The University of Bradford Institutional Repository

<http://bradscholars.brad.ac.uk>

This work is made available online in accordance with publisher policies. Please refer to the repository record for this item and our Policy Document available from the repository home page for further information.

To see the final version of this work please visit the publisher's website. Access to the published online version may require a subscription.

Link to publisher's version: <http://dx.doi.org/10.9734/BJAST/2016/13426>

Citation: Alawar HM, Ugail H, Kamala M and Connah D (2016) The Bradford Multi-Modal Gait Database: Gateway to Using Static Measurements to Create a Dynamic Gait Signature. British Journal of Applied Science and Technology. 14(1): 1-10.

Copyright statement: © 2016 Alawar et al. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The Bradford Multi-Modal Gait Database: Gateway to Using Static Measurements to Create a Dynamic Gait Signature

Hamad M. Alawar^{1,2*}, Hassan Ugail¹, Mumtaz Kamala¹ and David Connah¹

¹University of Bradford, Bradford, BD7 1DP, West Yorkshire, UK.

²Dubai Police Headquarters, Dubai, P.O.Box 1493, United Arab Emirates.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/BJAST/2016/13426

Editor(s):

(1)

(2)

Reviewers:

(1)

(2)

(3)

Complete Peer review History:

Original Research Article

Received 16th August 2014
Accepted 25th November 2014
Published 6th January 2016

ABSTRACT

Aims: To create a gait database with optimum accuracy of joint rotational data and an accurate representation of 3D volume, and explore the potential of using the database in studying the relationship between static and dynamic features of a human's gait.

Study Design: The study collected gait samples from 38 subjects, in which they were asked to walk, run, walk to run transition, and walk with a bag. The motion capture, video, and 3d measurement data extracted was used to analyse and build a correlation between features.

Place and Duration of Study: The study was conducted in the University of Bradford. With the ethical approval from the University, 38 subjects' motion and body volumes were recorded at the motion capture studio from May 2011- February 2013.

Methodology: To date, the database includes 38 subjects (5 females, 33 males) conducting walk cycles with speed and load as covariants. A correlation analysis was conducted to explore the potential of using the database to study the relationship between static and dynamic features. The volumes and surface area of body segments were used as static features. Phased-weighted magnitudes extracted through a Fourier transform of the rotation temporal data of the joints from

*Corresponding author: E-mail: hamadalawar@gmail.com;

the motion capture were used as dynamic features. The Pearson correlation coefficient is used to evaluate the relationship between the two sets of data.

Results: A new database was created with 38 subjects conducting four forms of gait (walk, run, walk to run, and walking with a hand bag). Each subject recording included a total of 8 samples of each form of gait, and a 3D point cloud (representing the 3D volume of the subject). Using a P-value ($P < .05$) as a criterion for statistical significance, 386 pairs of features displayed a strong relationship.

Conclusion: A novel database available to the scientific community has been created. The database can be used as an ideal benchmark to apply gait recognition techniques, and based on the correlation analysis, can offer a detailed perspective of the dynamics of gait and its relationship to volume. Further research in the relationship between static and dynamic features can contribute to the field of biomechanical analysis, use of biometrics in forensic applications, and 3D virtual walk simulation.

Keywords: *Gait recognition; static; dynamic; database; relationship; motion capture; 3D laser scanning; point cloud; correlation.*

1. INTRODUCTION

There are many gait databases available for the public; most prominent are the USF HumanID gait challenge database [1], and the CASIA gait database [2]. They both provide abundant 2D video data of walking subjects with different variants (Clothing, shoes, surface, and angle of surface being walked upon; either incline or uphill). A lot of gait recognition related databases emerged following DARPA's HumanID at a Distance program. Other databases also include the University of Southampton's 3D Gait Database [3], the Carnegie Mellon University's MoBo database [4], the HUMABIO database [5]. Although there are a lot of databases available for gait recognition, none of them can provide an accurate representation of joint movement and rotation along with an accurate representation of the physical 3D human body.

