The University of Bradford Institutional Repository

<http://bradscholars.brad.ac.uk>

This work is made available online in accordance with publisher policies. Please refer to the repository record for this item and our Policy Document available from the repository home page for further information.

To see the final version of this work please visit the publisher's website. Access to the published online version may require a subscription.

Link to publisher's version: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2015.01.066>

Citation: Dul M, Paluch KJ, Kelly H, Healy AM, Sasse A and Tajber L (2015) Self-assembled carrageenan/protamine polyelectrolyte nanoplexes-Investigation of critical parameters governing their formation and characteristics. Carbohydrate Polymers. 123: 339-349.

Copyright statement: © 2015 Elsevier. Reproduced in accordance with the publisher's self-archiving policy. This manuscript version is made available under the [CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license](#).

Self-assembled carrageenan/protamine polyelectrolyte nanoplexes – investigation of critical parameters governing their formation and characteristics

Maria Dul¹, Krzysztof J. Paluch^{1,2}, Hazel Kelly¹, Anne Marie Healy¹, Astrid Sasse¹, Lidia Tajber^{1*}

*To whom correspondence should be addressed: lidia.tajber@tcd.ie

Phone: 00353 1 896 2787 Fax: 00353 1 896 2810

Affiliation:

1. School of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences, Trinity College Dublin, Dublin 2, Ireland.

2. Bradford School of Pharmacy, School of Life Sciences, Centre for Pharmaceutical Engineering Science, University of Bradford, Norcroft Building, Richmond Road, Bradford W. Yorks, BD7 1DP, UK.

Email addresses of authors:

Maria Dul – mdul@tcd.ie

Krzysztof J. Paluch - K.J.Paluch@bradford.ac.uk

Hazel Kelly – hakelly@tcd.ie

Anne Marie Healy – healyam@tcd.ie

Astrid Sasse - sassea@tcd.ie

Lidia Tajber – lidia.tajber@tcd.ie

Abstract

The aim of this work was to investigate the feasibility of cross-linker free polyelectrolyte complex formation at the nanoscale between carrageenan (CAR) and protamine (PROT). The properties of CAR/PROT nanoparticles (NPs) were dependent on the carrageenan type: kappa (KC), iota (IC) and lambda (LC), concentration of components, addition of divalent cations, weight mixing ratio (WMR) of constituents and mode of component addition. In the case of 0.1% w/v solutions, IC-based NPs had the smallest particle sizes (100-150 nm) and low polydispersity indices (0.1-0.4). A decrease in the solution concentration from 0.1% to 0.05% w/v enabled the formation of KC/PROT NPs. All carrageenans exhibited the ability to form NPs with surface charge ranging from -190 to 40 mV. The inclusion of divalent cations caused an increase in the particle size and zeta potential. Infrared analysis confirmed the presence of a complex between CAR and PROT and showed that IC chains undergo structural changes when forming NPs. Colloidal stability of NPs was related to the initial surface charge of particles and was time- and pH-dependent. IC was found to be the most suitable type of CAR when forming nanoplexes with PROT.

Keywords: Carrageenan; Protamine; Nanoparticles; Polyelectrolyte Complexes; Dynamic Light Scattering; Infrared spectroscopy

1. Introduction

Recent advances in pharmaceutical nanotechnology are focused on using polymeric nanoparticulate systems as carriers for drugs (Delie & Blanco-Prieto, 2005). Nanoparticles (NPs) formulated from natural polymers have attracted considerable interest (Hans & Lowman, 2002). NPs have many advantages, such as the potential to retain protein stability, increase duration of therapeutic effects of proteins and they can be administered by nonparenteral routes (Sarmiento, Bibeiro, Veiga, Ferreira and Neufeld, 2007). Natural polymers extensively studied for drug delivery purposes include polysaccharides such as alginate, chitosan, carrageenan (CAR) and proteins, for example casein and gelatin (Sonia & Sharma, 2012).

Polymers, especially those of natural origin, are often composed of subunits capable of bearing charge, thus the polyelectrolyte complexation method of NP preparation has received increasing attention in recent years. NPs formed by this method have several characteristic advantages for cellular uptake and colloidal stability, including suitable diameter, surface charge, spherical morphologies and low polydispersity indices (Bayat et al., 2008). Furthermore, the preparation of NPs by polyelectrolyte complexation methods can be carried out in completely aqueous conditions and at ambient temperature; therefore the stability and biological activity of loaded peptides would not be affected (Hu, Yang and Hu, 2012; Ryan et al., 2013; Umerska et al., 2012, 2014).

Carrageenans (CARs) are an example of such polymers and are capable of forming polyanions. They are a family of hydrophilic sulphated biopolysaccharides extracted from various species of the *Rhodophyta* class of red seaweeds (Campo, Kawano, Braz da Silva and Carvalho, 2009). CARs are composed of a hydrophilic linear sulphated backbone of alternating 1→3 glycosidic-linked β-D-galactopyranose units and 1→4 glycosidic-linked α-D-galactopyranose units or 1→4 glycosidic-linked 3, 6-anhydro-α-D-galactopyranose units (Figure 1) (Berth, Vukovic and Lechner, 2008) and principally differ in the number and position of the sulphate groups, however these reflect only general differences in the composition and degree of sulphation (Necas & Bartosikova, 2013). The actual content of the

sulphate residue (by weight) may vary between 15 and 40% for the various carrageenan types (Nanaki, Karavas, Kalantzi and Bikiaris, 2010). Their applications include experimental medicine, pharmaceutical formulations and are well established as gelling, stabilising and thickening agents for food, cosmetics and industrial uses (Necas & Bartosikova, 2013). CARs are biocompatible and biodegradable polysaccharides with low toxicity and well documented properties of controlling and extending release of various drug substances (Nanaki et al., 2010) as well as improving apparent solubility and dissolution rates of poorly soluble actives (Dai, Dong and Song, 2007). In addition, studies have shown that CARs have a high capacity to interact with proteins due to their strong ionic nature (Malafaya, Silva and Reis, 2007) and form excellent matrices for predictable synthesis of magnetite nanoparticles (Daniel-da-Silva et al., 2007).

To form polyelectrolyte complexes (PECs) with CAR, protamine (PROT) was selected due to its reported *in vitro* membrane-translocating ability, believed to be associated with its positively charged polyarginine chains (Reynolds, Weissleder and Josephson, 2005). The use of cell-penetrating peptides for drug delivery has been extensively studied over the past two decades (Temsamani & Vidal, 2004).

