survives today ...	316
Figure 8.32 Traprain Law 'looming' in the middle with North Berwick Law (to the left) and Bass Rock (to the right) faintly visible in the background (looking north west). Taken from the track to the south-west of the farm (Photo: Author's own)	318
Figure 8.33 View afforded from the entrance at Garvald Mains	318
Figure 8.34 Viewshed of Garvald Mains fort. See Figure 8.35 for close-up.....	319
Figure 8.35 Close-up of Garvald Mains viewshed	320

Figure 8.36 The environs of White Castle fort. Note the distinct lack of prehistoric settlement in this area (© Crown copyright 2011. An Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service).....	322
Figure 8.37 Plan of White Castle fort. Circle is the location of view 1; triangle is the location of view 2 (after Cook <i>et al</i> /2010, 17).....	323
Figure 8.38 The site of White Castle. Traprain Law and North Berwick Law can just be seen in the background (looking NW, courtesy of Lindsey Büster)	325
Figure 8.39 Traprain Law can be seen in the background, with North Berwick Law slightly less visible further behind, looking NW (photo: author).....	325
Figure 8.40 View afforded from entrance location 1 (top) and location 2 (bottom) at White Castle.....	326
Figure 8.41 Viewshed of White Castle. See Figure 8.42 for close-up	327
Figure 8.42 Close-up of White Castle viewshed.....	328
Figure 8.43 Plan of Traprain Law (after Feachem 1958, 284; Armit <i>et al</i> /2006) ...	329
Figure 8.44 Views afforded (from top-bottom) from locations 1, 2 and 3 at Traprain Law	332
Figure 8.45 The uninterrupted view of the landscape offered from location 1 at Traprain. In the distance, the Pentlands and Arthur's Seat (see arrow) can be seen (photo: author's own)	333
Figure 8.46 Viewshed of Traprain Law. See Figure 8.47 for close-up	334
Figure 8.47 Close up of Traprain Law viewshed	334
Figure 8.48 Viewshed of Broxmouth. See Figure 8.49 for close-up	336
Figure 8.49 Close-up of Broxmouth viewshed	337

List of Tables

Table 2.1 List of Scottish hillfort excavations, prior to Childe's <i>Prehistory in Scotland</i> (1935), compiled by the author. The distinct lack of excavations is apparent although the focus on Traprain Law is noticeable	17
Table 2.2 Hillfort excavations, post <i>Prehistory in Scotland</i> and prior to Broxmouth, compiled by the author. The increase after World War II and the dominance of the Piggotts' excavations are noticeable	18
Table 2.3 The Hownam Sequence (after C.M. Piggott 1950; Armit 1999a)	19
Table 4.1 Modelled radiocarbon dates for the start date and length of the Broxmouth phases (* denotes phases 2 and 3; ^ denotes end date) (Hamilton et al forth.).....	52
Table 4.2 Calculations of the labour requirements of the phase 2 ditches and Segsbury for comparison (from Lock and Gosden 2005, 143)	67
Table 4.3 Labour calculations for the labour requirements for the phase 3 ditches.	76
Table 5.1 Climate variations between North Lanarkshire, West Lothian and East Lothian (based on Hayward and McDonald 2004, 7)	116
Table 6.1 Table of the definitions used to classify landscape settings for this study	149
Table 6.2 The results of the average nearest neighbour test (shown to two decimal places).....	155
Table 6.3 Table showing the testing of the enclosed site-geology relationship using chi-squared	157
Table 6.4 Table of probable significance levels set for chi-squared tests	157
Table 6.5 Table showing testing of null hypothesis using a chi-squared test.....	159
Table 6.6 Table of probable significance levels set for chi-squared tests	159
Table 6.7 Table showing testing of null hypothesis using chi-squared test	160
Table 6.8 Table of probable significance levels set for chi-squared tests	160
Table 6.9 Lengths of palisades and estimated number of posts required for their construction from selected sites within the study area	174
Table 7.1 List of excavated enclosed sites mentioned in this chapter	236
Table 7.2 Sites with evidence for earlier prehistoric activity	237

Table 7.3 Securely dated palisades, ordered from the earliest start date; * indicates a date not directly from a palisade context but contemporaneity is implied. These and further dates are available in appendix C, detailing the contexts.....	239
Table 7.4 Dates for the creation of ditched enclosures in East Lothian ordered from the earliest. These dates originate from ditch deposits, therefore enclosure creation is presumed to closely pre-date them. * denotes palisade also (see Table 7.3) ...	243
Table 7.5 Evidence of enclosure re-creation. Minimum number of recuts refers to the number of times the enclosure is redefined, including addition of new ditches or recutting of old ones	245
Table 7.6 List of modelled dates from enclosed sites in East Lothian. The dates span the use of the ditches, from creation to silting up.....	247
Table 7.7 Sites with evidence for entrance monumentality	249
Table 7.8 Earliest post-enclosure activity at previously enclosed sites.....	251
Table 7.9 Sites with evidence for wood charcoal, according to the main species. * = Duffy 2000, ^ = Innes 2008, ~ = Lelong 2008a, # = Miller <i>et al</i> /2000 and + = Huntley and O'Brien 2009. There was no charcoal data for Fishers Road East. ...	255
Table 7.10 Sites with evidence of arable plants and crop processing. * = Huntley and O'Brien 2009, ~ = Miller <i>et al</i> /2000 and # = Huntley 2000. The species of crops from the A1 sites were not referred to in the report.....	256
Table 7.11 Table showing presence of domestic animal bone on excavated sites across East Lothian. * indicates butchery evidence	257
Table 7.12 Sites with evidence for coastal exploitation. Note that the majority of these assemblages are very small	259
Table 8.1 Sites selected for visual impact analysis	283
Table 8.2 The four sites which were not studied due to varying constraints	284
Table 8.3 The characteristics of the upstanding sites selected for phenomenological study	286