Therefore; the core of this work is to develop a new gait database using motion capture and 3D laser scanning technology. The motion capture data would provide the accurate dynamics of a walk, while the 3D laser scanner would provide the accurate 3D physical representation of the human body. This kind of accuracy would allow the further study of the relationship between body's physical composition (size, height, build) and gait dynamics.

This paper will discuss the covariants and modalities in current databases, an insight into the creation of the BMMGait Database. It will also discuss the results of a correlation analysis of the two sets of features to explore the potential of using static features as a basis for predicting dynamic features.

2. COVARIANTS AND MODALITIES

Gait has many variables that can affect its cycle. Several variants have been addressed by the USF HumanID gait challenge database which include: Camera angle, shoe type, walking surface, briefcase carrying, and time passage between recordings [1]. Other databases have explored other variants which include: Clothing type, gait speed, pitch or slant of surface, load and weight (Backpack, carrying a ball...etc.). [2-5].

Even though databases explore the different variants that influence a gait, there is a need to investigate the different mediums used to record a gait. The most common devices used are cameras, even though they can vary in resolution, size, lens type, and quality. There are other databases which include recordings using infrared cameras, multiple cameras setup, motion capture, Doppler low freq. devices [2,3].

3. BMMGAIT DATABASE

The database was created to develop a multi-modal gait database that can be used as a benchmark to apply various gait recognition experiments and techniques. The database's current modalities are:

3.1 Motion Capture

The motion capture system used in this database consists of 16 Vicon T20 cameras. These cameras offer a resolution of 2 megapixels that capture 10-bit grayscale images at 500 frames per second. The motion capture in our experiments was shot at a resolution of 2 MP

and at a frequency of 100 Hz. The cameras and motion capture process is managed and controlled by Vicon Blade. Blade provides the control and management of actor (subject) setup, recording the motion capture data, and clean up.

Marker setup is the manner in which the white reflective markers are placed on a subject. The 57 marker setup used in this database is the standard used at the University of Bradford motion capture studio, which is usually intended for real-time 3D simulation for the fields of entertainment and video games.

3.2 Video Camera

The subject's gait was captured using three cameras. Each camera was placed on a tripod. One camera was placed parallel to the walk direction in order to capture a side view of the walk. The second camera was placed in the corner of the studio to capture a 45 degree angled view, similar to a closed-circuit television (CCTV) camera's field of view.

The cameras used in this database were the Canon EOS 5D Mark II. The video recorded was of a full HD resolution (1920 x 1080), at 25 frames per second.

3.3 3D Laser Scanner

A Faro Laser Scanner Photon 120 3D laser scanner is used in this database. It scans a 360 degree horizontal field of view with a speed of 120,000 points per second. In this setup, the laser scanner was controlled and the recording was managed through the use of Faro Scene. The scanner was used to take four scans of the subject: frontal, posterior, and the left and right sides. Scans took place before the motion capture recording started. Although there was a very minimal risk of the scanner laser being directed at a subject's eye, precautions were considered by the use of safety goggles.

3.4 Subjects

The main objective of the database is to provide one unified database that includes different modalities in regards to recording media used. In this database, every gait sequence is available in one of the following three formats:

- 1- Video recording of a subject parallel to the camera's recording plane
- 2- Two alternative video recordings of the subject (frontal, and angled)

3- Motion capture data (3D motion data)

Accompanying the motion data formats will be two 3D point cloud (3D measurement data) datasets:

- 1- 3D scan of room
- 2- 3D scan of the participant

Since the use of treadmills is debatable [6], it was decided not to use them in this database and rely on the length of motion capture studio. The current database includes 38 participants. Each participant was asked to wear the motion capture suit. The markers were placed as close as possible to their targeted joint placement. Furthermore, the suits were adjusted to be as tight as possible without limiting movement, to avoid any movement of the material. Although minimal sliding of the material is unavoidable, yet it is a common assumption in such methods, and can be factored out by the motion solvers within the Vicon blade software. The subjects then were asked to conduct the following actions in the chronological order within estimated 1 hour duration:

- 1- Conduct a walk 8 times across the room
- 2- Conduct a run 8 times across the room
- 3- Conduct a walk to run transition 8 times
- 4- Conduct a walk carrying a bag using the left arm 8 times
- 5- Conduct a walk carrying a bag using the right arm 8 times

In all of the actions mentioned above, the subjects started from a stationary position. They would walk/run at their own comfortable speed, in a straight line across the room, which was of a length of 8 meters. Once they traveled that distance, they would then travel back across the same line in the same manner.

The initial subjects were contacted through the use of flyers within the Centre for Visual Computing and the School of Engineering and Informatics at the University of Bradford. Each volunteer was handed an information sheet about the database and the process of recording. They were each requested to sign a consent form before any recording session takes place. The first phase of this database included the recording of 38 subjects who had no previous injuries or surgeries that would influence their gait.

Each volunteer of the thirty eight recorded subjects was handed an information sheet about

the database and the process of recording. Those subjects consisted of 5 females and 33 males with an average age of 28 years old ranging between 19 and 45. The Average weight was 76.1 Kilograms, ranging between 45 and 130 kilograms. The average height was 173.6 centimetres, with a range between 156 and 190 centimetres.

4. DATA PROCESSING AND ANALYSIS

Each data type followed a unified procedure to convert the raw data into useable data for further analysis and testing.

4.1 Video Data

With regards to the video data, it was required to be:

- 1- Classified and cut into separate full gait cycles and;
- 2- Processed manually to track dynamic features.

The data recorded in each session was shot continuously, which means; for each subject, all forms of gait are included in one continuous video file. Therefore there is a need to cut the video into sections according to their form (walk, run, walk to run transition, walking with a bag). Each video was cut into one complete gait cycle, starting with the left heel strike. The start and end of each cycle was manually selected to start with the heel strike, which coincides with the maximal elevation of the thigh in the cycle. Video tracking software was used to track the different features of a subject's gait. Specifically, Pixel Farm's PFTrack (version 5.0) was used to divide video tracks while tracking the required joints needed to process the dynamic gait features.

Once the video is divided in accordance to its sequence number and form, tracking of key joints was executed on the subject using both; PFTrack's automated tracking tool and manual tracking by user input. Those joints that were tracked included: mid-section of the hip, left and right knee, left and right ankle, left and right ball of the feet, left and right feet tip, left and right shoulders, left and right elbows, left and right wrist, and finally the top tip of the head.

Finally, the tracked data is exported as individual files that represent vertical (X) and horizontal (Y) positions of the tracked points. All files are saved using the following naming convention:

Sub_####_dat_xxx_yy_o_Joint_A

Where #### is the subject ID, xxx is the gait form, yy is sequence number, o is the left (L) or right (R) side of the body, Joint is the name of the joint being tracked, and A is the axis (X or Y). Therefore, subject #1's X-axis tracking of the right knee when the subject conducts his/her first walk sample is named as:

Sub_0001_dat_wlk_01_R_knee_X.txt

4.2 Motion Capture

For the motion capture data to be usable, it must be converted to either positional (P) data in <Px,Py,Pz> or rotational(R) data <Rx,Ry,Rz>. Therefore a reconstruction of the human skeleton is required, and is processed through the use of Vicon Blade (version 1.7.0).

The data is first processed for what is called ROM (Range of motion), in which the range of motion of the subject is identified. This is followed by a calibration, in which the marker skeleton (a virtual 3D representation of a subject skeletal model) is adjusted according to the subject's body size. Finally, the new skeleton is used as a base for solving all the gait samples, which outputs rotational values for all joints available in the used marker setup. The data is then exported as an ASCII file containing the rotational data of all joints and saved according to the following naming convention:

Sub_####_dat_mcp_xxx_yy_.txt

Where #### is the subject ID, xxx is the gait form, and yy is sequence number.