Shumilina and Shchipunov (2002) investigated interactions between CAR and chitosan (CS). They showed that the nature or type of CAR considerably influenced the characteristics of the PECs. The mechanical strength of PEC gels were ranked as follows: λ carrageenan (LC)/CS > iota carrageenan (IC)/CS > kappa carrageenan (KC)/CS. Moreover, the gels obtained with IC and KC were temperature sensitive due to the helix-coil conformational transitions in their molecules (Shumilina & Shchipunov, 2002). An investigation was performed on the potential of PECs formed between KC, IC or LC and CS to form controlled release systems for glucose oxidase (Briones & Sato, 2010). The complex between CS and KC showed high encapsulation efficiencies for glucose oxidase while having the lowest release rate for this compound. Furthermore, this complex was able to protect the encapsulated glucose oxidase against degradation in pH 1.2 solution, in a chitosanase solution and in a pepsin solution (Briones & Sato, 2010). Another example of

CS/CAR PECs used for delivery of proteins has been reported (Li, Hein and Wang, 2013). As characterised by Li et al. (2013), in acidic solution the negatively charged sulphate groups of KC bound to positively charged amino groups of CS and formed acid-base PECs. When the pH was increased, amino groups protonated and the binding activity between both components became weaker, which resulted in swelling and disintegration of PEC and finally release of the protein. Modulation of drug release to the target site was possible by adjusting the factors that cause swelling properties of PEC (Li et al., 2013).

A number of publications show that carrageenans complex to CS (Briones & Sato, 2010; Li et al. 2013; Shumilina & Shchipunov, 2002), however no work has been published to date which indicates whether complexation between CAR and PROT is possible. Previous work by Umerska et al. (2014) showed that PROT/hyaluronate PECs can form, at the nanoscale, but depending on the ratio of constituents in such PECs their colloidal stability varies considerably. Therefore in this study we have focused on CAR/PROT systems with the aim of undertaking a methodical assessment of the various types of CAR, their concentration, weight mixing ratios, mode of preparation and the additional small cation addition during PECs formation to investigate if CAR/PROT nanoplexes can be obtained and to determine the characteristics of any such nanoplexes formed. CAR/PROT nanoplexes have the potential of being biocompatible, safe as well as capable of peptide binding and protection, as demonstrated for hyaluronate/PROT systems (Umerska et al., 2014), however key parameters determining their pharmaceutical suitability (such as optimum conditions of formation and stability) first need to be assessed.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Materials

Iota carrageenan (IC, cat. no. C4014), kappa carrageenan (KC, cat. no. 22048), lambda carrageenan (LC, cat. no. 22049) and protamine (PROT) (as a sulphate salt, from salmon, cat. no. P4020) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. All other reagents and chemicals used were of analytical grade.

2.2 Preparation and characterisation of polymer and PROT solutions

Loss on drying for polymers was determined by thermogravimetry. A Mettler TG 50 module linked to a Mettler MT5 balance was employed (Paluch et al., 2010). Sample weights between 10–12 mg were used, placed into open aluminium pans and heated isothermally for 3h at 105 °C under nitrogen purge.

Sulphate content was measured by turbidimetry on 20 mg polymer samples hydrolysed for 5h in 15 ml HCl at 105 °C in sealed glass tubes, following the procedure of Dogson and Price (1962). Aliquots of 0.2 ml of the hydrolyses were transferred to glass tubes containing 3.8 ml 3% w/v trichloroacetic acid and 1 ml of the barium chloride reagent (0.5 g barium chloride dissolved in 100 ml of 0.5% w/v gelatin solution) was added to each of the tubes. The contents were thoroughly mixed, kept at room temperature for 15-20 minutes and absorbance was measured at 360 nm against the blank. The blank consisted of 0.2 ml 1M HCl mixed with 3.8 ml 3% w/v trichloroacetic acid and 1 ml of gelatin solution. The calibration curve was made using anhydrous potassium sulphate (20-200 µg/ml sulphates).

Aliquots of 100 ml of 0.1% w/v (1 mg/ml) and 0.05% w/v (0.5 mg/ml) solutions of IC, KC, LC and PROT were prepared in deionised water. LC and PROT were dispersed at 25 °C and stirred for 30 min on a magnetic stirrer at 500 rpm until a clear solution resulted. For KC and IC, the polymers were first dissolved with vigorous stirring in deionised water at 80 °C. When clear solutions were obtained, the polymer solutions were removed from the heat and stirred at 500 rpm for at least 30 min until the temperature reached 25 °C. The volume of KC and IC solutions was made up to 100 ml to account for any evaporation which occurred during the heating.

A low frequency vibration viscometer (SV-10 Vibro Viscometer, A&D Company Limited, Japan) was employed to measure the viscosity of polymer solutions and NP dispersions. The viscometer was calibrated with deionised water before use. Viscosity measurement of each sample was carried out in triplicate at 25±0.2 °C. A water bath (Reciprocal Shaking Bath Model 25, Precision Scientific, UK) was used to equilibrate the samples prior to the measurement. The results are given as an average ± standard deviation (SD).

A Thermo Electron Orion 420A⁺ Basic pH/mV/ORP 25 °C, Thermo Electron Corporation pH meter equipped with an Orion RoseTM 8103SC glass body pH semi-micro electrode was used to perform pH analyses. pH measurement of each sample was conducted in triplicate at 25 °C. The pH meter was calibrated with standard buffer solutions at pH 4, 7 and 10 before each batch of sample measurements. The results are given as an average value of three measurements $\pm$ SD.

Gel Permeation Chromatography (GPC) measurements of the molecular weight of carrageenans were performed using a system composed of an LC-10 AT VD liquid chromatograph pump system, a SIL-10 AD VP autoinjector, a FCV-10 AL VP low pressure gradient flow-control valve, a DGU-14A degasser, a Waters 410 refractive index detector and an SCL-10A VP system controller (Shimadzu, Japan). A Plaquagel–OH mixed 8 μ m, 300 $\times$ 7.5 mm column (Polymer Laboratories Ltd., UK) was used. The mobile phase was composed of 0.2M NaCl and 0.01M NaH₂PO₄ adjusted to pH 7.4 with NaOH solution (Umerska et al., 2012). The mobile phase flow rate in each case was 1 ml/min. Pullulan standards (PL Polymer Laboratoires, Germany) were used to construct the calibration curve. Solutions of standards and samples (1 mg/ml) were prepared in the mobile phase and 100 μ l of samples or standards were injected in triplicate. Shimadzu CLASS-VP software (version 6.10) with GPC for Class VP (version 1.02) was used for data collection and peak integration.

Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) of various types of carrageenans and PROT was carried out as described previously (Umerska et al., 2012).

2.3 Synthesis and characterisation of NPs composed of CAR and PROT

Aliquots of 0.05% and 0.1% w/v solutions of IC, KC, LC and PROT were prepared according to the method described in Section 2.2. Polymer and PROT solutions were combined together in various v/v ratios at room temperature (RT) and mixed under magnetic stirring for around 10 min to allow stabilisation of the system. Since the concentration of both components was the same, either 0.05% or 0.1% w/v, those v/v ratios were equivalent to CAR/PROT weight mixing ratios (WMRs) and WMRs are used throughout this manuscript.

Two methods of NP preparation were considered:

Method_A. An aliquot of PROT solution was added to an aliquot of polymer solution under magnetic stirring. Stirring was continued for 10 minutes.

Method_B. An aliquot of polymer solution was introduced to an aliquot of PROT solution under magnetic stirring. Stirring was continued for 10 minutes.

To examine the impact of divalent cations on IC/PROT NPs formation, CaCl_2 (final concentration of 0.05 M and 0.01 M) and ZnCl_2 (final concentration of 0.01 M) were dissolved in 0.1% w/v solution of PROT. The polymer and PROT/cation solutions were combined together in various v/v ratios at room temperature and mixed under magnetic stirring for 10 minutes to allow stabilisation of the system.

2.4 Physicochemical characterisation of NPs

The mean particle size (hydrodynamic particle diameter) and polydispersity index (Pdl) of prepared polyelectrolyte complexes were determined by dynamic light scattering (DLS) with the use of 173 degrees backscatter detection. The value of material refractive index used was 1.59, while that of absorption 0.01. Actual values of medium viscosity were input and the refractive index of dispersant was kept 1.33, the same as water. The electrophoretic mobility values measured by Laser Doppler Velocimetry (LDV) were converted to zeta potential (ZP) values using the Smoluchowski equation. Both measurements (DLS and LDV) were performed on a Zetasizer Nano ZS series Nano-ZS ZEN3600 fitted with a 633 nm laser (Malvern Instruments Ltd., UK). Samples without dilutions were placed directly into a clear plastic zeta cell (DTS 1061, Malvern, UK) and equilibrated for 2 min at 25 °C prior to the measurement. The readings for each sample were performed at least three times and at least three batches of each sample were prepared and analysed. The results are presented as an average of size $\pm$ SD, polydispersity index (Pdl) $\pm$ SD and ZP $\pm$ SD.

Viscosity and pH measurements were performed in the same way as described above in Section 2.2.

FTIR analysis was performed on CAR/PROT systems produced by combining 0.1% w/v solutions at a weight mixing ratio of 1 as described previously (Umerska et al., 2012).

The structural and morphological analysis of NPs was performed by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM). TEM was carried out with a Tecnai G² 20 TWIN microscope (FEI, USA). Samples were applied to the shiny side of the grid for 30 s and the excess of sample was removed by blotting the grid with a filter paper. Prepared samples were dried for 24h under ambient conditions. SEM was carried out with a Zeiss Supra Variable Pressure Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscope (Germany) equipped with a secondary electron detector. Liquid dispersions of NPs were directly placed onto aluminium stubs and dried for 24h in a desiccator over silica gel. Dried NPs were sputter-coated with gold under vacuum prior to the analysis.

Physical (colloidal) stability of NP formulations was visually inspected immediately after preparation, prior to carrying out any measurements. The presence/absence of aggregation was recorded. The colloidal stability of NPs (in native dispersions) upon storage was determined by measuring changes in the hydrodynamic particle size, Pdl and ZP over time. Measurements and visual observations were performed directly after sample preparation (day 0) and were continued every 24h for a further 3 days.

The colloidal, physical stability of NPs in the following liquid media: 0.01M HCl, 0.1M acetate buffer pH 4.5, 0.1M 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid (HEPES) buffer pH 6.5 and 0.01M phosphate buffered saline (PBS) pH 7.4 was also determined. The aqueous dispersions of NPs were diluted with a suitable medium in the 1:1 v/v ratio. The colloidal stability of the prepared NPs was determined by measuring changes in the mean hydrodynamic particle size over time. Measurements and visual observations were performed directly after sample preparation (day 0) and were continued every 24h for another 3 days.

2.5 Statistical analysis

The statistical significance of the differences between samples was determined by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) using Origin software version 7.5.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Formulation of NPs composed of various types of carrageenan and PROT

3.1.1 Impact of carrageenan type and solution concentration on NP formation

The molecular weight of the polymers was determined by GPC and it ranged from ~600 to ~700 kDa (Table 1). It was therefore assumed that only differences in the polymer chemical composition and/or structural differences (Figure 1), but not molecular weight, may affect the NP formation. The molecular weight of the polymer is reported as a crucial parameter that determines the success of the polymeric NP formation process, as established by Boddohi, Killingsworth and Kipper (2008) and Umerska et al. (2012).

Preliminary studies were first executed to examine each type of CAR for its ability to form PECs with PROT. CAR and PROT solutions (each at 1 mg/ml) were combined at various CAR/PROT WMRs using manufacturing Method_A, as previously employed by Umerska et al. (2012). The combination of IC and PROT gave the smallest particles with the lowest Pdl's when compared with the KC/PROT and LC/PROT systems (Figure 2A and 2B). The particle size and Pdl were seen to increase with increasing CAR/PROT WMR. Formation of large particulates, an indication of coagulation or aggregation, was observed for most of the KC/PROT WMRs tested (Figure 2A). High Pdl values were measured for the KC/PROT samples with particles in the nano size range (Figure 2B). SEM analysis (Figure 3) showed the presence of NPs and not microparticles, thus it may be concluded that the appearance of large particles for some of the KC/PROT systems was symptomatic of an aggregation process rather than coagulation. NPs with particle sizes of ~100-350 nm were formed when combining LC and PROT solutions (Figure 2A). Generally, LC/PROT NPs were larger in size when the LC/PROT WMRs was lower than 1.

All combinations tested: KC/PROT, IC/PROT and LC/PROT showed the formation of large entities at a WMR of 1, consistent with charge neutralisation occurring at this CAR/PROT WMR (Boddohi et al., 2008; Umerska et al., 2012). This was an interesting finding, as the different types of CAR vary in the number of sulphate residues in their structures (Figure 1) and in theory each of them should have a different, characteristic charge neutralisation point. Nevertheless, the actual sulphate content in the various CAR types varied between 20 and

27% (Table 1), which can be considered similar. Since the pKa value of the anionic sulphate group in CAR is around 2 (Gu, Decker and McClements, 2004), at pH of the CAR solution (Table 1) ionisation of the sulphate groups should be complete and all the groups will be available for potential binding with PROT.

Zeta potential (ZP) values showed that the NPs can bear either negative or positive surface charge (Figure 2C). ZP of positively charged NPs had similar values for each type of NP, possibly due to the PROT presence on the surface. Negatively charged NPs composed of KC/PROT and LC/PROT had similar ZP values (around -100 mV at a CAR/PROT WMR of 3 and approximately -200 mV at a CAR/PROT WMR of 5). A higher charge, around -80 - -100 mV, in comparison to KC/PROT and LC/PROT, was measured for negatively charged IC/PROT NPs. NPs based on IC displayed the most promising results in terms of obtaining NPs with the smallest particle sizes and lowest Pdl values (Figure 2).

Thrimawithana, Young, Dunstan and Alany (2010) have characterised properties of carrageenan gels and reported the existence of both KC and IC as random coils in solution and at high temperatures. On reduction of this temperature a double-helix structure is induced to form small independent domains via intermolecular interactions between a limited number of polysaccharide chains. KC forms honeycomb-like, hard gel structures while IC forms soft, elastic gel structures (Thrimawithana et al., 2010). LC does not form gels and it is characterised by a random distribution of polymer chains in solution (Berth et al., 2008). Conformation dependent interactions of carrageenans with other polymers and drugs have been reported in the literature. Thrimawithana, Young, Bunt, Geen, and Alany (2011) highlighted that, in the coiled conformation, the sulphate groups of carrageenans are further apart (at a distance of about 1 nm) than in the helix conformation (about 0.66 nm). As such, long range intermolecular forces between the sulphate groups are weaker in the coiled formation. Therefore, the polyanionic character of carrageenans would appear more important for polyelectrolyte complexation when present in the helix form and inducing this helix conformation of CAR polymers when interacting with the polycation PROT would appear to be most desirable for NP formulation via polyelectrolyte complexation.

KC was seen as the most problematic of the polymers used in initial formulation studies using 1 mg/ml CAR solutions, with large, aggregated particles being produced at almost all KC/PROT weight ratios studied (Figure 2). A lower concentration, 0.5 mg/ml, of KC and PROT solutions was investigated and allowed the formation of particulates in the nano size range for all weight mixing ratios tested (Figure 2A). The NPs had lower Pdl values ranging from 0.21 to 0.46 and greater ZP values in comparison to the NP counterparts, if formed, made of 1 mg/ml solutions. While it was possible to obtain NPs based on KC when lowering its concentration, it is important to note that previous reports in the literature have indicated that typically a greater association efficiency of the drug can be achieved when greater concentration of polymers is used in their formulation (Sarmiento, Ribeiro, Veiga and Ferreira, 2006; Gupta and Karar, 2011). Thus NPs with a total polymer content of 1 mg/ml would be most desirable in terms of future studies on drug loading.

Overall, the CAR conformation in solution and its solution concentration appear to be the key determinants of success when forming polyelectrolyte nanoplexes with PROT. IC showed the most promising characteristics and small, homogenous and bearing either negative or positive surface charge NPs were successfully formed at 1 mg/ml solution concentrations. Additionally, it should be noted that amines (PROT is rich in arginine moieties) can induce ionic gelation of IC (Yeo, Baek and Park, 2001).

3.1.2 Impact of the preparation method on properties of NPs

Polyion addition mode is known to govern properties of polyelectrolyte nanoplexes (Chen, Heitmann and Hubbe, 2003; Dragan, Mihai and Schwarz, 2006; Birch & Schiffman, 2014). In experiments described in the previous section Method_A (an aliquot of PROT solution was added to a volume of HA solution) was used. A method involving the reverse addition of components (a volume of PROT solution introduced to a volume of PROT solution), i.e. Method_B, was also tested on KC/PROT (with a total polymer content of 0.5 mg/ml) and IC/PROT (with a total polymer content of 1 mg/ml) systems.

Preparation Method_A yielded NPs that were generally smaller in size and with lower Pdl values in case of negatively charged NPs (a CAR/PROT WMR of 5, Figure 4A and B) than

those generated using Method_B. Also, Method_A allowed the formation of NPs at a CAR/PROT WMR of 2, while Method_B resulted in samples containing large particles at this WMR. Statistically significant differences between the size of NPs were also observed for KC/PROT and IC/PROT at CAR/PROT WMRs of 0.2 and 0.5, but it was Method_B that gave smaller NPs for IC/PROT while Method_A resulted in smaller NPs for KC/PROT (Figure 4A). The variation in the particle size and Pdl was not reflected in similar changes in dynamic viscosity and ZP values (Figure 4C and D), and no general trends were discerned.

Birch and Schiffman (2014) investigated the order of solution addition, when making chitosan and pectin polyelectrolyte nanoplexes. Substantial differences in particle sizes and ZP values were seen, but the mechanism rationalising the variation was not provided. Dragan et al. found that the charge neutralisation point of the complexes formed between acrylamide or methacrylate derivatives of poly(sulphonate)s and poly(quaternary amine)s was dependent on whether the titrant was the polyanion or polycation (Dragan et al., 2006). In all cases when the polycation was added to the polyanion, the molar charge ratio between the cationic and anionic species was shifted to higher values. This behaviour was explained by the charge localisation on the polycations, making them less efficient in the stabilisation of complex particles with the polyanion; thus larger in size aggregates were formed. The findings of Dragan et al. (2006) can be translated into the observed increase in the particle size of IC/PROT and KC/PROT NPs at a CAR/PROT WMP of 5 as well as KC/PROT at a CAR/PROT WMP of 0.2 and 0.5, when much larger particles, indicative of a looser structure and weaker species interactions, were formed using Method_B (Figure 4A and D). Also, Method_A permitted successful NP production at a CAR/PROT WMP of 2, while extensive aggregation was seen for Method_B.