4.3 3D Laser Scan

The aim of capturing 3D scans of the subject has two reasons:

1. To be able to accurately provide scalar data in regards to 2D measurements of the human body (The list of measurements is illustrated in Fig. 1).
2. To be able to study the body from a 3D point of view (volume, surface distribution, etc...)

In regards to the first aim, direct measurements using the point measuring tool in Faro Scene is utilized.

Fig. 1. An illustration of the 2D measurements extracted

The four scans taken of every subject were conducted separately. First, a front scan was taken, followed by the right side, the back, and the left side. To maintain the same pose between scans, placement points for the feet were used, as well as a defining the position of the arm through the use of two chairs (the subjects would rest the tip of their finger on the chair to maintain stability). Although there were minimal movements

between scans, yet it provided a more accurate measure of volume than the use of volume estimating algorithms from single camera sources. For the purposes of studying the body in 3D space, the four separate scans from the Faro LS laser scanner were merged together. Because there was very minimal overlap between the two scans of every subject, it was required for this step to be executed manually.

The process involved creating a 3D surface from the point cloud (captured by the laser scanner) using InnovMetric Polyworks (version 10), which were then exported in the OBJ format. The two separate files were imported into Autodesk Maya (2011 version), where they were manually merged and placed together. The final step was best fitting a generic 3D human mesh to the merged 3D scans. The resultant files were saved in the /inf folder using the following naming convention:

Sub_####_inf_3dp.obj

where #### is the subject ID number.

An example of a 3d mesh of a subject is shown in Fig. 2.

5. DATA PROCESSING AND FEATURE EXTRACTION

In order to demonstrate the application of this novel database we perform a correlation study using features that are common in gait recognition applications and include both dynamic and static features. In this correlation study, phase-weighted magnitude (PWM) of the different joint rotations of a subject was used as a dynamic feature. The method is driven from a technique developed by Caundo et al. [7]. In this method the phase and magnitude component of the Fourier transform applied on the rotations of every individual joint in a gait sample are used. Magnitude provides the range of motion a joint goes through while the phase component describes the time component of the movement. The final feature is formed by multiplying the magnitude component by its corresponding phase component. Therefore, PWM is defined as:

$$x_{l,k}^i = |\Theta(e^{j\omega k})| \bullet \arg(\Theta(e^{j\omega k})),$$

$$k = 1, 2, \dots, N$$

where $x_{l,k}^i$, is the Phase-Weighted magnitude signature for the l^{th} sequence of subject i .

The $|\Theta(e^{j\omega k})|$ represents the absolute value of the k^{th} Discrete Fourier Transform magnitude component, while $\arg(\Theta(e^{j\omega k}))$ is the complex form representation of the phase component. The “ $\bullet$ ” implies the multiplication of each

component in the first vector by its corresponding component in the second vector. N is the number of subjects in the database.

Based on the outcome of a study by Chew Yean et al. [8], in most gait samples the magnitude spectrum produced by a Fourier Transform algorithm converge to a zero value beyond the fifth harmonic.

In the same work it was also proven that a phase weighted magnitude in which the phase component is multiplied by the magnitude component provides stronger discriminatory potential than the use of the phase or magnitude component independently. In the mentioned study [8], only the first two harmonics in the thigh rotational data and the first three harmonics in the lower leg rotational data were used because of their highly discriminative properties. The same method was used in later studies and applied to both 2D and 3D models [7]. Therefore, only the 2nd to 5th components were used in the analysis to avoid noise and irrelevant data.