However, Method_B yielded smaller particles, and with lower ZP values, for IC/PROT WMRs of 0.2 and 0.5, in comparison to Method_A, so the supposition of lower polycation efficiency does not hold for these samples.

Umerska et al. highlighted the importance of the molecular weight ratio of the polyanionic and polycationic constituents and the difference in charge density (Umerska et al., 2014).

While it was possible to prepare NPs composed of hyaluronic acid (HA, molecular weight ~260 kDa) and PROT (molecular weight ~5 kDa), they were not physically stable and aggregated within hours due to the large difference in molecular weight of HA and PROT. Interestingly, the molecular weight ratio for KC/PROT is ~130 and that for IC/PROT ~140, yet physically stable NPs were formed (for stability data see Section 3.4). As IC is a much stronger polyanion than HA (Cundall, Lawton, Murray and Phillips, 1979) it is able to form stronger complexes with PROT, but strong, linear polyelectrolytes are also known to form stable, however non-stoichiometric complexes (Chen et al., 2003). The component in excess here, PROT, is expected to charge-stabilise the IC/PROT NPs.

The final conclusion is that PROT can either stabilise or destabilise NPs depending on the mode of mixing and the type of carrageenan. Practically, considering the rather small differences in NP properties depending on the use of Method_A or Method_B for the positively charge particles, Method_A was selected for further experiments.

3.1.3 Impact of Ca^{2+} and Zn^{2+} on NP formation

Calcium ion has been widely used to crosslink negatively charged polysaccharides to aid NP formation and to promote better size distribution and polydispersity of formed (Liu, Jiao, Wang, Zhou and Zhang, 2008). As reported, Ca^{2+} ions favour IC gel formation while K^+ ions favour KC gel formation (Thrimawithana et al., 2010). Thus the addition of divalent cations (Ca^{2+} and Zn^{2+}) was tested for possible alteration of IC/PROT NPs properties.

At first, Ca^{2+} at a concentration of 0.05M was tested. However, only micron sized particles were formed after incorporation of Ca^{2+} ions to the IC/PROT formulations. To further check the impact of Ca^{2+} concentration, the concentration was decreased to 0.01M. This decrease in the ion concentration brought the particle size of PECs which were formed back into the nano-range (Figure 5A), however Pdl values were greater in comparison to those of formulations without Ca^{2+} (Figure 5B). To further optimise the formulation another ion was sought. The presence of Zn^{2+} ions at a concentration of 0.01M resulted in NPs with smaller particle sizes than those containing Ca^{2+} ions (Figure 5A). However, the size of NPs formed with the divalent cation addition was still larger than formulations without ions (Figures 2A

and 5A). As expected, additional cations present in formulations resulted in lowering ZP for all weight ratios tested due to reaction with the anionic sulphate groups of the polymer (Figure 5C).

3.2. Characterisation of interactions between CAR and PROT

FTIR spectra of the different carrageenans, PROT and NPs, along with band assignments for the NP components, are presented in Figure 6. The identity of KC, IC and LC could be easily distinguished by the presence and location of bands of sulphate galactose and sulphate 3,6-anhydrogalactose (Figure 6). The FTIR spectra of NPs were dominated by vibrations characteristic of CAR in the 650-1400 cm^{-1} region with prominent amide I and II peaks of PROT appearing between 1400 and 1700 cm^{-1} confirming the presence of both components in the NP formulations.

No new covalent bonds were created in the process of combining CAR and PROT, but shifts of some absorption bands were evident (Table 2). Interestingly, the peaks associated with sulphate and amine groups of CAR and PROT moved substantially for KC/PROT and LC/PROT systems, while, for the IC/PROT sample, only the amide I band shifted considerably and not the sulphate bands. This implies that the various CAR types may interact with PROT via different mechanisms. Large shifts in the amide I band of chitosan were observed for chitosan/HA (Umerska et al., 2012) and chitosan/KC (Li et al., 2013) systems and attributed to the formation of a polyelectrolyte complex. Therefore it appears that all types of CAR are able to electrostatically complex with PROT, and this mechanism is dominant for KC/PROT and LC/PROT systems, but another process is also likely to occur in the case of IC/PROT. Thrimawithana et al. (2011) noticed that the region between 1000 and 1200 cm^{-1} is the most sensitive to structural changes of CAR as it shows stretching absorption bands of C-O-C and C-O bonds of the polysaccharides. There is a shift by 6 cm^{-1} of the absorption band located at 1155 cm^{-1} towards higher wavenumbers for IC/PROT (shifts by 1-2 cm^{-1} in the opposite direction are seen for KC- and LC-/PROT), consistent with deformation of IC polysaccharide chains. As the driving force for formation of PECs is attraction of oppositely charged moieties (already discussed above) and/or an increase in

entropy when the complexation is accompanied by release of counterions (Chen et al., 2003), the shift of the 1155 cm^{-1} band may signify that the latter mechanism is also present and contributes to the formation of small and homogenous NPs.

3.3. Structure and morphology of NPs

Figure 3 shows SEM images of a range of CAR/PROT NPs. It can be observed that NPs with positive surface charge (a CAR/PROT WMR of 0.33) were generally spherical in shape and well defined (KC/PROT and IC/PROT), with the exception of LC/PROT for which a rather fused mass of very small nanoparticulates with sizes of approximately 10-50 nm was observed. It is therefore likely that data presented in Figure 2A pertains to aggregated LC/PROT NPs rather than individual particles. At a CAR/PROT WMR of 3 the particles were still approximately spherical, but a greater degree of fusion was apparent.

TE micrographs (Figure 3G and 3H) show spherical and well-defined structures. There is some of the polymeric corona effect (Umerska et al., 2012) visible for the NPs.

3.4 Colloidal stability of IC/PROT NPs

A range of formulations with different IC/PROT WMRs and properties were chosen for colloidal stability testing, which was carried out for up to 72h at RT. A large increase in the particle size was noticed only for the positively charged NPs (IC/PROT WMRs of 0.2 and 0.5, Figure 7A) with a very rapid growth in the particle size and flocculation measured for the formulation with an IC/PROT WMR of 0.5. NPs with IC/PROT WMRs of 2 and 4 showed only a small increase in the particle size upon the storage. Interestingly, the increase in the hydrodynamic size of the IC/PROT WMR of 0.5 system after 24h was not accompanied by an increase in Pdl (Figure 7B). A gradual decrease in ZP values for NPs with a IC/PROT WMR of 0.5 was observed, indicative of PROT detachment (Figure 7C). The best colloidal stability was determined for NPs with WMRs of 2 and 4.

NPs with a positive surface charge (IC/PROT WMRs of 0.2 and 0.5) were physically unstable and aggregated either immediately after mixing with the medium (acetate buffer pH=4.5 and PBS pH=7.4) or extensive aggregation was observed after 24h (0.01M HCl and HEPES buffer pH=6.5) (Figure 8A and B). In contrast, good colloidal stability was seen for all

negatively charged formulations (IC/PROT WMPs of 2 and 4) with relatively small variations in the particle size recorded over time (Figure 8C and D).

As the component in excess charge-stabilises the PEC complex (PROT for NPs with positive surface charge and CAR for NPs with negative surface charge), its ability to remain on the surface in a range of conditions will define the colloidal stability of particles. As PROT comprises small, approximately 5 kDa fragments, this component can be easily removed from the particle surface, resulting in physical destabilisation of the system. On the other hand, CAR has approximately 100 times greater molecular weight and long, unbranched chains can form entangled structures and thus are removed with more difficulty. Moreover, the impact of the ionic strength of a medium on the binding constant is well known and mathematically presented by the “Record-Lohman” equation, which describes a double logarithmic dependence of the binding constant on the ionic strength (Record, Anderson and Lohman, 1978). Thus in electrolyte media the stability of polyelectrolyte complexes may be even further decreased, as shown in Figure 8.

4. Conclusions

In this study, we have demonstrated that carrageenans could be used as suitable polymers for the formation of novel NPs via polyelectrolyte complexation with PROT. The type (KC, IC or LC) and solution concentration of carrageenans used was of particular importance. When 0.1% w/v solutions were used, aggregation was seen for KC/PROT, while small nanoplexes with sizes of approximately 100-150 nm were obtained for the IC/PROT combination. The addition of calcium and zinc ions to the environment during the NP formation was seen to increase the particle size and surface potential of particulates and this may be perceived as an undesirable effect. Polyelectrolyte complexation was confirmed as the mechanism of NP formation accompanied by deformation of polysaccharide chains for IC/PROT systems. Good colloidal stability was seen for NPs with negative surface charge stored as native dispersions and with media at various pH.

IC was found to be the most suitable type of CAR, forming NPs with PROT that were smallest in size and most stable. Furthermore, the ease of formulation and mild preparative conditions required for preparation of these nanoplexes make them promising candidates in terms of use as nanocarriers. Therefore it is proposed to use IC/PROT nanoplexes for further studies involving loading of bioactive compounds for the purpose of drug delivery.

Acknowledgements

This study was funded by Merrion Pharmaceuticals Ireland. This work was also supported by the Synthesis and Solid State Pharmaceutical Centre funded by Science Foundation Ireland under grant number 12/RC/2275. The authors would like to acknowledge the Imaging Core Facility, UCD Conway Institute of Biomolecular and Biomedical Research for performing TEM analysis.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

References

- Arman, M. & Qader S. A. U. (2010). Structural analysis of kappa-carrageenan isolated from *Hypnea musciformis* (red algae) and evaluation as an elicitor of plant defense mechanism. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 88, 1264-1271.
- Awotwe-Otoo, D., Agarabi, C., Keire, D., Lee, S., Raw, A., Yu, L., Habib, M. J., Khan, M. A. & Shah, R. B. (2012). Physicochemical characterization of complex drug substances: evaluation of structural similarities and differences of protamine sulfate from various sources. *AAPS Journal*, 14, 619-626.
- Bayat, A., Dorkoosh, F. A., Dehpour, A. R., Moezi, L., Larijani, B., Junginger, H. E. & Rafiee-Tehrani, M. (2008). Nanoparticles of quaternized chitosan derivatives as a carrier for colon

463 delivery of insulin: ex vivo and in vivo studies. *International Journal of Pharmaceutics*, 356,
464 259–266.

465 Berth, G., Vukovic, J. & Lechner, M. D. (2008). Physicochemical characterisation of
466 carrageenans - a critical reinvestigation. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*, 110, 3508-
467 3524.

468 Bertoluzza, A., Fagnano, C., Finelli, P., Morelli, M. A., Simoni R. & Tosi R. (1983). Raman
469 and infrared spectra of spermidine and spermine and their hydrochlorides and phosphates
470 as a basis for the study of the interactions between polyamines and nucleic acids. *Journal of*
471 *Raman Spectroscopy*, 14, 386–394.

472 Birch, N. P. & Schiffman, J. D. (2014). Characterization of self-assembled polyelectrolyte
473 complex nanoparticles formed from chitosan and pectin. *Langmuir*, 30, 3441-3447.

474 Boddohi, S., Killingsworth, C. E. & Kipper, M. J. (2008). Polyelectrolyte multilayer assembly
475 as a function of pH and ionic strength using the polysaccharides chitosan and heparin.
476 *Biomacromolecules*, 9, 2021–2028.

477 Briones, A. V. & Sato, T. (2010). Encapsulation of glucose oxidase (GOD) in polyelectrolyte
478 complexes of chitosan-carrageenan. *Reactive and Functional Polymers*, 70, 19–27.

479 Campo, V. L., Kawano, D. F., Braz da Silva, J. R. D. & Carvalho, I. (2009). Carrageenans:
480 biological properties, chemical modifications and structural analysis - a review. *Carbohydrate*
481 *Polymers*, 77, 167-180.

482 Chen, J. H., Heitmann, J. A. & Hubbe, M. A. (2003). Dependency of polyelectrolyte complex
483 stoichiometry on the order of addition. 1. Effect of salt concentration during streaming current
484 titrations with strong poly-acid and polybase. *Colloids and Surfaces A*, 223, 215-230.

485 Cundall, R. B., Lawton, J. B., Murray, D. & Phillips, G. O. (1979). Interaction of acridine
486 orange and polyanions: fluorimetric determination of binding strengths and the influence of
487 simple electrolytes. *Journal of the Chemical Society, Perkin Transactions*, 2, 879-884.

488 Dai, W. G., Dong, L. C. & Song, Y. Q. (2007). Nanosizing of a drug/carrageenan complex to
 489 increase solubility and dissolution rate. *International Journal of Pharmaceutics*, 342, 201-
 490 207.

491 Daniel-da-Silva, A. L., Trindade, T., Goodfellow, B. J., Costa, B. F., Correia, R. N., Gil, A. M.
 492 (2007). In situ synthesis of magnetite nanoparticles in carrageenan gels.
 493 *Biomacromolecules*, 8:2350-2357.