With most gait recognition techniques, the static features are extracted from the 2D or 3D model used to describe the subject's gait [3]. Computer vision based static features extraction techniques applied to video or two dimensional images carry a considerable amount of error, therefore; in order to acquire data that is accurate, a reconstruction of the subject's three dimensional body volume was created from the four 3D laser scans using Geomagic Polyworks to reverse engineer the point cloud to a 3D surface. Autodesk Maya is then used to combine multiple meshes. The choice of features and the manner in which the subject's 3D volume was divided was based on logical physical landmarks as well as the discriminative static features mentioned in the study by Baofeng et al. [9] as well as in the study by Alawar et al. [10]. Each individual part's volume and surface area were then calculated using Autodesk Maya's MEL commands ('computePolysetVolume' and 'polyEvaluate - area'). There were a total of 42 3D static features used. A visual representation of the division map of the body is shown in Fig. 2.

6. ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

The correlation coefficient was used to examine the relationship between the static and dynamic features. The correlation coefficient matrix $R(i, j)$ is defined as,

$$R(i, j) = \frac{C(i, j)}{\sqrt{C(i, i)C(j, j)}} \quad (1)$$

Where $R(i, j)$ is the covariance, j and i are the features extracted. The covariance was calculated using the following formula,

$$C(i, j) = E[(i - E[i])(j - E[j])] \quad (2)$$

Where E is the expected value or weighted average.

Based on the study by Alawar et al. [10], a pair of features with ($P < 0.05$) was considered to be significant. When such a threshold was used in the current study, 386 pairs of features expressed significant correlation. For the purpose of further analysis and detailed insight to the highest correlated features, the criterion of ($-0.9 > r$ or $r > +0.9$) was used. The new threshold resulted in 119 pairs of significantly correlated features. The highest 20 correlated feature pairs are listed in Table 1.

7. DISCUSSION

The findings by Alawar et al. [10] are echoed in these results, and furthermore, provide a more detailed insight into the contribution of each individual rotational axis in a joint to the correlation between the joint and static features.

From 161 lower extremities static features fit the criteria of $p < 0.05$; only eight are related to dynamic features of the lower extremities. The eight features can be found in Table 2. The remaining 153 dynamic features are divided into 98 upper extremities dynamic features and 55 spine related dynamic features. Although initially, leg 3D volume features were thought to influence lower extremities dynamic features, upper extremities seem to be more influenced by these static features.

164 upper body static features fit the criteria mentioned earlier. The same steps were used to analyse lower extremities. 82 of those features are significantly correlated to upper extremities dynamic features. The remaining 82 were divided into 23 lower extremity dynamic features and 59 spine related dynamic features. On the contrary to lower body static features, upper body static features seem to have more correlated features with their counterpart dynamic features.

Other static features included in the study are measurements of the volume of the body as a whole rather than limb related segments. The whole body volume (right and left side volume individually and body volume without including the arms) were used to understand the relationship of the whole volume of a person to the dynamic features. Within these static features, there were a total of 56 significant correlations. Of particular concern is the volume

Table 1. The highest 20 correlated features

	Dynamic feature*	Static feature	Correlation coefficient	P-value
1	L_hand_Y.rotation.1'	Right forearm volume	0.98234	0.00046522
2	Root_Y.position.4'	Lower body volume	-0.97903	0.00065504
3	R_elbow_Y.rotation.4'	Left Forearm surface area	-0.97961	0.00061967
4	head_X.rotation.4'	Right shoulder volume	-0.97892	0.00066173
5	R_hand_Y.rotation.3'	Left body volume	0.97563	0.00088336
6	R_foot_Y.rotation.3'	Right body surface area	-0.97178	0.0011831
7	L_hand_Y.rotation.4'	Right forearm volume	0.9726	0.0011162
8	R_foot_Z.rotation.2'	Right body volume	-0.9708	0.0012664
9	L_shoulder_X.rotation.2'	Right Leg volume	0.97022	0.0013169
10	L_shoulder_X.rotation.3'	Right Leg volume	0.97022	0.0013169
11	L_shoulder_X.rotation.4'	Right Leg volume	0.97022	0.0013169
12	L_shoulder_X.rotation.1'	Right Leg volume	0.97022	0.0013169
13	R_hand_X.rotation.2'	Left arm Surface area	-0.96888	0.0014375
14	L_hand_Y.rotation.4'	Left forearm surface area	0.96388	0.0019331
15	head_X.rotation.4'	Right thigh volume	-0.9635	0.0019735
16	L_hand_Y.rotation.4'	Left forearm volume	0.96344	0.0019808
17	R_elbow_Z.rotation.2'	Total body surface area	-0.96319	0.0020078
18	R_foot_Y.rotation.4'	Right body volume	-0.96089	0.002264
19	Spine_1_Yrotation.2'	Right thigh surface area	0.95901	0.0024855
20	L_hand_Y.rotation.3'	Right forearm volume	0.9589	0.0024987