494 Delie, F. & Blanco-Prieto, M. (2005). Polymeric particulates to improve oral bioavailability of
 495 peptide drugs. *Molecules*, 10, 65–80.

496 Dodgson, K. S. & Price, R. G. (1962). A note on the determination of the ester sulphate
 497 content of sulphated polysaccharides. *Biochemical Journal*, 8, 106–110.

498 Dragan, E. S., Mihai, M. & Schwarz, S. (2006). Polyelectrolyte complex dispersions with a
 499 high colloidal stability controlled by the polyion structure and titrant addition rate. *Colloids*
 500 *and Surfaces A*, 290, 213–221.

501 Gu, Y. S., Decker, E. A. & McClements, D. J. (2004). Influence of pH and iota-carrageenan
 502 concentration on physicochemical properties and stability of beta-lactoglobulin-stabilized oil-
 503 in-water emulsions. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 52, 3626-3632.

504 Gupta, V. K. & Karar, P. K. (2011). Optimisation of process variables for the preparation of
 505 chitosan-alginate nanoparticles. *International Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical*
 506 *Sciences*, 3, 1-3.

507 Hans, M. L. & Lowman, A. M. (2002). Biodegradable nanoparticles for drug delivery and
 508 targeting. *Current Opinion in Solid State and Materials Science*, 6, 319-327.

509 Hu, Y., Yang, T. & Hu, X. (2012). Novel polysaccharide-based nanoparticle carriers prepared
 510 by polyelectrolyte complexation for protein delivery. *Polymer Bulletin*, 6, 1183-1199.

511 Li, C., Hein, S. & Wang, K. (2013). Chitosan-carrageenan polyelectrolyte complex for the
 512 delivery of protein drugs. *ISRN Biomaterials*, Article ID 629807, doi:10.5402/2013/629807.

513 Liu, Z., Jiao, Y., Wang, Y., Zhou, Ch. & Zhang, Z. (2008). Polysaccharides-based
 514 nanoparticles as drug delivery systems. *Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews*, 60, 1650-1662.

515 Malafaya, P. B., Silva, G. A. & Reis, R. L. (2007). Natural-origin polymers as carriers and
 516 scaffolds for biomolecules and cell delivery in tissue engineering applications. *Advanced*
 517 *Drug Delivery Reviews*, 59, 207-233.

518 Melo, M. R. S., Feitosa, J. P. A., Freitas A. L. P. & de Paula R. C. M. (2002). Isolation and
 519 characterization of soluble sulfated polysaccharide from the red seaweed *Gracilaria cornea*.
 520 *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 49, 491-498.

521 Nanaki, S., Karavas, E., Kalantzi, L. & Bikiaris, D. (2010). Miscibility study of carrageenan
 522 blends and evaluation of their effectiveness as sustained release carriers. *Carbohydrate*
 523 *Polymers*, 79, 1157-1167.

524 Necas, J. & Bartosikova, L. (2013). Carrageenan: a review. *Veterinarni Medicina*, 58, 187-
 525 205.

526 Paluch, K. J., Tajber, L., McCabe, T., O'Brien, J. E., Corrigan, O. I. & Healy, A. M. (2010).
 527 Preparation and solid state characterisation of chlorothiazide sodium intermolecular self-
 528 assembly suprastructure. *European Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 41, 603-611.

529 Record, M. T. Jr., Anderson, C. F. & Lohman, T. M. (1978). Thermodynamic analysis of ion
 530 effects on the binding and conformational equilibria of proteins and nucleic acids: the roles of
 531 ion association or release, screening, and ion effects on water activity. *Quarterly Reviews of*
 532 *Biophysics*, 11, 103–178.

533 Reynolds, F., Weissleder, R. & Josephson, L. (2005). Protamine as an efficient membrane-
 534 translocating peptide. *Bioconjugate Chemistry*, 16, 1240-1245.

535 Ryan, S. M., McMorrow, J., Umerska, A., Patel, H. B., Kornerup, K. N., Tajber, L., Murphy,
 536 E. P., Perretti, M., Corrigan, O. I. & Brayden, D. J. (2013). An intra-articular salmon
 537 calcitonin-based nanocomplex reduces experimental inflammatory arthritis. *Journal of*
 538 *Controlled Release*, 167, 120-129.

539 Sarmento, B., Bibeiro, A., Veiga, F., Ferreira, D. & Neufeld, R. (2007). Oral bioavailability of
540 insulin contained in polysaccharide nanoparticles. *Biomacromolecules*, 8, 3054-3060.

541 Sarmento, B., Ribeiro, A., Veiga, F. & Ferreira, D. (2006). Development and characterisation
542 of new insulin containing polysaccharide nanoparticles. *Colloids and Surfaces B*, 53, 193-
543 202.

544 Shumilina, E. V. & Shchipunov, Y. A. (2002). Chitosan-carrageenan gels. *Colloid Journal*,
545 64, 372–378.

546 Sonia, T. A. & Sharma, Ch. P. (2012). An overview of natural polymers for oral insulin
547 delivery. *Drug Discovery Today*, 17, 784-792.

548 Temsamani, J. & Vidal, P. (2004). The use of cell-penetrating peptides for drug delivery.
549 *Drug Discoveries & Therapeutics*, 9, 1012-1019.

550 Thrimawithana, T. R., Young, S., Dunstan, D. E. & Alany, R. G. (2010). Texture and
551 rheological characterisation of kappa and iota carrageenan in the presence of counter ions.
552 *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 82, 69-77.

553 Thrimawithana, T. R., Young, S. A., Bunt, C. R., Geen, C. R. & Alany, R. G. (2011). In-vitro
554 and in-vivo evaluation of carrageenan/methylcellulose polymeric systems for transscleral
555 delivery of macromolecules. *European Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 44, 399-409.

556 Umerska, A., Paluch, K. J., Inkielewicz-Stepniak, I., Santoz-Martinez, M. J., Corrigan, O. I.,
557 Medina, C. & Tajber, L. (2012). Exploring the assembly process and properties of novel
558 cross-linker free hyaluronate-based polyelectrolyte complex nanocarriers. *International*
559 *Journal of Pharmaceutics*, 436, 75-87.

560 Umerska, A., Paluch, K. J., Santos-Martinez, M. J., Corrigan, O. I., Medina, C. & Tajber, L.
561 (2014). Self-assembled hyaluronate/protamine polyelectrolyte nanoplexes: synthesis,
562 stability, biocompatibility and potential use as peptide carriers. *Journal of Biomedical*
563 *Nanotechnology*, 10, 3658-3673.