Fig. 2. An example of 3D model based on the 3D laser scan of the subject

of the body with no arms, as it was intended to study the actual contribution of arms to the lower extremities of gait. Out of the 11 non-arm volume correlated features, only two were related to the lower extremities while the remaining contributed mostly to arm related dynamics.

Table 2. Significantly correlated Lower extremity static features with leg related dynamic features

Static features	Dynamic features
Left Thigh Volume	Left thigh X-rotation(2 nd)
Hip surface area	Left knee X-rotation(3 rd)
Left Thigh Volume	Right Thigh X-rotation(2 nd)
Hip surface area	Left Knee X-rotation(4 th)
Right Leg Volume	Left toe X-rotation(4 th)
Hip surface area	Left foot Z-rotation(4 th)
Right Shin Volume	Left foot Z-rotation(4 th)
Right Thigh Volume	Left foot Z-rotation(4 th)

More importantly, it was critical to focus on the contribution of the volume of each segment of the body to its dynamic counterpart. Although they do not fit the earlier mentioned criteria, they still exhibit a correlation coefficient that would be considered strong in other studies. This indication further strengthens the validation of the relationship between static and dynamic features of a human's gait.

Although there were a considerable number of significantly correlated features, the majority of static features did not contribute directly to their body part's dynamic features. On the contrary, correlated features displayed a relationship between dynamic features and their opposite

corresponding static feature. For example, the left shoulder rotation PWM showed a significant correlation to the volume of the right leg. Such findings support studies that relate weight and size and their mirror influence on gait kinematics. Yen et al. [11] describe the effect of load on carriage on the temporal relationship between the trunk and the leg. Another study by Collins et al. [12] describes the contribution of arm movement to the reaction moment from the ground. The study compared a gait cycle in which arm movement was restricted, and was found to directly contribute to greater reaction moment from the ground, hence requiring the human body to adapt and increase energy expenditure and muscle usage. Therefore, the motion of the arms directly contributes to the effort of the leg during gait. David et al. [13] conducted a study on the effect of carrying a bag on static posture and gait dynamics. It describes a direct influence of an increase in size and weight in the upper extremities (carrying a bag) on gait dynamics relating to lower extremities such as stride length and frequency.

8. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

The Bradford Multi-Modal Gait Database (BMMGD) is a novel database. Although there are databases that contain motion capture data, the BMMGD contains accurate 3D laser scans of the subjects alongside 2D multi-view camera footage. We give an example of how this data might be used by performing an exploratory correlation study which exhibits strong correlation between 386 pairs of features with ($P < 0.05$) and 119 pairs when ($r < -0.9$ or $r > 0.9$). These results

bare great potential for further investigation in the relationship between dynamic and static features that would contribute to various applications such as: clinical gait analysis, security related gait recognition application, and 3D computer animation.