564 Yeo, Y., Baek, N. & Park, K. (2001). Microencapsulation methods for delivery of protein
565 drugs. *Biotechnology and Bioprocess Engineering*, 6, 213-230.

Table 1 Physicochemical properties of carrageenans and their solutions studied in this work.

KC – kappa carrageenan, IC – iota carrageenan, LC – lambda carrageenan.

Polymer	Molecular weight (kDa)	Loss on drying (%)	Sulphate content (%)	Viscosity (mPa·s) of solution	pH of solution
KC	672±39	11.3±0.8	20.3±1.4	0.05% - 3.62±0.13 0.1% - 5.49±0.04	0.05% - 6.7±0.1 0.1% - 7.2±0.2
IC	724±175	18.1±0.4	26.6±1.2	0.05% - 2.63±0.05 0.1% - 4.34±0.01	0.05% - 6.3±0.0 0.1% - 6.6±0.1
LC	579±22	14.1±1.2	21.3±0.9	0.05% - 4.58±0.06 0.1% - 6.06±0.19	0.05% - 7.2±0.2 0.1% - 7.9±0.2

Table 2 FTIR band positions (in cm^{-1}) in carrageenans, protamine (PROT) and nanoparticle (NP) formulations. The number in parenthesis indicate the magnitude of the shift in cm^{-1} , * indicates that the group in NP formulation shifted by at least 10 cm^{-1} . KC – kappa carrageenan, IC – iota carrageenan, LC – lambda carrageenan, ν – stretching, $\nu_{s,a}$ – symmetric and asymmetric stretching, ν_a – asymmetric stretching.

Band	KC	IC	LC	PROT	KC/PROT NPs	IC/PROT NPs	LC/PROT NPs
$\nu(\text{C-O}, \text{C-OH})$	1037	1024	1011	-	1033 (4)	1024 (0)	1007 (3)
$\nu_a(\text{COC})$	1157	1155	1154	-	1155 (2)	1161 (6)	1153 (1)
$\nu_{a,s}(\text{SO})$	1228	1215	1219	-	1212 (16)*	1215 (0)	1209 (10)*
$\nu_a(\text{SO}_2)$	1374	1373	1372	-	1363 (11)*	1375 (2)	1363 (9)
amide II and NH_3^+	-	-	-	1538	1535 (3)	1538 (0)	1534 (4)
amide I	-	-	-	1633	1647 (14)*	1645 (12)*	1651 (18)*

kappa carrageenan (KC)

iota carrageenan (IC)

lambda carrageenan (LC)

Figure 1. Chemical structure of kappa carrageenan (KC), iota carrageenan (IC) and lambda carrageenan (LC).

Figure 2. A) Hydrodynamic particle size, B) polydispersity index (Pdl) and C) zeta potential for NPs composed of various types of carrageenans and protamine (PROT). A – instantaneous aggregation, KC – kappa carrageenan, IC – iota carrageenan and LC – lambda carrageenan.

Figure 3. Electron micrographs: A) SEM of KC/PROT NPs with a WMR of 0.33, B) SEM of KC/PROT NPs with a WMR of 3, C) SEM of IC/PROT NPs with a WMR of 0.33, D) SEM of IC/PROT NPs with a WMR of 3, E) SEM of LC/PROT NPs with a WMR of 0.33, F) SEM of

LC/PROT NPs with a WMR of 3, G) TEM of IC/PROT NPs with a WMR of 0.5 and H) TEM of IC/PROT NPs with a WMR of 4. The concentration of constituents was 1 mg/ml. WMR - weight mixing ratio. KC – kappa carrageenan, IC – iota carrageenan, LC – lambda carrageenan and PROT – protamine.

Figure 4. Comparison of: A) hydrodynamic particle size and B) polydispersity index (Pdl), C) dynamic viscosity and D) zeta potential for selected NPs composed of kappa carrageenan/protamine (KC/PROT, 0.05% w/v) and iota carrageenan/PROT (0.1% w/v) formed by the following variation in the method of preparation: Method_A: an aliquot of PROT solution was added to an aliquot of carrageenan solution under magnetic stirring and Method_B: an aliquot of carrageenan solution was introduced to an aliquot of PROT solution under magnetic stirring. A - instantaneous aggregation, statistical analysis: * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$.

Figure 5. Comparison of: A) hydrodynamic particle size, B) polydispersity index (Pdl) and C) zeta potential values for NPs composed of iota carrageenan (IC) and protamine (PROT) without/with addition of calcium and zinc cations.

Figure 6. FTIR analysis of PROT, KC, IC, LC, KC/PROT NPs, IC/PROT NPs and LC/PROT NPs. Band assignment was done based on studies of Bertoluzza et al. (1983); Melo, Feitosa, Freitas and de Paula (2002); Nanaki, Karavas, Kalantzi and Bikiaris, (2010); Awotwe-Otoo et al. (2012); Arman & Quader (2012). ν – stretching, $\nu_{s,a}$ – symmetric and asymmetric stretching, ν_s – symmetric stretching, ν_a – asymmetric stretching and δ – bending vibrations. Arg – arginine, 2S,G - 2-sulphate galactose (LC only), 4S,G - 4-sulphate galactose (KC and IC only), 6S,G - 6-sulphate galactose (LC only) and 2S,3,6AG - 2-sulphate 3,6-anhydrogalactose (IC only). KC – kappa carrageenan, IC – iota carrageenan, LC – lambda carrageenan and PROT – protamine.

Figure 7. Colloidal stability studies of IC/PROT nanoparticle **native** dispersions at room temperature: A) hydrodynamic particle size, B) polydispersity index (Pdl) and C) zeta potential. WMR – weight mixing ratio, IC – iota carrageenan and PROT – protamine.

Figure 8. Colloidal stability studies based on monitoring hydrodynamic particle size changes of IC/PROT nanoparticle dispersions in media with various pH. The weight mixing ratios (WMRs) of IC/PROT were: A) 0.2, C) 0.5, D) 2 and F) 4. A – aggregation, IC – iota carrageenan and PROT – protamine, HCl – 0.01M HCl, Acetate - 0.1M acetate buffer pH 4.5, HEPES - 0.1M HEPES buffer pH 6.5 and PBS - 0.01M PBS pH=7.4.