Future work to the database will include increasing the number of subjects (particularly the female subject number), and analysis of the accuracy of the volume measurements. The results suggest several future and further research which can be considered. These can be summarized in six main points:

1. Because of the huge number of features included in the study, further research is required into the details and nature of the features. For example, future research could look at the contributing static attributes to the dynamics of the lower extremities, or the influence of the upper body static features on leg dynamics.
2. There is potential in investigating the ability of the correlated features in creating a prediction model to allow the visualization and simulation of gait using only static features. This would greatly contribute to gait simulation for gaming and animation applications, as well as biometrics gait recognition application.
3. It is important to note that the correlation analysis work here is based on a single gait cycle for each of the observers. It is well known that there is some, within-individual, variability and we would need to take this into account to help establish which correlations might be due to noise rather than any causal link. In particular, we would investigate significant correlations involving higher Fourier components which we expect to contain a higher noise component than the lower components [12].
4. Better understanding of the relationship of each component to static data can be achieved by considering phase and magnitude independently could provide.
5. Although the study considered numerous features, by including other dynamic and static ones could prove to be successful in providing more insight into the nature of the correlation between the two sets of data
6. Studying the females and males separately in this database can provide better insight, because the current

database contains only 5 females and 33 males.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Sarkar S, Phillips PJ, Liu Z, Vega IR, Grother P, Bowyer KW. The humanID gait challenge problem: Data sets, performance, and analysis. *IEEE Trans Pattern Anal Mach Intell.* 2005;27:162-177.
2. Shiqi Y, Daoliang T, Tieniu T. A framework for evaluating the effect of view angle, clothing and carrying condition on gait recognition, in pattern recognition. *ICPR. 18th International Conference on Pattern Recognition.* 2006;441-444.
3. Seely RD, Samangoeei S, Lee M, Carter JN, Nixon MS. Multi-biometric tunnel and introducing a novel 3D gait dataset. *2nd IEEE Internatoinal Conference on Biometrics: Theory, Applications and Systems. BTAS.* 2008;1-6.
4. Gross R, Shi J. The CMU motion of body (mobo) database. Technical report, Robotics Institute, Carnegie Mellon University; 2001.
5. Bullinger A, Delahaye M. Ethical manual HUMABIO. HUMABIO Project; 2006.
6. Shutler J, Grant M, Nixon MS, Carter JN. On a large sequence-based human gait database, ed, 2002. *Applications and Science in Soft Computing.* Deutsche Bibliothek. 2004;339-347.
7. Cunado D, Nixon M, Carter J. Using gait as a biometric, via phase-weighted magnitude spectra. In *Audio-and Video-based Biometric Person Authentication*, Springer Berlin Heidelberg. 1997;93-102.
8. Yam C, Nixon MS, Carter JN. Automated person recognition by walking and running via model-based approaches. *Journal of Pattern Recognition.* 2004;37:1057-1072.
9. Baofeng G, Nixon MS. Gait feature subset selection by mutual information, systems, man and cybernetics, part A: Systems and humans. *IEEE Transactions On.* 2009; 39:36-46.
10. Alawar HM, Ugail H, Kamala M, Connah D. The relationship between 2D static features and 2D dynamic features used in

- gait recognition. Proc. SPIE 8712, Biometric and Surveillance Technology for Human and Activity Identification X, 2013; 87120I.
11. Yen SC, Ling W, Magill R, McDonough A, Gutierrez GM. Temporal relationship between trunk and thigh contributes to balance control in load carriage walking. Journal of Gait and Posture. 2011;34(3): 402–408
12. Collins SH, Adamczyk PG, Kuo AD, Dynamic arm swinging in human walking, Proc. of the Royal Society of Biological Sciences. 2009;3679-3688.
13. David DP, Donna EP, Yong TW, Dong-Ming S, Chang KK. Influence of carrying book bags on gait cycle and posture of youths. Journal of the Institute for Ergonomics and Human Factors. 1997;40(6):631-640.

© 2016 Alawar et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<http://sciencedomain.org/review-history/12910>